IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LLOYD HARDEN and LORETTA HARDEN.

Index No.: 100755/04)121810/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

Plaintiffs,

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK

Attorney for Defendant Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Lloyd Harden and Loretta Harden

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LLOYD HARDEN and LORETTA HARDEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Index No.: 100755/04, 121810/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2 13- ,2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

M. Gook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Lloyd Harden and Loretta Harden

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Ion. Sherry Vlein Heitler

RK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LLOYD HARDEN and LORETTA HARDEN,

Index No. (100755/04) 121810/97

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT **MOTION AND ORDER** 

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York , 2011

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Lloyd Harden and Loretta Harden

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK DOWNEY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 0 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE O COUNTY OF NEW YORK				
In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION		Index No.: 102222/04		
EDWARD BYRNES, SR.	aintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION		
- against -				
AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,				
D	efendants.			
		COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-		
		e above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil		
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,	dismissing plain	tiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-		
McLain with prejudice, and there being	no opposition th	ereto,		
Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the sar		ants, all claims and cross claims against smissed with prejudice and without costs		
Dated:  New York, New York  Prank Octiz, Esq. Matthew Part  WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500  SO ORDERED,  Hon. Sherry Kle	SEGAL M SINGER Attorneys Weil McL 850 Third New York (212) 651	Avenue, Suite 1100 k, NY 10022 COUNTY OLERWS OF A -7500		
Hon. Sherry Ric.	III IIVIIIVI	FEB 1 4 2011,		

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DERNA COSTANZO, Individually and Executrix for the Estate of EZIO COSTANZO,

Index No.: (102902/04) 119470/98

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

,2011

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YURK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Derna Costanzo and Ezio Costanzo

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler FEB 04 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DERNA COSTANZO, Individually and Executrix for the Estate of EZIO COSTANZO.

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: (102902/04) 119470/98

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

MEW YORK

TY CLERK'S OFFICE

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Avocet Enterprises, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Derna Costanzo and Ezio Costanzo

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

28 04 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY **ASBESTOS LITIGATION** 

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DERNA COSTANZO, Individually and Executrix for the Estate of EZIO COSTANZO,

Index No.: 102902/04, 119470/98

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York , 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Derna Costanzo and Ezio Costanzo

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WASORK

COLLARY CLERK'S OFFICE

FFB 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DERNA COSTANZO, Individually and Executrix for the Estate of EZIO COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

NO OPPOSITION

Index No.:/102902/04/119470/98

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

, 2011

**2**ook, Esq.

NEW YORK CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Derna Costanzo and Ezio Costanzo

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

(212) 509-3456

Kerryann M.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4 2011

COUNTY	E COURT OF THE STATI OF NEW YORK 			
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGAT	TION :	NYCAL	
	. MACK, JR.(Dec.),	: :	Index Nos. 1	08387/00 12 <u>123/00</u>
	Plainti	ff(s),		02903/04
	-against-			JUDGMENT
A.C. & S.,	INC., <u>et al</u> .	•	MOTION A	
	Defend	lants.	Hon. Sherry I IAS Part 30	Klein Heitler,
WH	HEREFORE, defendants T	he Goodyear Tir	e & Rubber Com	pany and Goodyear
Canada Inc	c. hereby request summary	judgment in the	above-entitled cas	e, pursuant to CPLR
3212, dism	nissing plaintiffs' complain	t against The Go	oodyear Tire & R	ubber Company and
Goodyear (	Canada Inc. with prejudice,	and there being	no opposition the	reto, it is hereby:
OR	DERED, that upon notice t	o all co-defendar	nts, all claims and	cross claims against
The Goody	year Tire & Rubber Comp	any and Goody	ear Canada Inc. b	e and the same are
hereby disr	nissed with prejudice and v	vithout costs.		
Dated: Nev	w York, New York			
	LUXENBERG, P.C.		ASKAL EMERY	
Attorneys f	or Plaintiff		or Defendants The mpany and Goody	e Goodyear Tire & year Canada Inc
_	XVV D I	X	1CK m	)
By:Fran	nk M. Ortiz, Esq.	By:	Lois Kim, Esq.	
700 Broady	vay	264 West 4	0 <sup>th</sup> Street	FILED
	New York 10003		New York 10018	
(212) 558-5	5500	(212) 302-2	: <del>4</del> 00	MAR - 1 2011
Dated: Nev	v York, New York			NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
SO ORDEF				
	Hon, Sherry Klo	ein Heitler, J.S.C	1. ∕•	

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JAMES H. MACK, JR.

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No. 102903/04 & 112123/00 & 108387/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without

costs.

Dated:

20

Frank Ortiz, Esq,

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN

& COURTNEY, P.C.

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories,

Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI

530 Saw Mill River Road Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.85752

FILED

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

JOHN YORK CARRY GLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.; 102903/04:112123/00

**GEORGE J. MALICK** 

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York 24, 2011 MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water

**Products Company** 

88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon, Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR COUNTY OF NEW YORK	K		
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	ī		
This Document Relates To:	Index No.: 106520-04		
FRED A. HOFMANN JR. and GENE ANN HOFMANN,			
Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER		
-against-			
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,			
Defendants.			
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC	., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby		
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case	, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section		
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against def	endants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN		
STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no	opposition thereto,		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend	ants, all claims and cross claims against defendant		
TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD,	INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with		
prejudice and without costs.	FILED		
Dated: 2/8/11			
New York, New York	MAR - 1 2011		
Benjamin Darche, Esq. SUZUM CATCUFFE, ESC.	NEW YORK Lisa M. Pascarella, RSQUINTY CLERK'S OFFICE		
Weitz & Luxenberg Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)	Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  Attorney for Defendant		
700 Broadway	Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.		
New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500	2430 Route 34 Manasquan, New Jersey 08736		
	(732) 528-8888		

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 14 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VALERIE ZUCKERMAN as Executrix for the Estate of MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and LAWRENCE ZUCKERMAN as Executor for the Estate of THELMA ZUCKERMAN

Index No. 111253/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

December 15, 2010

Hone Sherry Klein Heitler

Attorneys for Plaintiff DANIEL BLOUIN

Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway - 7th floor New York, NY 10003 Anna M. DiLonardo Attorneys for Defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co.

Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway

Hauppauge,

# 1188 E D

SO ORDERED.

PLAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK

JAN 31 2011

SKH - DATED:

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA ZUCKERMAN,

Index No. 111253/04, 125793/99,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

22,2011

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zucker

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 142011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA ZUCKERMAN,

Index No.: 111253/04, 125793/99,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

, 2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esa.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry I

FEB 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA ZUCKERMAN,

Index No. 111253/04)125793/99.

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York , 2011

Karvann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

(212) 558-5500

New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 20 New YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA ZUCKERMAN,

Index No. 111253/04) 125793/99. 113040/98

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT **MOTION AND ORDER** 

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and FILED without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR - 1 2011

Matthew T. Fairley, Esa.

Attorney for Defendant

Avocet Enterprises, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs

Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

RK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA ZUCKERMAN.

Index No. 111253/04 125793/99, 113040/98

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

**ν ν** \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

TYONK

JUNIY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY NYCAL ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: Index No. 114255/04) 106083/99 GERALD CLAYTON CARPENTER and LILLIAN P. CARPENTER, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiffs, SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER -against-A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and FILED NAR-1 2011 without costs.

Dated: New York, New York , 2011

Kerryann M. **2**ook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Gerald C. Carpenter and Lillian P. Carpenter

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(21<del>2) 558-5500</del>

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GERALD CLAYTON CARPENTER and LILLIAN P. CARPENTER,

ilidex ivo..

Index No.: (114255/04) 106083/99

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2011

Jehnifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Örtiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Gerald C. Carpenter and Lillian P. Carpenter

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

FEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GERALD CLAYTON CARPENTER and LILLIAN P. CARPENTER,

Index No.: (114255/04), 106083/99

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2011

MAR - 1 2011

Middle Abrillina

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004 (212) 509-3456

700 Broadway

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

New York, New York 10003

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

Gerald C. Carpenter and Lillian P. Carpenter

1235-3118

RK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30
ADDED TO BEITTOATTON	(Heitler, J.)
X	(**************************************
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 106083/99 100754/03 114255/04
Gerald C. Carpenter	
•	NO OPPOSITION
	SUMMARY
	JUDGMENT
	MOTION AND
	ORDER
X	
WHEREFORE, defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COM judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law plaintiffs' complaint against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COM being no opposition thereto,	v and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claim A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, be and the same are here without costs.	

Dated:

New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,

Julia Sens

EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Attorney for Defendant

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street

New York, New York 10017

Our File No. 053

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

TEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GERALD CLAYTON CARPENTER and LILLIAN P. CARPENTER,

Index No.: /114255/04 J106083/99

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York , 2011

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Gerald C. Carpenter and Lillian P. Carpenter

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

ILED

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: 115493/04, 00198/00,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

-NEW YORK

**∟GON**NTY CLERK'S OFFI**CE**,

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

whoth

FEB 14 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No. (115493/04)100198/00.

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

ryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003 MAR - 1 2011

(212) 558-5500

MAYORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

STREET COLLECTION OF THE STATE OF MEN VODE

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	NTSZCI A I
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	(Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	Index No.: 115493/2004
ISABELLA W. LUNDERMAN, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of CARL T. LUNDERMAN,	
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT
-against-	MOTION AND ORDER AS TO
KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR- OLIVER BOILER
Defendant.	COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, NY 2 3 \\\

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, R.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212)558-5500

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.

Attorneys for Defendant

KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER

COMPANY

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501

Harrison, New York 1052

(212) 661-1151

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No. 115493/04, 100198/00, 121774/97

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK INTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY **ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: (115493/04), 100198/00,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT **MOTION AND ORDER** 

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York , 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs

Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

1882 - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

<del>\_\_ 3 0</del> 4 2011

LEAK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA ANN RAMMACHER, Individually and Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD RAMMACHER,

Index No.: 101535/05

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 1/21/11\_, 201

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

LVan K

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Patricia Ann Rammacher and Richard Rammacher

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.Q.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFF 161 27140 FEB 14 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY **ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101535/05

PATRICIA ANN RAMMACHER, Individually and Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD RAMMACHER,

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross laims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

21/11,2017

Robert Darish, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Oakfabco Inc.

costs.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank U. Orfiz

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Patricia Ann Rammacher and Richard Rammacher

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

lein Heitler Hon. Sherry

FEB 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA ANN RAMMACHER, Individually and Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD RAMMACHER.

