## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

## 242

## KA 21-00635

PRESENT: SMITH, J.P., CENTRA, PERADOTTO, LINDLEY, AND WINSLOW, JJ.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, RESPONDENT,

77

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

DARRYL FORTNER, DEFENDANT-APPELLANT. (APPEAL NO. 1.)

FRANK H. HISCOCK LEGAL AID SOCIETY, SYRACUSE (PIOTR BANASIAK OF COUNSEL), FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

WILLIAM J. FITZPATRICK, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, SYRACUSE (BRADLEY W. OASTLER OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT.

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from a resentence of the Onondaga County Court (Matthew J. Doran, J.), rendered March 23, 2021. Defendant was resentenced upon a conviction of robbery in the first degree and menacing in the second degree.

It is hereby ORDERED that the resentence so appealed from is unanimously affirmed.

Memorandum: In appeal No. 1, defendant appeals from a resentence on his conviction, upon his plea of guilty, of robbery in the first degree (Penal Law § 160.15 [4]) and menacing in the second degree (§ 120.14 [1]). In appeal No. 2, defendant appeals from a resentence on his conviction, upon his plea of guilty in the same plea proceeding, of attempted robbery in the first degree (§§ 110.00, 160.15 [4]). Although defendant validly waived his right to appeal at the plea proceeding (see generally People v Lopez, 6 NY3d 248, 256 [2006]), that waiver does not preclude him from challenging the sentences imposed upon resentencing (see People v Allen, 97 AD3d 1164, 1164 [4th Dept 2012], Iv denied 19 NY3d 994 [2012]; People v Gray, 32 AD3d 1052, 1053 [3d Dept 2006], Iv denied 7 NY3d 902 [2006]; see also People v Jirdon, 159 AD3d 1518, 1519 [4th Dept 2018]). We nevertheless conclude in each appeal that the resentence is not unduly harsh or severe.

Entered: March 18, 2022

Ann Dillon Flynn
Clerk of the Court