## COMMERCIAL BRIBING SECOND DEGREE Penal Law § 180.00

(Committed on or after Sept. 1, 1983)

The (*specify*) count is Commercial Bribing in the Second Degree.

Under our law a person is guilty of Commercial Bribing in the Second Degree when that person confers, or offers or agrees to confer, any benefit upon any employee, [agent or fiduciary] without the consent of his or her employer [or principal], with intent to influence the employee's [agent's or fiduciary's] conduct in relation to his or her employer's [or principal's] affairs.

The following terms used in that definition have a special meaning:

BENEFIT means any gain or advantage to the beneficiary and includes any gain or advantage to a third person pursuant to the desire or consent of the beneficiary.<sup>1</sup>

INTENT means conscious objective or purpose. Thus, a person acts with intent to influence the conduct of an employee, [agent *or* fiduciary] in relation to his or her employer's [or principal's] affairs when that person's conscious objective or purpose is to do so.<sup>2</sup>

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove, from all the evidence in the case, beyond a reasonable doubt, each of the following four elements:

 That on or about (<u>date</u>), (<u>specify</u>) was an employee [agent or fiduciary] of (<u>specify employer or principal</u>);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Penal Law §10.00(17). See People v Feerick, 93 N..Y.2d 433, 446-447 (1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See Penal Law §15.05(1)

- 2. That on or about that date, in the county of (*county*) the defendant (*defendant's name*), conferred, or offered or agreed to confer, a benefit upon such employee [agent or fiduciary];
- 3. That the defendant did so without the consent of (specify employer or principal); and
- 4. That the defendant did so with intent to influence the employee's [agent's or fiduciary's] conduct in relation to his/her employer's [or principal's] affairs.

If you find the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt each of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of this crime.

If you find the People have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt any one or more of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.