Index No.: 101535/05

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 1/2011, 2011

ennifer A. Huschetto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Patricia Ann Rammacher and Richard Lammacher

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 0 4 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

isdict

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA ANN RAMMACHER, Individually and Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD RAMMACHER,

Index No.: 101535/05

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

lennifer A. Puschetto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

/21/11, 2014

Frank Mortiz

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Patricia Ann Rammacher and Richard Rammacher

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 0 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30 (Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:

Burnett Laufer, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of James

Laufer.

Index No.: 102194/05

Plaintiff,

- against -

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without posts.

Dated:

Brooklyn, New York , 2011

Frank M. Ortiz Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff

Burnett Laufer, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate

of James Laufer

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10003

Justin W. TOLEN ESOFRICE

MAR - 1\_2011

MEW YORK

Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant

Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.

177 Montague Street

Brooklyn, New York 11201

(718) - 855 - 9000

Our File No.: 10924-4962

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102345/05

JIMMY C. ROBERTSON and ELLA MAE

ROBERTSON,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Kerry nn M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Jimmy C. Robertson and Ella

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED.

FEB 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102345/05

JIMMY C. ROBERTSON and ELLA MAE ROBERTSON,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

WYORK

LERK'S OFFICE

Mennifer A. Fuschetto. Esá

Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank U O Attorney for Plaintiffs

Jimmy C. Robertson and Ella Mae Robertson

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Klein Heitler

ischet

FEB 04 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JIMMY C. ROBERTSON and ELLA MAE ROBERTSON,

Index No.: 102345/05

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Thuck MOVE Attorney for Plaintiffs

Jimmy C. Robertson and Ella Mae Robertson

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

. . .

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102345/05

JIMMY C. ROBERTSON and ELLA MAE ROBERTSON,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1 , 201

FALED

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

20

MAD - 1 2011

NEW YORK

COUNTY ELERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Jimmy C. Robertson and Ella Mae Robertson

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

3 1 4 201<sup>1</sup>

454-10448

SKH - DATED:

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 102347/05) 123219/97

JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE COUGHLIN.

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York . 2011

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK DUNTYCLERK'S OFFI**CL** 

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Avocet Enterprises, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

John Coughlin and Barbara Anne Coughlin

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 102347/05

**JOHN COUGHLIN** 

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

, 2011

Frank Ortiz Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Helen Antoniou McCowan, Esq.

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,

LLP

Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water

**Products Company** 

88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10005

1 201

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	and the state of t	Index No.: 102347/05
In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTO	S LITIGATION	
JOHN COUGHLIN,	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
- against -		
AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al	••	
	Defendants.	
WHEREFORE, Defendant	WEIL-MCLAIN O	COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-
MCLAIN") hereby requests Summa	ry Judgment in the	above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil
·		iff's complaint against Defendant Weil-
McLain with prejudice, and there bei		
Dated: , 2011 New York, New York  Frank Ortiz, Esq.  WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500	Weil-McLa	Budner, Esq. IcCAMBRIDGE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE & MAHONEY, LTD. for Defendant
SO ORDERED,  Hon. Sherry K	(212) 651-	, NY 10022 7500
		FEB 0 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE COUGHLIN.

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: (102347/05) 123219/97

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

. 2011

NEW YORK

CLERK'S OFFICE)

Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs

John Coughlin and Barbara Anne Coughlin

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500\_

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FFB 142011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE COUGHLIN,

Index No.: (102347/05) 123219/97

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York L , 2011

ennifer A. Fuschetto, Ésq.

Attorney for/Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

John Coughlin and Barbara Anne Coughling

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Klein Heitler

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE COUGHLIN.

102347/05) 123219/97 Index No.2

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

, 2011

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

John Coughlin and Barbara Anne Coughlin

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

**NEW YORK** 

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RAYMOND LOBUS and JOAN CHURCH LOBUS,

Index No.:

105205/05. 121983/99

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York ,2011

FILED

Kerwann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Raymond Lobus and Joan Church Lobus

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RAYMOND LOBUS and JOAN CHURCH LOBUS.

Index No.: 105205/05, 21983/99

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Raymond Lobus and Joan Church Lobus

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

(212) 558-5500

New York, New York 100

3 ILE F

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2811

MEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

\_\_\_\_ **4 2011** 

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RAYMOND LOBUS and JOAN CHURCH LOBUS,

Index No.: (105205/05), 121983/99

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2011

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Raymond Lobus and Joan Church Lobus

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 1 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK NYCAL IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY I.A.S. Part 30 ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Heitler, J.) THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: Index No.: (105205/05) 121983/99 RAYMOND LOBUS and JOAN CHURCH LOBUS, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiffs, SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER -against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Raymond Lobus and Joan Church Lo

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

re hoth

FEB 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114081/05

RICHARD JANCA and EMILY JANCA,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

-against-

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2 2 , 2011

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ordz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Richard Janca and Emily Janca

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD JANCA and EMILY JANCA,

Index No.: 114081/05

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION

**SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2(2,2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

VEW YORK

CLERK'S OFFICE

Kerryann M. Look, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Richard Janca and Emily Janca

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

FEB 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114081/05

RICHARD JANCA and EMILY JANCA,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Richard Janca and Emily Janca

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

- 44 O

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114081/05

RICHARD JANCA and EMILY JANCA,

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

<u> つし</u>,201

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Richard Janca and Emily Janca

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

1 201

MAIN YORK OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

usehott

FEB 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY **ASBESTOS LITIGATION** 

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN R. CATANZARO and JOETT CATANZARO.

Index No.: (114151/05) 125799/00. 104449/00

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and FILED MAR - 1 2011

without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

, 2011

ERK'S OFFICE

Kerryann M. C ok, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

John R. Catanzaro and Joett Catanzaro

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FFR 1 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN R. CATANZARO and JOETT CATANZARO.

Index No. 114151/05 125799/00,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2/2, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs

John R. Catanzaro and Joett Catanzaro

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN R. CATANZARO and JOETT CATANZARO,

Index No. 114151/05 125799/00, 104449/00

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

John R. Catanzaro and Joett Catanzaro

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon, Sherry Klein Heitler

7 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN R. CATANZARO and JOETT CATANZARO,

Index No. 114151/05 125799/00, 104449/00

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR - 1 2011

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

John R. Catanzaro and Joett Catanzaro

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108351/00; 111109/00;

114496/05

JAMES H. MACK, JR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY** JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York , 2011

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water

**Products Company** 

88 Pine Street, 24th Floor

New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED.

Hon, Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 1 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY (Judge Heitler) ASBESTOS LITIGATION Index No.: 102335/06 This Document Relates to: Hazel Mildred Danson, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of Richard Danson, Plaintiff, NO OPPOSITION - against -SUMMARY JUDGMENT A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al., MOTION AND ORDER Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs. MAR - 1 2011 Brooklyn, New York Dated: Janay 26, 2011 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq. Justan M. Kafe, Exeq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Cullen and Dykman LLD Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant Hazel Mildred Danson, Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Individually and as Personal Inc. Representative for the 177 Montague Street Estate of Richard Danson Brooklyn, New York 11201 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor (718) 855-9000

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

New York, New York 10002

So Ordered:

Our File No.: 10924-5192

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HAZEL MILDRED DANSON, Individually and Personal Representative for the Estate of RICHARD DANSON,

Index No.: (102335/06) 112962/99. 109600/97

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Samie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Kentile Floors, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Hazel Mildred Danson and Richard Danson

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**NEW YORK** COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FFB 14 2011

2082-7023

/28/200

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK WITTER and BEVERLY WITTER,

-against-

Index No.: (103645/06) 122201/99. 114286/00

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York , 2011

ronn M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Mark Witter and Beverly Witter

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

(212) 558-5500

700 Broadway
New York, New York 1 603
LED

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE 1 2383-26035D

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK WITTER and BEVERLY WITTER.

Index No: 103645/06, 122201/99,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Mark Witter and Beverly Witter

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shen Klein Heitler

HEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK WITTER and BEVERLY WITTER,

Index No. 103645/06, 122201/99. 114286/00

Plaintiffs.

-against-

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

, 2011

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Mark Witter and Beverly Witter

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FFR 14 2011

From: FAXmaker

To: Margaret J

Page: 2/2

Date: 2/9/2011 3:06:59 PM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
X	NYCAL
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY	I.A.S. Part 30
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	(Heitler, J.)
X	
This document relates to:	Index No(: 103645/2006)
	114286/2000
	122201/1999
MARK WITTER,	
	NO OPPOSITION
	SUMMARY
Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT
	MOTION AND
-against-	ORDER AS TO
	DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	KEELER-DORR-
	OLIVER BOILER
Defendant.	<u>COMPANY</u>
X	

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Frank Ottiz, Esq. / Matthew Park. WEIZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.03.11

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212)558-5500

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq. MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.

Attorneys for Defendant

KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER

COMPANY

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501 Harrison, New York 10528

(212) 661-1151

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 1 4 2011

USW YORK SA GLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
	X NYCAL
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY	I.A.S. Part 30
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	(Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	Index No. 103645/2006 114286/2000
MARK WITTER,	122201/1999
WARE WILLER,	NO OPPOSITION
	SUMMARY
Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT
,	MOTION AND
-against-	ORDER AS TO
	DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	
<b>- a t</b>	OLIVER BOILER
Defendant.	COMPANY
WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIV	TER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practi	ce Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BO	ILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto.	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, a	ll claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-
DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are	hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.
Dated: New York, New York	Marguet 2/2
Frank Offiz, Esq. / Matthew Park WELTZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.14.11	Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq. MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Plaintiff	Attorneys for Defendant
700 Broadway	KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
New York, New York 10003	COMPANY
(212)558-5500	500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
	Harrison, New York 10528
SO ORDERED:	Harrison, New York 10528 (212) 661-1151
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler	MAR - 1 2011
Honoraule Sherry Kent-Heitier	WYORK TELOK
	MAR - MEN YORK MEN YORK OLERKS OFFICE
rcp 1 / 201	چې پېښون <b>1</b>

IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY		x : NYCAL	
IN KE.	ASBESTOS LITIGATION		NICAL	
CAROL B.	AKER, as Executrix for the ITTER, and BEVERLY WIT	Estate of :	X : Index No. 122201/99 : 114286/00 : 103645/06	
	Plaintiffs, -against-	; : :	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
A.C. and S	., INC., et al.,		Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30	
	Defendar	nts. :	$\mathbf{X}$	
WH	FREFORE defendants The	Goodyear Ti	re & Rubber Company and Goodyear	
	ŕ	•	the above-entitled case, pursuant to	
•	• •		inst The Goodyear Tire & Rubber	
	<u> </u>	_	lice, and there being no opposition	
hereto, it is	•			
•	•	o all co-defe	endants, all claims and cross claims	
	•		d Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the	
_	reby dismissed with prejudic			
Dated: Ney	York, New York			
	CUXENBERG, P.C. or Plaintiffs	Attorneys	DASKAL EMERY LLP for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & ompany and Goodyear Canada Inc.	
By: / (	Matthew Park	By: M	Lawrence G. Lee	
700 Broadw New York, 1 212) 558-5	New York 10003	264 West New York (212) 302-	x, New York 10018	
·	York, New York	(212) 302	NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFI	CE
		2/1/	FEB 1 4 2011	
O ORDER	ED: Hon Sherry Kleir	W/		

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK WITTER and BEVERLY WITTER,

Index No.: 103645/06 122201/99,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Mark Witter and Beverly Witter Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA FLOWERS.

