

COURT OF APPEALS NEW FILINGS

Preliminary Appeal Statements processed  
by the Court of Appeals Clerk's Office

**February 7, 2020 through February 13, 2020**

Each week the Clerk's Office prepares a list of recently-filed appeals, indicating short title, jurisdictional predicate, subject matter and key issues. Some of these appeals may not reach decision on the merits because of dismissal, on motion or sua sponte, or because the parties stipulate to withdrawal. Some appeals may be selected for review pursuant to the alternative procedure of Rule 500.11. For those appeals that proceed to briefing in the normal course, the briefing schedule generally will be: appellant's brief to be filed within 60 days after the appeal was taken; respondent's brief to be filed within 45 days after the due date for the filing of appellant's brief; and a reply brief, if any, to be filed within 15 days after the due date for the filing of respondent's brief.

**The Court welcomes motions for amicus curiae participation from those qualified and interested in the subject matter of these newly filed appeals. Please refer to Rule 500.23 and direct any questions to the Clerk's Office.**

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, &c. v DLJ MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.:  
1<sup>ST</sup> Dept. App. Div. order of 10/10/19; affirmance; leave to appeal granted by App. Div., 1/30/20;

**Contracts--Breach or Performance of Contracts--Whether the doctrine of relation back permits a residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) plaintiff-trustee to assert otherwise untimely notice-based claims for any loan in an RMBS trust, and thereby excuse the plaintiff's failure to comply with a contractual precondition to invoking the repurchase remedy, when the plaintiff provided timely pre-suit repurchase demands relating to some specified loans in the trust; Whether plaintiffs are entitled to recover as damages interest that did not, in fact, accrue when an RMBS contractual provision provides for the payment of "accrued" interest as part of the repurchase remedy;**

Supreme Court, New York County, denied defendant's motion for summary judgment;  
App. Div. affirmed.