Kam	boi	v No	ew Y	ork	Univ.
	~ ~.			O	

2024 NY Slip Op 34282(U)

December 4, 2024

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 160869/2019

Judge: Debra A. James

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 96

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/04/2024

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: HON. DEBE	RA A. JAMES	PART 59	PART 59		
	Justic	e			
	X	INDEX NO.	160869/2019		
RAJIT KAMBOJ,		MOTION DATE	03/15/2024		
	Petitioner,	MOTION SEQ. NO.	001		
-	V -				
NEW YORK UNIVERSITY,		DECISION + ORDER ON			
	Respondent.	MOTI	MOTION		
<u> </u>	nts, listed by NYSCEF document 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90	,	69, 70, 71, 72, 73,		
were read on this motion to/fo	or ART	ARTICLE 78 (BODY OR OFFICER)			
	ORDER				

Upon the foregoing documents, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the petition is denied and the proceeding is dismissed, without costs and disbursements to respondent.

DECISION

In this Article 78 proceeding, petitioner seeks judicial review of the decision of respondent New York University ("NYU") decision to expel him from its dental school, arguing that such expulsion took place in violation of its own policies and guidelines.

This court is not persuaded by petitioner's contention that NYU violated its policy that provides senior students an extension (i.e. "Y" grades) to "complete[] clinical requirements for those

160869/2019 KAMBOJ, RAJIT vs. NEW YORK UNIVERSITY Motion No. 001

Page 1 of 4

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/04/2024

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 96

courses until done", a policy set forth in the informational presentation for graduating students, (NYU Graduation Process: What You Need to Know and Do" NYSCEF Doc No 004), even, assuming arguendo, that such power point presentation amended the Academic Standards (Academic Standards and Policies for Predoctoral Program in Dentistry at NYU College of Dentistry, NYSCEF Doc No. 75 [hereinafter "Academic Standards"]).

As for petitioner's second D4 year, which commenced on June 1, 2018, respondent determined not that petitioner had insufficient clinical performances to allow an evaluation of his performance, but that the clinical performances petitioner had carried out did not meet the Academic Standards.

While petitioner contends that respondent should have given him "Y" grades and allowed him time to complete the clinics "until done", he admits to NYU's "strict attendance policy" with respect to the Pediatric Dentistry Clinic, Verified Petition, NYSCEF Doc No 1, ¶ 55, which he violated as he was late to class on more than one occasion and had one unexcused absence, and thus earned a failing grade.

With respect to the Oral Surgery Hospital and Clinic Rotations, the record shows that petitioner failed to complete "40 documented extractions during the period of D3 and D4 years, inclusive of D3 year assists", a prerequisite for passing such clinic. Petitioner asserts that respondent arbitrarily neglected

160869/2019 KAMBOJ, RAJIT vs. NEW YORK UNIVERSITY Motion No. 001

Page 2 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 96 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/04/2024

to carry over his prior earned credits from his D3 study year. However, attached to the Petition is an e-mail message dated June 20, 2019, from petitioner to Dr. Leslie Abraham, in response to the request of Dr. Abraham for a "screenshot of your Competency report", wherein petitioner writes

The extraction competency, if I remember correctly, it was on a green paper sheet and we submitted a carbon copy of it. I had also completed this in 2016, [i]f that was for D3 instead, correct me if I am wrong. I have pictures of my completion of assignments/competencies and I will look for this as well. I think back then we had to fill out those sheets and the MDR would be put into the computer. I will follow-up with an email later today for clarification. If I do not have them or they are not eligible, could I come tomorrow to complete both of them?"

E-mail message from petitioner to Dr. Abraham, NYSCEF Doc No 007, Petition Exhibit 5.

The record before the court demonstrates that petitioner never met his promise to provide "pictures". Such unmet promise constitutes evidence that respondent did not overlook any prior earned credits from petitioner's D3 study year.

Petitioner claims that he completed at least 50% of the D3 and D4 requirements of the Fixed Prosthodontics & Implant Clinic. However, by e-mail message dated June 2, 2019 to Michael B. Ferguson, petitioner admits that he failed to complete such prerequisite. See NYSCEF Doc No 12, Petition Exhibit 10.

Petitioner does not deny that he did not complete the Removable Partial Denture Objective Structure Clinical Examination (OSCE exam) of the Removable Prosthodontics & Implants Clinic.

160869/2019 KAMBOJ, RAJIT vs. NEW YORK UNIVERSITY Motion No. 001

Page 3 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 96 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/04/2024

<u>See</u> trail of e-mail messages between Dr. Leila Jahangiri and petitioner, from May 21 - May 31, 2019, NYSCEF Document No 14.

In summary, there is nothing in the record that supports petitioner's contention that respondent's determination to not awarded him a "Y" grade in his clinical courses was irrational or in breach of its policies. The record establishes that petitioner never completed the prerequisites of such clinics, which would have established his competencies, and a basis to grant him such an extension. Accordingly, it was rational, and neither arbitrary nor capricious, for respondent to determine that petitioner failed the clinical requirements, not due to insufficient time to complete such requirements, but due to his failures to establish his competencies within the time frame established in the Academic Standards. As the Academic Standards provide that a student may be dismissed for "[r]eceiving two 'F' grades at any time during an academic year being repeated", NYSCEF Doc No 75, supra, p. 7, NYU's determination to dismiss petitioner was rational, and neither arbitrary nor capricious. See Farooqui v Columbia University, 199 AD3d 411 (1st Dept 2021).

			Jera A-) 20241204170941DJAMESB37422DF05914	
12/4/2024	_			
DATE			 DEBRA A. JAMES	S, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	Х	CASE DISPOSED	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION	
		GRANTED X DENIED	GRANTED IN PART	OTHER
APPLICATION:		SETTLE ORDER	SUBMIT ORDER	
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:		INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN	FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT	REFERENCE

160869/2019 KAMBOJ, RAJIT vs. NEW YORK UNIVERSITY Motion No. 001

Page 4 of 4