SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

75

CAF 16-01134

PRESENT: PERADOTTO, J.P., CARNI, LINDLEY, CURRAN, AND TROUTMAN, JJ.

IN THE MATTER OF FAITH B. AND HOPE B. ONONDAGA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, PETITIONER-RESPONDENT;

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

ROCHELLE C., RESPONDENT-APPELLANT.

D.J. & J.A. CIRANDO, ESQS., SYRACUSE (ELIZABETH deV. MOELLER OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT-APPELLANT.

ROBERT A. DURR, COUNTY ATTORNEY, SYRACUSE (MAGGIE SEIKALY OF COUNSEL), FOR PETITIONER-RESPONDENT.

ARLENE BRADSHAW, ATTORNEY FOR THE CHILDREN, SYRACUSE.

Appeal from an order of the Family Court, Onondaga County (Michael L. Hanuszczak, J.), entered June 21, 2016 in a proceeding pursuant to Family Court Act article 10. The order, inter alia, granted petitioner's request for the temporary removal of the subject children from the custody of respondent.

It is hereby ORDERED that said appeal is unanimously dismissed without costs.

Memorandum: Respondent mother appeals from an order entered in a proceeding pursuant to Family Court Act article 10, which granted petitioner's request for the temporary removal of the subject children from the custody of the mother. We dismiss the appeal because a final order of disposition was entered during the pendency of the appeal, finding that the children were neglected but releasing the children to the mother's custody. This appeal has thus been rendered moot (see Matter of Gaige F. [Carolyn F.], 144 AD3d 1575, 1576 [4th Dept 2016]; Matter of Bruce P., 138 AD3d 864, 865 [2d Dept 2016]; Matter of John S., 26 AD3d 870, 870 [4th Dept 2006]; cf. Matter of C. Children, 249 AD2d 540, 540 [2d Dept 1998]; see generally Matter of Javier R. [Robert R.], 43 AD3d 1, 3-5 [1st Dept 2007]). "Inasmuch as a temporary order is not a finding of wrongdoing, the exception to the mootness doctrine does not apply" (Matter of Cali L., 61 AD3d 1131, 1133 [3d Dept 2009]).

Entered: February 9, 2018

Mark W. Bennett Clerk of the Court