

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

776

KA 19-02105

PRESENT: LINDLEY, J.P., NEMOYER, WINSLOW, BANNISTER, AND MONTOUR, JJ.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, RESPONDENT,

V

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

KEVIN L. SMITH, DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

LEANNE LAPP, PUBLIC DEFENDER, CANANDAIGUA, THE LEGAL AID BUREAU OF BUFFALO, INC., BUFFALO (ALLISON V. MCMAHON OF COUNSEL), FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

JAMES B. RITTS, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CANANDAIGUA (V. CHRISTOPHER EAGGLESTON OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT.

Appeal from a judgment of the Ontario County Court (Kristina Karle, J.), rendered June 19, 2019. The judgment convicted defendant upon his plea of guilty of criminal sale of a controlled substance in the third degree.

It is hereby ORDERED that the judgment so appealed from is unanimously affirmed.

Memorandum: On appeal from a judgment convicting him upon his plea of guilty of criminal sale of a controlled substance in the third degree (Penal Law § 220.39 [1]), defendant contends that his waiver of the right to appeal is invalid and thus does not foreclose his challenge to the severity of the negotiated sentence. The People correctly concede that the waiver of the right to appeal is invalid because County Court's "oral waiver colloquy and the written waiver signed by defendant together 'mischaracterized the nature of the right that defendant was being asked to cede, portraying the waiver as an absolute bar to defendant taking an appeal . . . , and there is no clarifying language in either the oral or written waiver indicating that appellate review remained available for certain issues' " (*People v Johnson*, 192 AD3d 1494, 1495 [4th Dept 2021], *lv denied* 37 NY3d 965 [2021]; see *People v Shanks*, 37 NY3d 244, 252-253 [2021]; *People v Thomas*, 34 NY3d 545, 565 [2019], *cert denied* – US –, 140 S Ct 2634 [2020]; *People v Dozier*, 179 AD3d 1447, 1447 [4th Dept 2020], *lv denied* 35 NY3d 941 [2020]). Nevertheless, considering defendant's extensive criminal record, which includes five prior felony convictions, we conclude that the negotiated sentence is not unduly harsh or severe.

Entered: November 10, 2022

Ann Dillon Flynn
Clerk of the Court