	Shiplion,	LLC v	Bauble	Bar.	Inc.
--	-----------	-------	--------	------	------

2023 NY Slip Op 34703(U)

November 28, 2023

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 653927/2023

Judge: Joel M. Cohen

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 39

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
COLINTY OF NEW YORK: COMMERCIAL DIVISION PART 031	M

		X		
SHIPLION, LLC			INDEX NO.	653927/2023
		Plaintiff,	MOTION DATE	11/06/2023
BAUBLE BAR, INC.,	- V -		MOTION SEQ. NO.	003
		Defendant.	DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION	
		X		
HON. JOEL M. COHEN:				
The following e-filed docu		listed by NYSCEF document nui	mber (Motion 003) 29, Y DISCOVERY	30, 33, 36, 38

Defendant Bauble Bar, Inc. ("Defendant") moves to stay discovery pursuant to CPLR § 3214(b) and Rule 11(g) of the Rules of the Commercial Division of the Supreme Court of New York, pending Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff ShipLion, Inc.'s ("Plaintiff") Verified Complaint (Mot. Seq. 002).

Commercial Division Rule 11(g) provides that "[t]he court will determine, upon an application of counsel, whether discovery shall be stayed, pursuant to CPLR 3214(b), pending determination of any dispositive motion." CPLR § 3214(b), in turn, provides: "Service of a notice of motion under rule 3211... stays disclosure until determination of the motion unless the court orders otherwise." Thus, Rule 11(g) gives the Court discretion to determine whether discovery should go forward pending a dispositive motion.

"[I]t is the presumption of the Commercial Division that discovery continues during motion practice" (*In Re Dentsply Sirona, Inc. v XXX*, 2019 NY Slip Op 32297[U], 14 [Sup Ct, NY County 2019] [Scarpulla, J.]; *Quadriad Realty Partners, LLC v Wilbee Corp.*, 2020 NY Slip

653927/2023 SHIPLION, LLC vs. BAUBLE BAR, INC. Motion No. 003

Page 1 of 2

INDEX NO. 653927/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 39 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/29/2023

Op 30024[U], \*12 [Sup Ct, NY County 2020]), and a stay is not typically granted simply because a defendant believes its motion to dismiss is a strong one (*Hartman, David E. v Snellen, Eric*, 2014 WL 7876752 at \*1 [Sup Ct, NY County 2014]). Here, there are no special circumstances here warranting a stay.

Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that Defendant's motion is **DENIED**.

This constitutes the Decision and Order of the Court.

11/28/2023					2023112 <u>9241944</u> McOHFUCDBA	C4E1 547	ERC 31F1DEDAA35B3
DATE					JOEL M. CO	OHEN,	J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	CASE DISPOSED			х	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION	ı	
	GRANTED	х	DENIED		GRANTED IN PART		OTHER
APPLICATION:	SETTLE ORDER		_		SUBMIT ORDER	-	
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	INCLUDES TRANSFI	ER/RE	EASSIGN		FIDUCIARY APPOINTMEN	<b>ντ</b> [	REFERENCE