Index No.: (104363/06) 122599/99,

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Kerryenn M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(21<del>2) 55</del>8-5500

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

TIMIN 1 LOTT

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA FLOWERS.

Index No.: 104363/06, 122599/99,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York , 2011

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

**NEW YORK** COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE.

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 1 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Heitler, J.) THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: Index No. (104363/06) 122599/99, DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA 121829/97 FLOWERS. Plaintiffs. NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT -against-MOTION AND ORDER A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK

ERK'S OFFICE

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_\_

Hon, Sherry Klein Heitler

New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500

700 Broadway

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers

3 0 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA FLOWERS,

Index No. 104363/06) 122599/99, 121829/97

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York , 2011

FILED MAR - 1 2011

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Avocet Enterprises, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB U4 2011,

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA FLOWERS,

Index No. 104363/06 122599/99, 121829/97

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

49

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED, \_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONNA M. MEDER, as Personal Representative for the Estate of DONALD E. SIERING

Index No. 107081/01 106797/06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

**\_**--\*

Attorneys for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway - 7th floor

New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria

Attorneys for Defendant Lockheed Martin Corp.

Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway

Hauppauge, NY 11796

NY 11766

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Shern Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

COUNTY CLERKS CALLOS

MAN 31 2011.

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONNA M. MEDER, as Personal Representative for the Estate of DONALD E. SIERING

Index No. 107081/01 106797/06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Šta. Maria

Attorneys for Defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co.

Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway

Hauppauge, HY 1

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherrý Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK
OCCUPATY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 3 1 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONNA M. MEDER, as Personal Representative for the 106797/06 Estate of DONALD E. SIERING

Index No. 107081/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

/2 \ ,2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway - 7th floor New York, NY 10003 Noriel Sta. Maria

Attorneys for Defendant

BMCE Inc.

Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway

Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

JAN J 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107899/06

NELDA SIMUNOVICH, as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of MARTIN SIMUNOVICH and NEIDA SIMUNOVICH, Individually,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Kentile Floors, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Michael Favelli

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Martin Simunovich and Neida Simunovich

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherwiklein Heitler

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NEIDA SIMUNOVICH, as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of MARTIN SIMUNOVICH, and NEIDA SIMUNOVICH, Individually

Index No. 107899/06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway - 7th floor New York, NY 10003

2011

Anna M. DiLonardo

Attorneys for Defendant

Robert A. Keasbey Co.

Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway

Hauppauge, NY

SO ORDERED,

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SKH - DATED:

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL LA.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH M. HARCROW, SR. and EVELYN HARCROW,

Index No. 110978/06 125775/99

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT

MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant. Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. FILED

Dated: New York, New York

, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK

CLERK'S OFFICE

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Joseph M. Harcrow, Sr. and Evelyn Harcrow

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

Hon, Sherry Klein Heitler

FFB 142011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH M. HARCROW, SR. and EVELYN HARCROW,

Index No. 110978/06 125775/99

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc. McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Joseph M. Harcrow, Sr. and Evelyn Harcrow

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 1000

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED, \_

n Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH M. HARCROW, SR. and EVELYN HARCROW,

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: (110978/06) 125775/99

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Joseph M. Harcrow, Sr. and Evelyn Harcro-

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P. 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003<sub>MAR</sub> - 1 2011

(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

F58 04 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.

110978/06,)125775/99

JOSEPH M. HARCROW, SR. and EVELYN HARCROW,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

**1/2**, 2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

HEW YORK

OCCERK'S OFFICE!

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Joseph M. Harcrow, Sr. and Evelyn Harcrow

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FFB 1 4 2011

2383-26074AF

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LINDA A. HUSSAIN as the Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH C. LANG and NANCY LANG, Individually,

Index No. 112048/06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

Feb 4., 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Fowell,

Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway - 7th floor

New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo

Attorneys for Defendant

Robert A. Keasbey Co.

Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway

Hauppauge, NY\_11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

- RAM

AR - WORK OFFICE

FEB 1 8 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN M. KING and ANGELINE KING.

Index No.: 100678/07/, 121987/99

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

ennifer A. Fuschetto, Ésq.

Attorney for/Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

John M. King and Angeline King

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 1 4 2011 OUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101422/07) 119840/98

CHRISTOPHER KANE and BARBARA KANE,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION

SUMMARY JUDGMENT

-against-

**MOTION AND ORDER** 

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

ennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

(len Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (101422/07, 119840/98

CHRISTOPHER KANE and BARBARA KANE,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION

**SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

, 2011

Måtthew T. Fairley, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Avocet Enterprises, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CHRISTOPHER KANE and BARBARA KANE,

119840/98 101422/07. Index No.(

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

**Treadwell Corporation** 

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

New York, New York 10003

Christopher Kane and Barbara Kage

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

ME-I YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CHRISTOPHER KANE and BARBARA KANE.

Index No. 101422/07) 119840/98

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** 

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK

CLERK'S OFFICE

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FFB 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.

101422/07 ) 119840/98

CHRISTOPHER KANE and BARBARA KANE.

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT

-against-

MOTION AND ORDER

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

YORK

ERK'S OFFICE

Kerrrann M. Look, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**3** 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102706/07, 100681/94

GUNARS TRESS, and GUNARS TRESS, as Executor for the Estate of ANN TRESS.

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

January 26, 2019

Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Kentile Floors, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Gunars Tress and Ann Tress

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

MAR - 1 2011

(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 142011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: NYCAL :
ANNA E. BLENKENSOPP, as Personal Representative for the Estate of DAVID BLENKENSOPP, and ANNA E. BLENK Individually,	: Index Nos. 104633/07 : 100773/03 : 119388/00 : NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiff(s)	), : SUMMARY JUDGMENT : <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
-against-	: :
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.	, et al., Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendant	
Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and ORDERED, that upon notice to al	gainst The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and d there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby: Il co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against y and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are nout costs.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff	LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Corapany and Goodyear Canada Inc.
By: Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.	By: Mule Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.
700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500	264 West 40 <sup>th</sup> Street New York, New York 10018 (212) 302-2400  MAR - 1 2011
Dated: New York, New York	THERK'S OFFICE,
SO ORDERED: Hon. Sherry Klein	Heitler, J.S.C.

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

This Desyment Delates to:

(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104634/07

**NESTORI J. PAKIN** 

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water

**Products Company** 

88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, as Executor for the Estate of FRANCESCO ORILIA, and BENEDETTA ORILIA, Individually

Index No. 104634/07 115787/01 112680/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway - 7th floor

New York, NY 10003

Julie L. Mer

Attorneys for Defendant

BMCE Inc.

Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway

Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, as Executor for the Estate of FRANCESCO ORILIA, and BENEDETTA ORILIA, Individually

Index No 104634/07 115787/01 112680/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

120

201

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway - 7th floor

New York, NY 10003

Julie L. Mer

Attorneys for Defendant Lockheed Martin Corp.

Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway

Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

7 201

NEW YORK OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, as Executor for the Estate of FRANCESCO ORILIA, and BENEDETTA ORILIA, Individually

Index No. 104634/07 115787/01 112680/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway - 7th floor New York, NY 10003

Julie L. Mer

Attorneys for Defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co.

Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANN SHAW, as Executrix for the Estate of NATHAN GLOWATZ,

Index No. 116794/07 104983/00

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

**ユノム** , 2011

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs

Nathan Glowatz

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

EILEC

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANN SHAW, as Executrix for the Estate of NATHAN GLOWATZ,

Index No.: (116794/07) 104983/00

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Nathan Glowatz

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED, \_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANN SHAW, as Executrix for the Estate of NATHAN GLOWATZ,

Index No.: (116794/07) 104983/00

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Nathan Glowatz

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WAR - 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-20352

FEB 1 4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY **ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANN SHAW, as Executrix for the Estate of NATHAN GLOWATZ,

Index No.: (116794/07,)104983/00

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York , 2011

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Nathan Glowatz

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York New York 11000

(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

**NEW YORK** 

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI and PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI,

Index No.: (116796/07) 121988/99

Plaintiffs.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR - 1 2811

**NEW YORK** 

CLERK'S OFFICE

FILED

Kertyann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Patterson Pump Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Felix M. Juzdowski and Patricia Juzdowski

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30
	(Heitler, J.)
X	
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190056/09
Graeme Dalzell	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant RSCC WIRE & CABLE,	2 222
Rockbestos Company," hereby requests summary judgment in the ab	•
	• •
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' of	complaint against defendant
RSCC WIRE & CABLE, INC. with prejudice, and there being no o	opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants	s, all claims and cross claims
against defendant RSCC WIRE & CABLE, INC., be and the sa	

Dated:

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

prejudice and without costs.

Attorney for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,

EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Attorney for Defendant

ROCKESTOS-SURPRENANT

CABLE CORP, s/h/a

ROCKBESTOS INC.

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street

New York, New York 10017

212-490-3000

Our File No.: 07696 04047

SO ORDERED,

Hon Shark Holland LB 14 2011

MAR - 1 2011

SKH - DATED:

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JOHN CONNOLLY and MARY CONNOLLY,	-X Index No. 09-190101
Plaintiffs, - against -  3M COMPANY, et al.,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	
	PORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company,
and improperly named as "Successor in Interest to The	•
	•
the Pneumo Abex Corporation, a wholly owned subsid	diary of Abex, Inc.", hereby requests Summary
Sudgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C	Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212,
lismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendan	it GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F.
GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there	being no opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defe	endants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against
Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the	e same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
BY:  Belluck & Pox, LLP  546 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, th Floor New York, NY 10036 (212)681-1575 Attorneys for Plaintiff	Patrick J. Dwyer SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER & BRENNAN, LLP 2 Research Way, Princeton, NJ 08540

FEB 1 4 2011

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NYCAL COUNTY OF NEW YORK IAS Part 30 (Heitler, J.) IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION LARRY V. COON and EUNICE COON INDEX NO: 09-190152 NO OPPOSITION Plaintiff(s), SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION -against-AND ORDER

ALFA LAVAL, INC., et al.

