SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

224

KA 17-00273

PRESENT: WHALEN, P.J., SMITH, NEMOYER, CURRAN, AND BANNISTER, JJ.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, RESPONDENT,

V

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

TYRIEKE J. BRADLEY, DEFENDANT-APPELLANT. (APPEAL NO. 1.)

TIMOTHY P. DONAHER, PUBLIC DEFENDER, ROCHESTER (HELEN SYME OF COUNSEL), FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

SANDRA DOORLEY, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ROCHESTER (LISA GRAY OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT.

Appeal from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Monroe County (Joanne M. Winslow, J.), rendered January 3, 2017. The judgment convicted defendant, upon his plea of guilty, of attempted burglary in the second degree.

It is hereby ORDERED that the judgment so appealed from is unanimously affirmed.

Memorandum: Defendant appeals from a judgment convicting him, upon his plea of guilty, of attempted burglary in the second degree (Penal Law §§ 110.00, 140.25 [2]). Defendant correctly contends that he did not validly waive his right to appeal (see People v Thomas, 34 NY3d 545, 565-566 [2019], cert denied - US -, 140 S Ct 2634 [2020]). Nevertheless, we reject defendant's challenge to Supreme Court's refusal to suppress physical evidence (see e.g. People v Foster, 85 NY2d 1012, 1013-1014 [1995]; People v Binion, 100 AD3d 1514, 1516 [4th Dept 2012], lv denied 21 NY3d 911 [2013]; People v Drake, 93 AD3d 1158, 1159 [4th Dept 2012], lv denied 19 NY3d 1102 [2012]; see also People v Smith, 185 AD3d 1203, 1204-1206 [3d Dept 2020]). Defendant's remaining contention lacks merit (see People v Suazo, 144 AD3d 405, 405 [1st Dept 2016], lv denied 28 NY3d 1189 [2017]; People v Becoats, 88 AD2d 766, 767 [4th Dept 1982]; see generally People v Graves, 85 NY2d 1024, 1027 [1995]).