
This memorandum is uncorrected and subject to revision before
publication in the New York Reports.

No. 72 SSM 1
The People &c.,
Respondent,
v.
Ronnell Jordan,
Appellant.

Submitted by Kevin C. Adam, for appellant.
Submitted by Amy Appelbaum, for respondent.

MEMORANDUM:

The order of the Appellate Division should be affirmed.

Defendant failed to preserve his contention that the
trial court discharged prospective jurors based on hardship
without conducting a sufficient inquiry (see People v King, __
NY3d __ [decided herewith]). Defendant's remaining contentions

are without merit.

People v Ronnell Jordan

No. SSM 1

Rivera, J (dissenting):

For the reasons stated in my dissenting opinion in People v King (___ NY3d ___, [decided herewith]), I would reverse the order of the Appellate Division on the sole ground that the judge's jury selection process denied defendant his right to a jury trial.

* * * * *

On review of submissions pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules, order affirmed, in a memorandum. Judges Pigott, Abdus-Salaam, Stein and Fahey concur. Judge Rivera dissents in an opinion. Chief Judge DiFiore and Judge Garcia took no part.

Decided March 29, 2016