Defendant(s)

WHEREFORE, defendant Armstrong International, Inc. (Individually and as successor to Armstrong Machine Works) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Armstrong International, Inc. (Individually and as successor to Armstrong Machine Works) with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Armstrong International Inc., (Individually and as successor to Armstrong Machine Works) be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York January 3)81, 2011

MARK STRAUSS, ESQ. Brian Early EARLY, LUDWICK, SWEENEY

&STRAUSS

360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>TH</sup> FL

New York, New York

THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO. ESO.

La Sorsa & Beneventano Attorney for Defendant

ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(S/H/A Armstrong International, Inc., Individually and as Successor to Armstrong Machine Works)

3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

SKH - DATEDE BULLY 20FRKS

#### AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

STATE OF NEW YORK

) SS:

**COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER)** 

**BRANDI MORABITO**, being duly sworn deposes and says: that she is employed in the LA SORSA & BENEVENTANO, is over the age of 18 years and to a party to this action.

That on FEBRUARY (2011 she served the annexed: **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION** 

RE: Larry V. Coon and Eunice Coon v. Alfa Laval, Inc., et al

UPON:

EARLY, LUDWICK, SWEENEY & STRAUSS Attorneys for Plaintiffs 360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR New York, NY (212) 986-2233

ALL DEFENSE COUNSEL (see attached rider)

by depositing true copies therewith in a Post Office Box regularly maintained by the Government of the United States and under the care of the Postmaster of the County of New York Post Office, New York, enclosed in a securely closed wrapper with the postage prepaid, addressed to said attorneys at the addresses noted above. Those being the addresses designated in the last papers served herein by said attorneys.

BRANDI MORABITO

Sworn to before me this

O day of FEBRUARY 2011

DAVID DECKER

Notary Public, State of New York

No.: 02DE6118048 Qualified in Ulster County Commission Expires 11/01/12

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 103060/02
Henrietta P. Barry, as Executrix for the Estate Barry, and Henrietta P. Barry, Individually	of Howard J.
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Wire & Cable Co., hereby requests summary Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,	N INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda y judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ecessor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable osition thereto,
	o-defendants, all claims and cross claims against and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & ed without prejudice and without costs.
Dated: New York, New York	Julie Erans
Frank Ortiz, Esq.	Julie R. Evans, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Attorney for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003

> Cable Co. 150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street

Attorney for Defendant

ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire &

New York, New York 10017 212-490-3000 Our File No. 07536.00278

MAR - 1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE COUNTY OF NEW YORK	•	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		Index No.: 190288/09
ANNA M. TRIVILINO and ALFREI		NYCAL NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
-against-	Plaintiffs,	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., e	et al.,	
	Defendants.	
WHEREFORE, Defendant KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY INC., and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and their agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendants"), hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: NewYork, New York, New York		

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190350/09
Thomas Williams and Kathleen Williams	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant S.W. ANDERSON SA	ALES CORP hereby requests
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civi	l Practice Law and Rules Section
3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant S.W.	ANDERSON SALES CORP. with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend against defendant S.W. ANDERSON SALES CORP. be and the	
prejudice and without costs.	
Dated: 3/8/11  Kevin Berry, Esq.  Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.C.  Attorney for Plaintiff  110 William Street, 26 <sup>th</sup> Floor  New York, New York 10038  S. 212-267-3091  15	ie Evans, Esq. ILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, DELMAN & DICKER LLP torney for Defendant W. ANDERSON SALES CORP. D East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street W York, New York 10017 2-490-3000 Ir File No.: F1227.00001
SO ORDERED,  Hon. Sherry K. Heitler	MAR: - 1 2011  MEW YORK  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 1 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STAT		
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		Index No.: 190355/2009
KAREN RICHMAN,	X	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
-against-	Plaintiff,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
BONDEX INTERNATIONAL, et al.	••	AND ORDER
	Defendants.	
present parents, affiliates and substand their agents, heirs and assigns the above-entitled case, pursuant the Plaintiff's Complaint against Defethereto,	sidiaries and its predeces ("Defendants"), herebeto Civil Practice Law and endants with prejudice, at all co-defendants, and ereby dismissed with prejudice By:    LEWIS BRISBO   Michelle   Attorney   KAISER   199 Wat	y request Summary Judgment in d Rules Section 3212, dismissing and there being no opposition  Il diair Englished Toss claims against prejudice and without posts.  JUL 28  PART 30  DIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP  Imbasciani, Esq. s for Defendant GYPSUM COMPANT INCLE D er Street, 25th Floor k, New York 10038 2-1406  MAR - 1 2011
SO ORDERED:  Sherry Klein Heitle  Justice of the Supr	reme Court(	Date: FEB A 20 CLERK'S OFFICE
of the State of Nev	v York	little Outind

4811-6567-7061.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler) This Document Relates to: Index No.:190419/09 James Baxley, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiff, SUMMARY JUDGMENT - against -MOTION AND ORDER Anchor Packing Company, et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs'

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and

Dated:

Brooklyn, New York

there being no opposition thereto,

, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff James Baxlev 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10003

Ragher Bandlamudi, Esc.

Cullen and Dykman LLP

Attorneys for Defendant MAR - 1 2011

Goulds Pumps Inc.

177 Montague Street

Your York 1120 FW YORK Brooklyn, New York 1120't COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Our File No.: 6754-10860

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 182011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY I.A.S. Part 30 ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler) This Document Relates to: Index No.:190008/10 John H. Zaugg, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiff, SUMMARY JUDGMENT - against -MOTION AND ORDER Anchor Packing Company, et al., Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff John H. Zaugg 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10003 Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq. AR - 1 2011
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant WYORK
Goulds Pumps Inc. OUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 6754-10891

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190025/10

JOHN MLINCSEK and JOAN MLINCSEK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND **ORDER** 

WHEREFORE, defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor in interest to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC ("Rockwell Automation, Inc.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Rockwell Automation, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

IT IS ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

Shris Romanelli, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10007-1474

oh P! LaSala, Esq.

AcElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation,

Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley

Company, LLC

88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

MAR - 1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190025/10

JOHN MLINCSEK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York
Januane 24, 2011

Chris Romanelli, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water

**Products Company** 

88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 1 1005

-1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK OLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE:

NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:

John Mlincsek,

Index No.:190025/10

Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- against -

Anchor Packing Company, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs. FILED

Dated:

Brooklyn, New York

, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff John Mlincsek 700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10003

MAR - 1 2011

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esd. EWYORK Cullen and Dykmoounto CLERK'S OFFICE

Attorneys for Defendant

Goulds Pumps Inc. 177 Montague Street

Brooklyn, New York 11201

(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 6754-10885

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL NEW YORK COUNTY I.A.S. Part 30 IN RE: (Judge Heitler) ASBESTOS LITIGATION Index No.:190033/10 This Document Relates to: Philip LaRocca, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiff, SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER - against -Anchor Packing Company, et al., Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Philip LaRocca 700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10003 Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq Cullen and Dykman LIP

Attorneys for Defendant

Goulds Pumps Inc. 177 Montague StreetMAR - 1 2011

Brooklyn, New York 11201 (718) 855-9000

NEW JOHK

Our File No.: 6754 NT0895 RK'S OFFICE,

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY (Judge Heitler) ASBESTOS LITIGATION Index No.:190046/10 This Document Relates to: Jay Nissinoff, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiff, SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER - against -Anchor Packing Company, et al., Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

Brooklyn, New York

, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Jay Nissinoff 700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10003 Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant Goulds Pumps Inc. 177 Montague Street

Brooklyn, New York 11201

(718) 855-9000 Our File No.: 6754 109

MAR - 1 2011

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK OUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	X
SALVATORE FERRARI,	: Index No. 190057-10
Plaintiffs, -v-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	· . · :
Defendants.	: Justice Sherry K. Heitler : x
WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. ('judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practic	
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Lennox w	rith prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	
Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all cla	aims and cross claims against defendant
Lennox Industries Inc. be and the same are hereby dismisse	d with prejudice and without costs.
Dated: New York, New York  2/13, 2011	
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC	DARGER & ERRANTE LLP
By: Patty Burshtyn, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500  SO ORDERED: Justice Sherry K. Heitler	Jennifer W. Darger, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant 116 E. 27th Street, 12th Floor New York, NY 1001  (212) 452-5300  LIA - 4525301  FEB 1 4 2011  FEB 1 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
This Document Relates To: :	
·	NYCAL
SALVATORE FERRARI, :	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
: against-	Index No(s).: 190057-10
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants. :	
<b>A</b>	

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. on behalf of named defendants Crane Co. and Pacific Valves, Individually and as a Subsidiary of Crane Co. (hereinafter "CRANE CO.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Telavaw 14, 20| New York, New York

Michael Roberts, Esq. Porth Bus Mtyn, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

K&**Z** GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO.

599 Lexington Avenue

212 - 536 -3901 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 1 4 2011\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	ORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATI	ON : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
N 000000000000000000000000000000000000	X
This Document Relates To:	; Y 1 N 100050/10
CARLOS SIMMONS,	: Index No.: 190062/10
Plaintiff,	
- against -	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS and PIRELLI CABLE CORPORATION, et al.	: JUDGMENT MOTION :
Defendants.	•
D VIVII WALLES	:
SYSTEMS USA, LLC, incorrectly sued herein as (hereinafter "PRYSMIAN"), hereby requests sum case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules complaint against defendant PRYSMIAN with prethereto,	nmary judgment in the above-entitled Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-de against defendant PRYSMIAN be and the same ar without costs.	
Dated:, 201	
	- X :00: ~ 5. M : 1.0
Bryan Belasky, Esq.	William T. Miedel, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP	HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Defendant
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor	PRYSMIAN COMMUNICATIONS
New York, NY 10036	CABLES AND SYSTEMS USA LLC
(212) 681-1575	100 Wall Street
	New York, NY 10005 (212) 687-0100 MAR - 1 2011
XXX	- 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
NIY CLERK'S OFFICE
FEB 14 2011

SKH - DATED:

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190072/10
Heinz Meyer and Grace Meyer	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CARRIER CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS, (herein after "CARRIER CORPORATION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CARRIER CORPORATION without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CARRIER CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Patti Burshtyn

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorney for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,

EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Attorney for Defendant

CARRIER CORPORATION

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street

New York, New York 10017

Our Rile Number: 1055 1:008 1

212-490-3038 MAR-1 2011

SO ORDERED,

FEB 44 20 NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	YORK
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGA	
This Document Relates To:	· ;
HEINZ MEYER and GRACE MEYER,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 190072-10
ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	· :

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., on behalf of named defendants CRANE CO. and JENKINS VALVES, INC. ("CRANE CO."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Teloruary 14 201 New York, New York

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Es

K&L GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

CRANE CO.

