## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

658

KAH 23-01069

PRESENT: LINDLEY, J.P., CURRAN, OGDEN, NOWAK, AND KEANE, JJ.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK EX REL. DETROIT KELLY, PETITIONER-APPELLANT,

V

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

AMY TITUS, SUPERINTENDENT, ORLEANS CORRECTIONAL FACILITY, RESPONDENT-RESPONDENT.

DAVID J. PAJAK, ALDEN, FOR PETITIONER-APPELLANT.

LETITIA JAMES, ATTORNEY GENERAL, ALBANY (RACHEL RAIMONDI OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT-RESPONDENT.

-----

Appeal from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Orleans County (Sanford A. Church, A.J.), dated April 18, 2023, in a habeas corpus proceeding. The judgment dismissed the petition.

It is hereby ORDERED that the judgment so appealed from is unanimously affirmed without costs.

Memorandum: Petitioner commenced this proceeding seeking a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to CPLR article 70, contending, inter alia, that he was improperly permitted to plead quilty while his CPL 30.30 speedy trial motion was pending. We conclude that Supreme Court properly dismissed the petition inasmuch as petitioner's contentions were or could have been raised on direct appeal or by a motion pursuant to CPL article 440 (see People ex rel. Frederick v Superintendent, Auburn Corr. Facility, 156 AD3d 1468, 1468 [4th Dept 2017], lv denied 31 NY3d 908 [2018], lv dismissed 32 NY3d 1218 [2019]; People ex rel. Haddock v Dolce, 149 AD3d 1593, 1593 [4th Dept 2017], lv denied 29 NY3d 917 [2017]; People ex rel. Mills v Poole, 55 AD3d 1289, 1290 [4th Dept 2008], lv denied 11 NY3d 712 [2008]). Further, the allegations in the petition do not warrant departure from traditional orderly procedure (see People ex rel. Cole v Graham, 147 AD3d 1350, 1351 [4th Dept 2017], lv denied 29 NY3d 914 [2017]; People ex rel. Lifrieri v Lee, 116 AD3d 720, 720 [2d Dept 2014], lv dismissed 24 NY3d 952 [2014], rearg denied 24 NY3d 1039 [2014]; People ex rel. Hammock v Meloni, 233 AD2d 929, 929 [4th Dept 1996], lv denied 89 NY2d 807 [1997]).

We have considered petitioner's remaining contention and conclude that it does not warrant reversal or modification of the judgment.

Entered: October 4, 2024

Ann Dillon Flynn Clerk of the Court