## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

322

## KA 19-00584

PRESENT: PERADOTTO, J.P., TROUTMAN, WINSLOW, AND DEJOSEPH, JJ.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, RESPONDENT,

7.7

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

JUSTIN DUKELOW, DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

DAVID J. FARRUGIA, PUBLIC DEFENDER, LOCKPORT (THERESA L. PREZIOSO OF COUNSEL), FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

CAROLINE A. WOJTASZEK, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, LOCKPORT (LAURA T. JORDAN OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT.

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Niagara County (Richard C. Kloch, Sr., A.J.), rendered February 4, 2019. The judgment revoked defendant's sentence of probation and imposed a sentence of imprisonment.

It is hereby ORDERED that the judgment so appealed from is unanimously affirmed.

Memorandum: Defendant appeals from a judgment revoking the sentence of probation previously imposed upon his conviction of sexual abuse in the first degree (Penal Law § 130.65 [3]) and sentencing him to a determinate term of imprisonment, followed by a period of postrelease supervision. Even assuming, arguendo, that defendant's waiver of the right to appeal during the underlying plea proceeding was valid, we conclude that the waiver does not encompass his challenge to the severity of the sentence imposed following his violation of probation (see People v Giuliano, 151 AD3d 1958, 1959 [4th Dept 2017], Iv denied 30 NY3d 949 [2017]; People v Tedesco, 143 AD3d 1279, 1279 [4th Dept 2016], Iv denied 28 NY3d 1075 [2016]). We further conclude, however, that the sentence imposed upon defendant's violation of probation is not unduly harsh or severe.

Entered: May 1, 2020 Mark W. Bennett Clerk of the Court