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022-6030

(212) 536-3900

OLEAKS OF

FEB 1 4 2011

COUNT		X
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: X
This Do	cument Relates To:	;
A DNIOI	D STEDN and SHIDLES STEDN	: NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY : JUDGMENT MOTION ON
AKNOL	D STERN and SHIRLEE STERN,	BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR
	Plaintiffs	
	- against -	; I I NI 1000#2/10
ARS PO	WER BRAKE, INC., et al.,	: Index No.: 190073/10
шого		•
	Defendants	. :
		; Y
C		efendants, all claims and cross-claims agains
defendar and with Dated: N	ORDERED, that upon notice to all codes, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and out costs.  New York, New York anuary 24, 2011	efendants, all claims and cross-claims agains the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice
lefendar nd with Dated: N J	ORDERED, that upon notice to all codat, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and out costs.  Jew York, New York	efendants, all claims and cross-claims agains the same are hereby dismissed with prejudic By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.
lefendar nd with Dated: N J By: Jorda BELLUC	ORDERED, that upon notice to all codes, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and out costs.  New York, New York anuary 24, 2011  an C. Fox, Esq. CR & RCX LLP s for Plantiffs	efendants, all claims and cross-claims agains the same are hereby dismissed with prejudic By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.  AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
efendar nd with Dated: N J By: Jord BELLUC Attorney 46 Fifth	DRDERED, that upon notice to all code at, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and out costs.  New York, New York anuary 24, 2011  an C. Rox, Esq.  CK & ROX LLP stor Plaintiffs  Avenue 4th Floor	efendants, all claims and cross-claims agains the same are hereby dismissed with prejudic By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.  AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP Attorneys for Defendant
lefendar ind with Dated: N J By: Jord BELLUC Attorney	ORDERED, that upon notice to all codes, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and out costs.  New York, New York anuary 24, 2011  an C. Fox, Esq. CR & RCX LLP s for Plantiffs	efendants, all claims and cross-claims agains the same are hereby dismissed with prejudic By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.  AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
lefendar nd with Dated: N J By: Jord BELLUC Attorney	DRDERED, that upon notice to all code at, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and out costs.  New York, New York anuary 24, 2011  an C. Rox, Esq.  CK & ROX LLP stor Plaintiffs  Avenue 4th Floor	By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.  AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP  Attorneys for Defendant  Ford Motor Corporaty  New York, New York 10016
lefendar ind with Dated: N J By: Jord BELLUC Attorney	DRDERED, that upon notice to all code at, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and out costs.  New York, New York anuary 24, 2011  an C. Rox, Esq.  CK & ROX LLP stor Plaintiffs  Avenue 4th Floor	By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.  AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP Attorneys for Defendant  Ford Motor Corporaty  600 Third Avenu  New York, New York 10016  T: 212-593-6700 MAR - 1 2011
defendar and with Dated: N J By: Jord BELLUC Attorney	DRDERED, that upon notice to all code at, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and out costs.  New York, New York anuary 24, 2011  an C. Rox, Esq.  CK & ROX LLP stor Plaintiffs  Avenue 4th Floor	By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.  AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP Attorneys for Defendant  Ford Motor Corporaty  Ford Motor Corporaty  New York, New York 10016  T: 212-593-6700  F: 212-593-6970
lefendar and with Dated: N J By: Jord BELLUC Attorney 46 Fifth New Yor	DRDERED, that upon notice to all code at, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and out costs.  New York, New York anuary 24, 2011  The C. Fox, Esq.  CR & ROX LLP as for Plaintiffs and Avenuel 4th Floor ck, New York 10036	By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.  AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP Attorneys for Defendant  Ford Motor Corporaty  Ford Motor Corporaty  New York, New York 10016  T: 212-593-6700  F: 212-593-6970
defendar and with Dated: N J By: Jord BELLUC Attorney 646 Fifth New Yor	DRDERED, that upon notice to all code at, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and out costs.  New York, New York anuary 24, 2011  The C. Fok, Esq.  C. Rok,	By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.  AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Ford Motor Containy LED 600 Third Avenu New York, New York 10016 T: 212-593-6700 MAR - 1 2011 F: 212-593-6970  NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
defendar and with Dated: N By: Jord BELLUC Attorney 546 Fifth New Yor	DRDERED, that upon notice to all code at, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and out costs.  New York, New York anuary 24, 2011  The C. Fox, Esq.  CR & ROX LLP as for Plaintiffs and Avenuel 4th Floor ck, New York 10036	AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Ford Motor Contrary L E D 600 Third Avenu New York, New York 10016 T: 212-593-6700 MAR - 1 2011 F: 212-593-6970  NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
defendar and with Dated: N J By: Jord BELLUC Attorney	DRDERED, that upon notice to all code at, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and out costs.  New York, New York anuary 24, 2011  an C. Rox, Esq. CK & ROX LLP s for Plaintiffs Avenue 4th Floor-ck, New York 10036  ERED: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HI	By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.  AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP  Attorneys for Defendant  Ford Motor Contrary LED  600 Third Avenu  New York, New York 10016  T: 212-593-6700 MAR - 1 2011  F: 212-593-6970  NEW YORK  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY ----X NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler) Index No.:190108/10 This Document Relates to: Richard Bruno, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiff, SUMMARY JUDGMENT - against -MOTION AND ORDER Anchor Packing Company, et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and

cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

Brooklyn, New York 2141204

, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Richard Bruno 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10003 Rağhu Bandlamudi, Esq.

Cullen and Dykman LP Attorneys for Defendant ED

Goulds Pumps Inc. 177 Montague Street

Brooklyn, New York MAR 0-11 2011

(718) 855-9000 OUD File No.: 6754-10979 YORK

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 NEW YORK COUNTY (Judge Heitler) ASBESTOS LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Index No.:190122/10 James Marshall, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiff, SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER - against -Anchor Packing Company, et al., Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Brooklyn, New York Dated:

, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff James Marshall 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10003 Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.

Goulds Pumps Inc.

Brooklyn, New York 11201

(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 6754-4866

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL NEW YORK COUNTY I.A.S. Part 30 IN RE: (Judge Heitler) ASBESTOS LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Index No.:190127/10 Edward Bittner, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff, MOTION AND ORDER - against -Anchor Packing Company, et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with FILED prejudice and without costs. Brooklyn, New York Dated: MAR - 1 2011HEN YORK Raghu Bandlamudi, CRSIQINTY CLERK'S OFFICE , Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Cullen and Dykman LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff Edward Bittner 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10003

Attorneys for Defendant

Goulds Pumps Inc. 177 Montague Street

Brooklyn, New York 11201

(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 6754-8298

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 182011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 NEW YORK COUNTY (Judge Heitler) ASBESTOS LITIGATION Index No.:190128/10 This Document Relates to: Carl A. Steiner, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff, MOTION AND ORDER - against -Anchor Packing Company, et al., Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

Brooklyn, New York

, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Carl A. Steiner 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10003 MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-11029

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 1 8 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY ----X NYCALI.A.S. Part 30 NEW YORK COUNTY IN RE: (Judge Heitler) ASBESTOS LITIGATION Index No.:190136/10 This Document Relates to: Joseph P. McDermott, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiff, SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER - against -Anchor Packing Company, et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs. Brooklyn, New York Dated: 2/4/2011

, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Joseph P. McDermott 700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant Goulds Pumps Inc.

177 Montague Street

Brooklyn, New York (718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 6754-5400

MAR - 1 2011

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190138/10

DONALD CONROY and BARBARA CONROY.

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION

NYCAL.

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Weir Valves & Controls, Individually and as successor to and d/b/a Atwood & Morrill Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Weir Valves & Controls, Individually and as successor to and d/b/a Atwood & Morrill Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Weir Valves & Controls, Individually and as successor to and d/b/a Atwood & Morrill Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Atwood & Morrill Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Mark Bibro, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

NEW YORK Donald Conroy and Barbara CORTONTY CLERK'S OFFICE

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC

360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, New York 10170

<del>(212)</del> 986-2233

FEB 14 2011

FLED

MAR - 1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY **ASBESTOS LITIGATION** 

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

RECEIVED JAN 2 3 EB Index No.: 190138/10

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD CONROY and BARBARA CONROY,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant. The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

, 2010

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

The Nash Engineering Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Mark Bibro, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Donald Conroy and Barbara Conroy

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC

360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, New York 10170

(212)-986-2233

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SAY YOUR COURSEY CLERICS OF FICE. SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 IN RE: **NEW YORK CITY** (Heitler, S.) ASBESTOS LITIGATION Index No. 10-190138 and Fourth Party Index No. THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: 590035 DONALD and BARBARA CONROY NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY** JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Third-Party Defendant/Fourth-Party Plaintiff Cushman and Wakefield, Inc.'s Complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all parties, all claims and cross claims by Fourth Party Plaintiff Cushman and Wakefield, Inc. against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

Frances B. Stella, Esq.

BRACH EICHLÉR, L.L.C.

Attorneys for Fourth-Party Plaintiff Cushman and Wakefield LLC

101 Eisenhower Parkway,

Roseland, NJ 07068

(973) 403-3149

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.

Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.

NIXON PEABODY LLP

Attorneys for Defendant,

Patterson-Kelley Company

Key Towers at Fountain PlazanAR - 1 2011

40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500

Buffalo, NY 14202 (716) 853-8100

NEW YORK
OUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon, Sherry Klein Heitler

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

-<del>/4N-8-1-2011</del> --

SKH - DATED: FEB 182011

13098823.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

. \_ \_ \_ \_ X

This Document Relates to: John S. Vikelis,

Plaintiff,

- against -

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30 (Judge Heitler)

Index No.:190144/10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Anchor Packing Company, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

Brooklyn New York

, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff John S. Vikelis 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10003 MAR - 1 2011

Raghu Bandlamudi EggERK'S OFFICE

Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant Goulds Pumps Inc.

177 Montague Street

Brooklyn, New York 11201

(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 6754-10937

So Ordered:

on. Sherry W. Heitler

FEB 182011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
GEORGE ROBINSON,	
Plaintiff, - against -  A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,  Defendants.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	-X
WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CO	RPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company,
and improperly named as "Successor in Interest to T	he Cleveland Pneumatic Company, a Division of
the Pneumo Abex Corporation, a wholly owned sub	sidiary of Abex, Inc.", hereby requests Summary
Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to	Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212,
dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defenda	ant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F.
GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there	e being no opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-De	fendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against
Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and to	he same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.	
Dated: New York, New York  Feb. 7, 2011  BY:  Belluck & Fow, LLP 546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor New York, NY 10036 (212)681-1575 Attorneys for Plaintiff Joseph W. Belluck  SO ORDERED  Here Sherry Heitler	Patrick J. Dwyer SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER & BRENNAN, LLP 2 Research Way, Princeton, NJ 08540 (609)924-6000 Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION
Hon. Sherry Heitler	FEB 1 4 2011

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LI	TIGATION
This Document Relates to:	NYCAL
GEORGE ROBINSON	I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
GLORGE ROBINSON	Index No. 190170/10
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant THE ST	ROBER ORGANIZATION, INC., hereby
requests Summary Judgment in the above-	entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law
and Rules Section 3212, dismissing pla	intiffs' complaint against defendant THE
STROBER ORGANIZATION, INC, wit	h prejudice, and there being no opposition
thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all	co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against defendant THE STROBER ORG	ANIZATION, INC, be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without	costs.
Dated: 2/11/2011 . 3016	
	(and lempto
Jéssica Russell, Esq.	Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Seth A. Dymond	
BELLUCK & FOX	MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
Attorneys for Plaintiff 546 Fifth Avenue, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor	& COURTNEY, P.C.
New York, New York 10036	Attorneys for THE STROBER
(212) 681-1575	ORGANIZATION, INC
(212) 081-1373	530 Saw Mill River Road Elmoford New York 10523
	Elmsford, New York 10523
•	(914) 345-7301 File No.: 569.87038
$\bigcirc$	File No.: 569.87038 FILED
6/41	
SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry Klein Hei	MAR - 1 2011
	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,
	COMMITTER

FEB 14 3811

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 NEW YORK COUNTY IN RE: ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler) Index No.:190171/10 This Document Relates to: Joe Martin, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff, - against -MOTION AND ORDER Anchor Packing Company, et al., Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Joe Martin 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10003 Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.

Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant

Goulds Pumps Inc.

MAR - 1 2011

177 Montague Street

Brooklyn, New York 11201N YORK Our File No.: 6754-11014

So Ordered:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	NYCAL x I.A.S. Part 30
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGA	TION (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To: RICHARD W. DUQUETTE AND DORIS H. DUQUETTE,	Index No.: 190182-10
Plaintiff(s), -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.	
Defendants	X
	<b>V</b>
WHEREFORE, Defendant, WEY	ERHAEUSER COMPANY, sued herein
as "WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY", and its pa	st and present parents, affiliates and
subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in	-
("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgmer	
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opp	
	•
, <del>-</del>	ll co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against Defendant, be and the same are her	reby dismissed with prejudice and without
costs.	
Signed by Defendant: September 14, 2010	
Signed by Plaintiff: February 16, 20 11	· •
Jason Hodrinsky, Esq. EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC	Genevieve MacSteel, Esq. McGuireWoods LLP Attorneys for Defendant
Attorneys for Plaintiff 360 Lexington Avenue, 20 <sup>th</sup> Fl.	1345 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10017	7 <sup>th</sup> Floor
(212) 986-2233	New York, NY 10105 (212) 548-2100

FEB 18 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR - 1 2011

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGA	TION (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To: RICHARD W. DUQUETTE AND DORIS H. DUQUETTE,	Index No.: 190182-10
Plaintiff(s), -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.	
Defendants	
	X
WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPO	RATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC.,
sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY	," and "ITT CORPORATION
(Individually and as Successor to ITT FLUID PR	ODUCTS CORP., ITT HOFFMAN, ITT
BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY, ITT GRINNE	LL CORP. AND KENNEDY VALVE.),"
and its past and present parents, affiliates and sub	sidiaries and its predecessors and
successors in interest and its agents, heirs and ass	igns ("Defendant"), hereby request
Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pu	rsuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint ag	ainst Defendant with prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-de	fendants, all claims and cross claims
against Defendant, be and the same are hereby di	smissed with prejudice and without costs.
Signed by Defendant: September 14, 2010	
Signed by Plaintiff: February 16, 2011	·
	Att Story
Yang Calain lay Rep	Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
Jason Hodrinsky, Esq. EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC	McGuireWoods LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff	Attorneys for Defendant
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Fl.	1345 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10017	7 <sup>th</sup> Floor
(212) 986-2233	New York, NY 10105 (212) 548-2100
XXX	MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

'FEB 1 8 2011

SO ORDERED, Y Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

	ME COURT OF THE STATE OF N IY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	· · · · ·
RAYM	OND FINERTY and MARY FINER	TY, Index No. 190187/10
	Plaintiffs,	NO OPPOSITED STIME ADV
	- against –	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
ABEX	CORPORATION, et al.,	
	Defendants.	~~~~~ <b>X</b>
,	WHEREFORE, Defendant VOLKSWA	GEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.
("VWG	oA"), hereby requests summary judgm	ent in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR
Rule 32	12, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint ag	ainst Defendant VWGoA with prejudice, and
there be	sing no opposition thereto,	
(	ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-	defendants, all claims and cross-claims against
Defenda	ant VWGoA, be, and the same are, here	eby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.
Dated:	New York, New York February 10, 2011	
7		Potrule a. Flore
	PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP	Patrick A. Florentino, Esq. HERZFELD & RUBIN, P.C.
800 Thi	rd Ave, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	125 Broad Street
	ork, New York 10022 2) 605-6200	New York, New York 10004 Tel: (212) 471-8500
•	ys for Plaintiffs	Tel: (212) 471-8500 Attorneys for Defendant
		Volkswagen Group of America
		MAR - 1 2011
SOORI	DERED:	MEW YORK
JO OIL		COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY ----X NYCALI.A.S. Part 30 NEW YORK COUNTY IN RE: ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler) Index No.:190188/10 This Document Relates to: Vincenzo DiCarlo, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiff, SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER - against -Anchor Packing Company, et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs. Dated: Brooklyn, New York MAR - 1 2011 L41 204 THERE YOUR Raghu Bandlamudi, HOQUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE , Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant Vincenzo DiCarlo Goulds Pumps Inc. 700 Broadway, 6th Floor 177 Montague Street New York, New York 10003 Brooklyn, New York 11201 (718) 855-9000 Our File No.: 6754-9577 So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 182011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY \_\_\_\_X NYCAL NEW YORK COUNTY I.A.S. Part 30 IN RE: ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler) Index No.:190192/10 This Document Relates to: Vincent Dragone, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiff, SUMMARY JUDGMENT - against -MOTION AND ORDER Anchor Packing Company, et al., Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Vincent Dragone
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Fsq. L E D

Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant

Goulds Pumps Inc. MAR - 1 2011

177 Montague Street

Brooklyn, New York 11201YORK

(718) 855-9000 <u>COURTY CLERKS OFFICE</u>

Our File No.: 6754-11007

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To: ANTONIO SIRACUSA,	Index No.: 190193-10
Plaintiff(s), -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,  Defendant.	
X	
WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/	k/a ITT INDUSTRIES,
INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY" and	"ITT CORPORATION,"
and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and	lits predecessors and
successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defer	ndant"), hereby request
Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Ci	vil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defend	ant with prejudice, and
there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all	claims and cross claims
against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with	prejudice and without
costs.	
Signed by Defendant: January 26, 2011	·
Signed by Plaintiff: February 8, 2011	
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003  MCGUIRI Attorneys 1345 Ave 7 Floor	k, NY 10105

NEW YORK
FEB 1 4 201)

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_\_Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE:

NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 190196/10

This Document Relates to:

RONALD W. DUMMITT AND DORIS KAY DUMMITT

against

A.W. CHESTERTON,

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** 

WHEREFORE, defendant, York International Corporation, improperly named as York International Corporation, individually and as successor to Frick Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, York International Corporation, Improperly named as York International Corporation, individually and as successor to Frick Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, York International Corporation, improperly named as York International Corporation, individually and as successor to Frick Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudica and without costs.

DATED:

New York, New York

19011

WENDY R. KAGAW, E

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN

**DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP** Attorneys for Defendant,

York International Corporation, improperly named as York International Corporation, individually and as successor to Frick Company

40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480 New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

SO ORDERED:

MAR - 1 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),

Ronald Dummitt and Doris Kay Dummitt

546 Fifth Avenue Fourth Floor

New York, NY 10036

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FEB 04 2011



Hoaglanti, Longo, Moran, Dunsi & Doulas, LLP Altomeys at Law

40 Paterson Street PO Box 480 New Brunswick, NJ

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

**ASBESTOS LITIGATION** 

I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

RONALD W. DUMMITT and DORIS KAY DUMMITT.

against

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

INDEX NO.: 190196-10

**AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE** 

I, Rhonda Steel, being person over the age of 18 years of age, attest that on February 15, 2011, I deposited York International's executed No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order via regular mail to Bryan Belasky, Esq., Belluck & Fox, 546 Fifth Ave, New York, NY 10036.

Rhonda Steel

Sworn to me this 15<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2011

NOTARY PUBLIC

Helena Campbell
Notary Public of New Jersey
My Comm. Exp. 2-19-2013
I.D. No. 2293875

Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway Suite 1804 New York, NY



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190199/10

ANGEL RIJO and ILUMINADA RIJO,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED MAR - 1 2011 NEW YORK

CALERK'S OFFICE

Carol Tempesta, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Oakfabco Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Charles Ferguson, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Angel Rijo and Iluminada Rijo

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Khein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY ----X NYCAL IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Angel Rijo,

I.A.S. Part 30 (Judge Heitler)

Index No.:190199/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

- against -

Anchor Packing Company, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

Brooklyn, New York 14/2011

, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Angel Rijo 700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10003 MAR - 1 2011

CLNEWYORK

Raghu Bandlamudi, COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Cullen and Dvkman Attorneys for Defendant Goulds Pumps Inc. 177 Montague Street Brooklyn, New York 11201 (718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 6754-10993

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

1 (18 1 8 2011

1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK	K CITY	NDI CONT
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	ON	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Judge Heitler)
This Document Relates to: Joseph Altieri,	X	Index No.:190200/10
Pla - against -	aintiff,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Anchor Packing Company, et a	al.,	
Des	fendants.	
WHEREFORE, defendant	Goulds Pumps	Inc., hereby requests
summary judgment in the ab	ove-entitled	case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Se	ection §3212,	dismissing plaintiffs'
Complaint against defendant	Goulds Pumps I	nc., with prejudice, and
there being no opposition the	nereto,	
ORDERED, that upon noti	ce to all co-de	efendants, all claims and
cross claims against defenda	nt Goulds Pumpa	s Inc., be dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.		lan has M
Dated: Brooklyn, New York	· /	MAR - 1 2011
214/5011	lega	NEW YORK  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Joseph Altieri 700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10003	Cullen and Attorneys f Goulds Pump 177 Montagu Brooklyn, N (718) 855-9	for Defendant os Inc. ie Street lew York 11201

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190243/10
Alphonse Maglio	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WEITZ & LUXENERG, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	uant to Civil Practice Law and Rules fendant KELLY-MOORE PAINT sition thereto, endants, all claims and cross claims

MAR - 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
STEVEN GOOD, as Personal Representative for The Estate of EDWARD T. GOOD,	Index No.: 190263/10
Plaintiff(s), -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Defendants.	
WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules S	
against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there be	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants,	
BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with pre	
Dated: New York, New York	
February 10,0011	
Weitz & Luxenberg  Attorneys for Plaintiff  700 Broadway  New York NY 10003  SEG.  MAH  Attorn  850 T	Eder, Esq. AL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD. rneys for Defendant Third Avenue, Suite 1100 York, New York 10022  MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

NEW YORK

		X
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler x
JOSEP	H MULLEN.,	Index No. 190270-2010
	Plaintiff(s),	
	-against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
	MITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including R WHEELER, LLC,	ORDER
	Defendants.	
		x
	·	LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the
shova.	antitled case increment to Civil Practice Law a	
above-	entitied case, pursuant to civil Fractice Law a	nd Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
	•	with prejudice, and there being no opposition
compla	aint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC	
compla	aint against defendant <b>FOSTER WHEELER, LLC</b> o,	
compla thereto	aint against defendant <b>FOSTER WHEELER, LLC</b> o,	with prejudice, and there being no opposition ants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
compla thereto	oint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC  O,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend  R WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby	with prejudice, and there being no opposition ants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
complathereto  FOSTEI  Dated	on one of the same are hereby one of the same ar	with prejudice, and there being no opposition ants, all claims and cross claims against defendant dismissed with prejudice and without costs.
thereto FOSTEI Dated	on one of the same are hereby on the same are	with prejudice, and there being no opposition ants, all claims and cross claims against defendant dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
FOSTEI  Dated  Joseph  Weitz  Attorn	nint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC  O,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend  R WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby  E. New York, New York  P. Williams, Esq.  Luxenberg, P.C.  neys for Plaintiffs	with prejudice, and there being no opposition ants, all claims and cross claims against defendant dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP
Dated Joseph Weitz Attorr 700 B	nint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC  O,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend  R WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby  E. New York, New York  P. Williams, Esq.  Luxenberg, P.C.  Deys for Plaintiffs  roadway	with prejudice, and there being no opposition ants, all claims and cross claims against defendant dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP
Dated Joseph Weitz Attorr 700 B	nint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC D, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend R WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby E. New York, New York P. Williams, Esq. R Luxenberg, P.C. Deeys for Plaintiffs Troadway Ork, NY 10003	Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC Three Gateway Center, 12 <sup>th</sup> Floor Newark, NJ 07102
Dated Joseph Weitz Attorr 700 B	nint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC  O,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend  R WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby  E. New York, New York  P. Williams, Esq.  Luxenberg, P.C.  Deys for Plaintiffs  roadway	Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC Three Gateway Center, 12 <sup>th</sup> Floor Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 242-0002
Dated Joseph Weitz Attorr 700 B New Y (212)	on one of the same are hereby of the property	Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC Three Gateway Center, 12 <sup>th</sup> Floor Newark, NJ 07102
Dated Joseph Weitz Attorr 700 B New Y (212)	nint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC D, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend R WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby E. New York, New York P. Williams, Esq. R Luxenberg, P.C. Deeys for Plaintiffs Troadway Ork, NY 10003	Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC Three Gateway Center, 12 <sup>th</sup> Cloor Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 242-0002  MAR - 1 2011 NEW YORK
Dated Joseph Weitz Attorr 700 B New Y (212)	nint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC  O,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend  R WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby  E. New York, New York  P. Williams, Esq.  Luxenberg, P.C.  Reys for Plaintiffs  roadway  York, NY 10003  558-5500	Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC Three Gateway Center, 12 <sup>th</sup> Floor Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 242-0002  MAR - 1 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30

(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190302/10

DONALD B. SISSON and PATRICIA SISSON

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., individually and as successor to Flowserve Gestra and Vogt Valves ("Flowserve US") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Mark Bibro, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Early & Strauss, LLC

360 Lexington Avenue

20<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10017

SO ORDERED.

Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as

successor to Flowserve Gestra and Vogt Valves, Inc.

88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10005

MAR - 1 2011

Honorable Shirley Klein-Heitler

1 1 1 1 4 2011

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
	-X NYCAL
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY	I.A.S. Part 30
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	(Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	Index No.: 190302/10
DONALD B. SISSON and PATRICIA SISSON,	
	NO OPPOSITION
	SUMMARY
Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT
	MOTION AND
-against-	ORDER AS TO
	DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	KEELER-DORR-
	OLIVER BOILER
Defendant.	<u>COMPANY</u>

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice MAR -1 2011

and without costs.

Dated: 02/03/204 New York, New York

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC Attorneys for Plaintiff 360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor New York, New York 10017 (212)986-2233

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

Diane H. Miller, Esq.

MARIN GOODMAN, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant

KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER

**COMPANY** 

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501 Harrison, New York 10528 (212) 661-1151

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190302/10
Donald B. Sisson and Patricia Sisson	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendants CONTROL CO	OMPONENTS, INC., incorrectly

s/h/a CONTROL COMPONENTS INC., Individually and as Successor to SULZER LTD. (herein after "CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC.") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Early & Strauss, L.L.C.

Attorney for Plaintiff

360 Lexington Ave., 20th Floor

New York, New York 10017

C. DiMarco, Esq.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,

EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Attorney for Defendant

CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC.

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street

New York, New York 1001

212-490-3000

Our File No.: 07640.00164

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SO ORDERED.

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190302/10

DONALD B. SISSON and PATRICIA SISSON

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CITGO Petroleum Corporation ("CITGO") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CITGO with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CITGO be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New, York, New York

, 201

Mark Bibro, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Early & Strauss, LLC

360 Lexington Avenue

20<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10017

Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.

McHlroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant CITGO Petroleum Corporation

88 Pine Street, 24th Floor

New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Klein-Heitler

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

FFB 1 4 2011

MEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190305-10

WILLIAM SPIER and JOSEPHINE SPIER,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 10,2011

New York, New York

Dennis Weitzel, Esq. Morgan & Morgan

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

20 N. Orange Avenue, 16th Floor

Orlando, Florida 32802-4979

(407) 420-1414

SO ORDERED,

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.

Braaten & Pascarella, LLC

Attorney for Defendant

Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.

2430 Route 34 Suite At 8 Manasquan, New Jersey 98730

(732) 528-8888

MAR - 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANGELINA VINTICINQUO and VINCENT VINTICINQUO

Index No. 190314/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are bereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

2010

Attorneys on Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway - 7th floor New York, NY 10003 MAR - 1 2011

Colleen M. Cronin Attorneys for Defendant

Borg-Warner Corporation

Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway

Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitle

.IAN 31 2011

SKH - DATED:

CAMPAGE OF COLUMN OF STATE OF A TRUE AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DE	
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
DONALD SEWARD CAMPBELL,	Index No.: 190319/2010
Plaintiff(s), -against-	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.  Defendants.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
See Attached Rider – FULL CAPTION	
morania oil Tanker Corp., hereby request surpursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,  PENN MARITIME, INC., individually and as success  prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendate PENN MARITIME, INC., individually and as success.	dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant soor to MORANIA OIL TANKER CORP., with ants all claims and cross claims against defendant
and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and w	vithout costs.
Dated: New York, New York February 16, 2011	
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Donald Sewarti Campbell  By:  John Ryan, Esq.  546 Fifth Avenue, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10036  SO ORDERED,	KENNY & ZONGHETTI, LLC Attorneys for Defendant Penn Maritime, Inc., individually and as successor to Moranla Oil Tanker Corp.  James M. Kenny, Esq. 26 Broadway New York, New York 10004  (212) 422-6111  MAR - 1 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler -1-	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
FEB 1 8 2011	Kenny & Zonghetti 26 Broadway New York, New York 10004

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	x
MIKE CHARLES BENSON and VICTORIA BENSON,	: Index No. 190320/2010
Plaintiffs, -v-	: NO OPPOSITION : SUMMARY JUDGMENT : MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.	: :
Defendants.	Justice Sherry K. Heitler  x

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc., Individually and as Successor to Lennox Furnace Company and Ducane ("Lennox") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Lennox with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7 10 \_\_\_\_, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

By:

Bryan Belasky, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor

New York, NY 10036

(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED:

Justice Sherry K. Heitler

Jennifer Darger, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant

116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

, 2011

FEB 1 4 2011

-OUNTY OLERK'S OFFICE!

This Document Relates To:  Israel Sasoni and Janet Sasoni	(Heitler, J.) x Index No: 190327/10  NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
This Document Relates To:  Israel Sasoni and Janet Sasoni	Index No: 190327/10  NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	SUMMARY
	MOTION AND ORDER
ORDERED, that upon notice to all cagainst defendant KELLY-MOORE PAINT COM dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: 3311	co-defendants, all claims and cross claims (PANY, INC. be and the same are hereby
Patti Burshtyn, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENERG, P.C.	Julie Eyans, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
Attorney for Plaintiff	EDELMAN & DIOKER LLP
700 Broadway	Attorney for Defendant
New York, New York 10003	KELILY-MOORE PAINT CO., INC. 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street
	New York, New York 10017
	212-490-3000
	File No. 07188.00001
$\sim 1.1$	212-490-8938 ED
	MAR - 1 2811
AH	
SO ORDERED,  Hon. Sherry K. Heitler	MARY YORK OF

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	v
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190343/10
Bernard D'Aquila and Mary Ann D'Aquila	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant KELLY-MOOF requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pur Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against of COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposite of the control of the con	Julie Evans, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant KELLY-MOORE PAINT  OSITION THE SAME AND THE SAME ARE HEREBY  Julie Evans, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant KELLY-MOORE PAINT CO., INC. 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, New York 10017 212-490-3000
SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry K. Heviler	FILED  MAR - 1 2011  NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR COUNTY OF NEW YORK	K ·
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190351/10
Anthony Corino and Antoinette Corino	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint again COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no	Julie Evans, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant KELLY-MOORE PAINT CO., INC. 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, New York 10017 212-490-3000 File No. 07188.00001
SO ORDERED,  Hon. Sherry K. Heitler	MAR - 1 2011  W YORK  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE FEB 1 4 2011

FEB 44201

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190358/10 108114/03
Arthur J. Mediate	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
	· x
WHEREFORE, defendant ERICSSON INC., interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co., hereby requeentitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules complaint against defendant ERICSSON INC., Individua Anaconda Wire & Cable Co., without prejudice, and there ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendant defendant ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successive to the control of the cont	ests summary judgment in the above- s Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' ally, and as successor in interest to be being no opposition thereto, s, all claims and cross claims against
Cable Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed without	
Dated: New York, New York  2/3/11  R//11	
Michael Roberts, Esq. Ben Dancth Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	Julie R. Evans, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Call ED 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, New York 10017 212-490-3000 Our File No. 07536.17933 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry K. Heitler	

FEB 04 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND E. WOOLSEY and EDNA WOOLSEY

Index No. 190365/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

11 10 V611, 201

Attorneys for Flainting
Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway - 7th floor

New York, NY 10003

FILED

W CUT

Andrew M. Warshauerity CLERK'S OFFICE

Attorneys for Defendant Borg-Warner Corporation

Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway

Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SKH - DATED:

FRANCIS P. MCPADDEN, Plaintiff,	Index No.: 190384-10
- against –	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM, IN Successor by merger to CBS CORPOR/f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC COFELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INCINDIVIDUALITY and as Successor to Tappar Copes-Vulcan, et al.,  Defendants.	NC., ATION, RPORATION, D.,
WHEREFORE, Defendant, ELE	ECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to T	appan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter
"ELECTROLUX"), hereby requests su	ummary judgment in the above-entitled
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law	and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant,	ELECTROLUX, with prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereto.	
ORDERED, that upon notice to a	Il co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, ELECTROLU	
dismissed with prejudice and without cos	
Dated: White Plains, New York  a/4, 2016	NO
David A. Chandler, Esq.	CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ. Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Weltz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway	Attorneys for Defendant
New York, New York 10003	ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
(212) 558-5500	Individually and as Successor to Tappan Copes-Vulcan
	55 Church Street, Suite 211
	White Plains, NY 10601 Tel: 914-385-6000
A .	Fax: 914-385-0060
( ) 41	Our File No.: 089-584 GSH 况 🛴 🛴

J.S.C.

So Ordered:

FEB 18 2011

MAR - 1 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**DANIEL STUPINO** 

Index No. 190385/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kamco Supply Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

\_\_\_, 201

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Crofiln Attorneys for Defendant Kamco Supply Corp.
Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 182011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190399/10
Thomas J. Coyne and Suzanne Coyne	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant KELLY-MOOR requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursue Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no oppose ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-deagainst defendant KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPAN dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated:    1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	resuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules defendant KELLY-MOORE PAINT position thereto, efendants, all claims and cross claims NY, INC. be and the same are hereby will be with the beautiful to th
Attorney for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant KELLY-MOORE PAINT CO., INC. 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, New York 10017 212-490-3000 File No. 07188.00001
SO ORDERED,  Hon. Sherry R. Heitler	FILED MAR - 1 2011

PEB 04 2011 CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
GEORGE D. DEVINE and JUNE DEVINE, his wife,	Index No.: 190410/10
Plaintiffs, - against -	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PHELPS DODGE
A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.,	INDUSTRIES, INC.
Defendants.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

WHEREFORE, defendant Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint and all amendments to the complaint against Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims MAR - 1 2011

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK
OFFICE

NEW CLERK'S OFFICE against defendant Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc. be, and the same are, hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

By:

Kevin M. Berry, Esq. WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs 110 William Street, 26th Floor New York, NY 10038

(212) 267-3091

Benjamin E. Haglund, Esc.

DAY PITNEY LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc.

7 Times Square

New York, NY 10036-7311

(212) 297-5800

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190416/10

**RAYMOND CARELLA and EDNA CARELLA** 

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, Individually, and as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly pled as Eaton Corporation, Individually and as successor in interest to Vickers Corp., and Eaton Corporation, Individually and as successor in interest to Cutler Hammer, Inc.) ("Cutler-Hammer"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Cutler-Hammer with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cutler-Hammer be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

2011

MAR - 1 2011

Joseph Williams, Esg.

Weitz & Luxenberg, LLP

700 Broadway

New York, New/York 10003

Cristina Z. Singair, Esq.

Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, Individually, and as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer,

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP

88 Pine Street, 24th Floor

New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190418/10
Bernard Levinson and Edythe Levinson	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
x	
WHEREFORE, defendant <b>KELLY-MOORE PAIN</b> requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendan <b>COMPANY</b> , <b>INC</b> . with prejudice, and there being no opposition the	Civil Practice Law and Rules t KELLY-MOORE PAINT
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants against defendant KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, DVC. dismissed with prejudice and without costs.	
Dated: 2/8/2011	
	vans, Esq.
	N, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, MAN & DI¢KER LLP
700 Broadway Attorne	y for Defendant
New York, New York 10003 KELLY	-MOORÉ PAINT CO., INC.
	st 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street ork, New York 10017
212-490	·
	. 07188.00001
	CLED
	MAR - 1 2011
SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry K. Heitler	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
from Shorry K. Heiner	CLERK'S OFFICE
	COOM

FEB 1 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL NEW YORK COUNTY I.A.S. Part 30 IN RE: (Judge Heitler) ASBESTOS LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Index No.:190421/10 John Machado Sr., NO OPPOSITION Plaintiff, SUMMARY JUDGMENT - against -MOTION AND ORDER Anchor Packing Company, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

Brooklyn, New York

, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff John Machado Sr. 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10003 Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLE
Attorneys for Defendant

Coulds Dykmas Inc.

Goulds Pumps Inc.

177 Montague Street MAR - 1 2011 Brooklyn, New York 11201

(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 6754-10964 BRK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitle:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK			
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)		
This Document Relates To:	- x Index No: 190423/10		
Volkert J. Peters	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER - X		
WHEREFORE, defendant <b>KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY</b> , <b>INC.</b> hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant <b>KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY</b> , <b>INC.</b> with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,			
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-de against defendant <b>KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPAI</b> dismissed with prejudice and without costs.			
Dated: 1/28/11			
Benjamin Darche, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENERG, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff	Julie Evans, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP		
700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	Attorney for Defendant KELLY-MOORE PAINT CO., INC. 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, New York 10017 212-490-3000 File No. 07188 00001		
SO ORDERED,  Hon. Sherry K. Heitler	FILED  NAR -1 2011		
Tion. Shelly R. Helder	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE		

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STA		
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		I. J. N. 100442/10
STEVE P. FREDRICKSON,	X	Index No.: 190443/10
-against-	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AMETEK, et al,	Defendants.	I.A.S. Part 30 Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
	X	

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York Feb. B, 2011

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Maria Carlucci, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER

& MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

850 Third Avenue, Sui 1100

New York, New York 10022

(212) 651-7500

MAR - 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK** 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
STEVE P. FREDRICKSON	Index No: 10-190443
V.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
AMETEK, INC., et al.	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
X	

WHEREFORE, defendant SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., Successor in Interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC., (incorrectly sued as SIEMENS ENERGY INC., as Successor in Interest to Murray) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against incorrectly named defendant SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., Successor in Interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC., (incorrectly sued as SIEMENS ENERGY INC., as Successor in Interest to Murray) with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., Successor in Interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC., (incorrectly sued as SIEMENS ENERGY INC., as Successor in Interest to Murray) be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York January 10, 2011 EDIVOUY 14, 2011 Daniel Wasserberg, Esq. WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Erik DiMardo, Esq.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,

**EDELMAN & DICKER LLP** 

Attorney for Defendant

SIEMENS INDUSTR : INC

Successor in Interest to Siemens

Energy & Automation, Inc. 150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street

New York, New York 10017 Our File No.: 00965.00350 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTHONY JOSEPH NAPPA

Index No. 190460/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed FILED

MAR - 1 2011

MAR - 1 2011

MAR - 1 2011

MAR - 1 2011 with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

Belluck & Fox LLP

546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor

New York, NY 10036

Noriel Sta. Maria

Attorneys for Defendant **Borg-Warner Corporation** 

Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway

Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 182011

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GUISEPPE TORRE and AGATHA TORRE

Index No. 190461/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

Attorneys for Plaifftiff

Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway - 7th floor

New York, NY 10003

2011

Andrew M. Warshauer

Attorneys for Defendant

Borg-Warner Corporation

Weiner Lesniak LLP

888 Veterans Memorial Highway

In M. War.

Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klehn-Heitler

- 1 2011

CORK'S OFFICE

78 1 2011,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	. v	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY	NYCAL	
	_ ·	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30	
	(Heitler, J.)	
***************************************	- x	
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190008/11	
Isaac Rubin and Sheila Rubin	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
	- x	
WHEREFORE, defendant SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., Successor in Interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC., incorrectly s/h/a "SIEMENS CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to Powers" hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., Successor in Interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., Successor in Interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.		
Dated: New York, New York		
L	E-il-DiMona Faa	
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.	Erik DiMarco, Esq.	
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,	
Attorney for Plaintiff	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP	
546 Fifth Avenue	Attorney for Defendant	
New York, New York 10036 By a Gelas (	SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC.,	
BYM BELASUY	Successor in Interest to SIEMENS	
	ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC.,	
r.	incorrectly s/h/a "SIEMENS	
	CORPORATION, Individually and as	
	Successor to Powers" 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street E	
	150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street	
en e	New York, New York 10017	
	Our File No. 00965.00352	
	MAR - 1 2011	
SO ODDEDED		
SO ORDERED,	NEW YORK	
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE	
	- 1 A 2011	
	1 4 2011	

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190034/11
Uta Endres and Rolf Endres	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
X	

WHEREFORE, defendant RSCC WIRE & CABLE, INC., incorrectly s/h/a "ROCKBESTOS-SUPRENANT **CABLE** CORP., s/h/a THE ROCKBESTOS COMPANY", hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant RSCC WIRE & CABLE, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RSCC WIRE & CABLE, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Michael Roberts, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Attorney for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

212-558-5500

Julie Evans, Esq.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITŽ,

EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Attorney for Defendant

RSCC WIRE & CABLELIN

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street

MAR - 1 2011 New York, New York 10017

212-490-3000

Our File No.: 07696.00001

PASM YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

r CD 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	- x NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	•
Uta Endres and Rolf Endres	Index No: 190034/11  NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Wire & Cable Co., hereby requests summary judgment of Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing part ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in it Co., without prejudice, and there being no opposition there or ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendant defendant ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor Cable Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed without properties.	plaintiffs' complaint against defendant nterest to Anaconda Wire & Cable eto,  s, all claims and cross claims agains ssor in interest to Anaconda Wire &
Dated: New York, New York	
Michael Roberts, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003  SO ORDERED,	Julie R. Evans, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co. 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, New York 10017 212-490-3000 Our File No. 01536;00001
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler	ter = marit Bhiles \$2000

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 1 4 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE