

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

CHRISTOPHER R. CHUDKOSKY, ET AL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Moulton, P.)

Plaintiffs,

- against -

Index No. 106260/97

RECEIVED
OCT 19 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

ABB LUMMUS CREST, INC., ET AL

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Bayside Plumbing Supply, and its affiliates, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors in interest, agents, heirs and assigns, hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-captioned case, pursuant to CPLR § 3212, dismissing Plaintiff, Anthony C. Frankini's, complaint against Defendant, Bayside Plumbing Supply, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER
Attorneys for Plaintiff
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038
212-267-3091

HARDIN, KUNDLA, MCKEON &
POLETTA, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Bayside Plumbing Supply
110 William Street, 25th Floor
New York, New York 10038
(212) 571-0111

By: Kevin M. Berry
Kevin M. Berry, Esq.

By: Stephen J. Donahue
Stephen J. Donahue, Esq.

FILED

SO ORDERED:

OCT 24 2016

Honorable Peter H. Moulton

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANN T. MEZIK, as Executrix for the Estate of
RONALD A. MEZIK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton)

Index No. 120142/2001


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS TO DEFENDANT
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**

RECEIVED
OCT 19 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL


WHEREFORE, defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Amanda Fachler, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863.18271



~~Justin Weitz, Esq.~~ Susan C. Barlow
Attorney for Plaintiffs
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED



Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 19 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190284/10

ANNE RUTH HELTON, Individually and as Executrix
of the Estate of JERRY HELTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE Defendant ROGERS CORPORATION, ("ROGERS CORP.") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant ROGERS CORP. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, ROGERS CORP. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 9/15, 2015
New York, New York

Jordan C. Fox, Esq
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575


Nisha S. Lakhani, Esq
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Rogers Corporation
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED
OCT 24 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 19 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109301/00, 122185/99

ANDREW MONTRONE AND VIRGINA
MONTRONE


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/9, 2016


FILED
OCT 24 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Adam C. Barlow
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andrew Montrone and Virginia Montrone
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED


1122-15090

RECEIVED
OCT 19 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109301/00, 122185/99


ANDREW MONTRONE AND VIRGINA
MONTRONE

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/9, 2016



Susan C. Barlow
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andrew Montrone and Virginia Montrone
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
OCT 24 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED

1235-14495



RECEIVED
OCT 19 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109301/00, 122185/99


ANDREW MONTRONE AND VIRGINA
MONTRONE

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/9, 2016



Susan C. Bartow
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andrew Montrone and Virginia Montrone
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
OCT 24 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


SO ORDERED

2383-26171AH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)



This Document Relates to:

STANLEY S. BARASCH

Index No.: 190055/11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/13/2016

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Stanley S. Barasch
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC
126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 421-2800

Alysa Koloms, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, LLC
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
OCT 24 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)



This Document Relates to:

STANLEY S. BARASCH

Index No.: 190055/11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Armstrong Pumps, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Armstrong Pumps, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Armstrong Pumps, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/13/16, 2016

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Stanley S. Barasch
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC
126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 421-2800

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Armstrong Pumps, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 20 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

THELMA HASKINS, as Administratrix for the
Estate of DAVID F. HASKINS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 50
: (Hon. Peter Moulton)
:
: Index No.: 107168-02


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


FILED
OCT 24 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
Plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/25/16
New York, New York


Susan C. Barlow, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 20 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

LINDA MCCANN, as Executrix for the Estate
of JAMES W. MCCANN and LINDA
MCCAN, Individually,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Hon. Peter Moulton)

Plaintiffs, /

Index No.: 100611/2003

-against-

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/4/16
New York, New York


Adam Dreksler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

CARLO P. PETRASANTA and MARY P.
PETRASANTA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


NYCAL

Index No.: 100006/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

I.A.S. Part 50

Hon. Peter H. Moulton





WHEREFORE, defendant PACCAR INC, Individually, and through its division, Peterbilt Motors Co., (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York

October 7, 2016


Lindsay DeCicco
WEITZ & LUXENBERG,P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Joanna L. Drozd
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON &
YOUNG LLP
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc
600 Lexington Avenue, 8th Floor
New York, New York 10022-7678
(212) 897-9655


SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



X:DUN/61568/dismissals/nosjm/feb2017

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
GIOVANNA COLONNA and ASSUNTA COLONNA,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

Index No.: 102153/03


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.;


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. f/k/a Dunkirk Radiator Corporation and as Successor by merger to The Utica Companies, Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. f/k/a Dunkirk Radiator Corporation and as Successor by merger to The Utica Companies, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. f/k/a Dunkirk Radiator Corporation and as Successor by merger to The Utica Companies, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Susan Barlow, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Joseph Digregorio, Esq.
Attorneys for *ECR INTERNATIONAL Inc.*
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

X:FBW/36909/DISMISSALS/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 20 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

-----X
JOHN J. KELLER and MILDRED KELLER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.;

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 117682/01 & 105603/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Susan Barlow, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Brian Feld, Esq.
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARIO JULIAN and ROSE JULIAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)
Index No: 190230-11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**



WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/13, 2016

Adam Dreksler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARIO JULIAN and ROSE JULIAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)
Index No: 190230-11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**



WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/13, 2016

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "ADREKSLER".

Adam Dreksler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "JAVITZ".


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED
OCT 24 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK




IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S Part 50
This Document Relates to:	(Moulton, P.)
GENE SPINELLI AND JOAN SPINELLI	Index No: 190488/2011 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Lennox Industries Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/19, 2016




Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Lennox Industries Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED
OCT 24 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK — NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: Hon. Peter H. Moulton
Justice

PART 50

King Daphne

v.

Acme Engineering

INDEX NO. 190227/11

MOTION DATE _____

MOTION SEQ. NO. #005

MOTION CAL. NO. _____

The following papers, numbered 1 to _____ were read on this motion to/for _____

Papers Numbered

Notice of Motion/Order to Show Cause — Affidavits— Exhibits _____

Answering Affidavits — Exhibits _____

Replying Affidavits _____

Cross-Motion: Yes No

Pursuant to the direction of Justice Peter H. Moulton, it is hereby


ORDERED that this motion by Nash Engineering Co

for summary judgement is granted without opposition and the claims and cross-claims against movant(s) are severed from the remaining claims and dismissed; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to enter judgment accordingly.

This is the Order of the Court.

Dated: 10/20/16



PETER H. MOULTON, J.S.C.

Case Disposed Non-Final Disposition

Do Not Post Fiduciary Appointment Reference

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MOTION/CASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE
FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK — NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: Hon. Peter H. Moulton

PART 50

Justice

Farred, James E

INDEX NO. 114866/00

MOTION DATE _____

v.

MOTION SEQ. NO. 001

Abb Lummas Crest Inc

MOTION CAL. NO. _____

The following papers, numbered 1 to _____ were read on this motion to/for _____

Papers Numbered

Notice of Motion/Order to Show Cause — Affidavits— Exhibits _____

Answering Affidavits — Exhibits _____

Replying Affidavits _____

Cross-Motion: Yes No

Pursuant to the direction of Justice Peter H. Moulton, it is hereby

ORDERED that this motion by Berger Industrial Supply Co

for summary judgement is granted without opposition and the claims and cross-claims against movant(s) are severed from the remaining claims and dismissed; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to enter judgment accordingly.

This is the Order of the Court.

Dated: 10/20/16

[Signature]

PETER H. MOULTON, J.S.C.

Case Disposed

Non-Final Disposition

Do Not Post Fiduciary Appointment Reference

MOTION/CASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 20 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

X:ACL/37441./legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EUGENE REVELL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

CSR LTD., et al.;

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 113348/99


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

WHEREFORE, defendants ASBESTOS CORPORATION, LTD. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ASBESTOS CORPORATION, LTD. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ASBESTOS CORPORATION, LTD., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Brian Early, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
The Early Law Firm
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Asbestos Corporation, Ltd.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 20 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

X:FBW/39221./dismissals/nosim/SEPTEMBER2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RAFAEL HERNANDEZ and ELADIA E. HERNANDEZ, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**
Plaintiff(s),

- against -

Index No.: 109536/00 & 121990/99


A.C. & S. INC., et al.;

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Timothy M. DeLuca Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Brian Feld, Esq.
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED
OCT 24 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 20 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

X:CLB/58947/dismissals/nosjm/SEPTEMBER2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RAFAEL HERNANDEZ and ELADIA E. HERNANDEZ, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**
Plaintiff(s),

- against -

Index No.: 109536/00 & 121990/99


A.C. & S. INC., et al.;


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Lindsay M. Davis Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Shawnette Pluta, Esq.
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED
OCT 24 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 20 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

X.:cases/FBW36908/lcgal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
DANIEL P. POLAK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 109676/99


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



LINDSAY M. DEFILO, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Brian Feld, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED
OCT 24 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 20 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

X:/cases/CLB58715/legal/NOSJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
DANIEL P. POLAK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 109676/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Lindsay M. DeLillo, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Shawnette Plutt, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED.


Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 20 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

X:FBW/39221/dismissals/nos/m/SEPTEMBER2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RAFAEL HERNANDEZ and ELADIA E. HERNANDEZ, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**
Plaintiff(s),

- against -

Index No.: 109536/00 & 121990/99


A.C. & S. INC., et al.;


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


L. Mosby M. DeLuca Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Brian Feld, Esq.
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED
OCT 24 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

OCT 20 2016

PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

X.:/cases/FBW36908/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
DANIEL P. POLAK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 109676/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50


WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


LINDSAY M. DEFIKO, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Brian Feld, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED


Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

OCT 20 2016

PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

X:CLB/58947/dismissals/nosim/SEPTEMBER2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RAFAEL HERNANDEZ and ELADIA E. HERNANDEZ, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**
Plaintiff(s),

- against -

Index No.: 109536/00 & 121990/99


A.C. & S. INC., et al.;


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


L. W. S. M. DeLige Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Shawnette Pluta, Esq.
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

OCT 20 2016

PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

X.:cases/CLB58715/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
DANIEL P. POLAK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 109676/99


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


LINDSAY M. DELUCA, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Shawnette Flitt, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 20 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

X:FBW/45421/DISMISSALS/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ROBERT G. IZYK and MARGARET IZYK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 117992/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Jason Yampolsky, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Brian Feld, Esq.
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED
OCT 24 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



X:BEL37440./legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EUGENE REVELL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

CSR LTD., et al.;

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 113348/99


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

WHEREFORE, defendants BELL ASBESTOS MINES, LTD. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants BELL ASBESTOS MINES, LTD. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants BELL ASBESTOS MINES, LTD., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Brian Early, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
The Early Law Firm
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Bell Asbestos Mines, Ltd.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Peter Moulton

FILED

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 19 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

----- X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
----- X

NYCAL

JOSEPH TEMPSKI, JR. and PATRICIA GAY, as :
Co-Executors for the Estate of JOSEPH TEMPSKI :
AND RITA TEMPSKI, Individually, :

Index Nos.: 122198/1999
108922/2000

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. and S., INC., et al.,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton,
IAS Part 50


Defendants.


----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 14, 2016

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
By: 
Adam Dreksler, Esq.
Matthew Tarasoff, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company
By: 
Gaurav K. Talwar, Esq.

FILED

OCT 24 2016


700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

137 West 25th Street, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10001
(212) 302-2400

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 19 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

X:CLB/56246/DISMISSALS/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED
OCT 20 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

-----X
JOHN J. KELLER and MILDRED KELLER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 117682/01 & 105603/99

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.;


Defendants.


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Susan Barlow, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Shawnette Fruit, Esq.
Attorneys for CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter Moulton

OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
----- X

JOSEPH TEMPSKI, JR. and PATRICIA GAY, as :
Co-Executors for the Estate of JOSEPH TEMPSKI :
AND RITA TEMPSKI, Individually, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A. C. and S., INC., et al., :

Defendants. :
----- X

RECEIVED
OCT 19 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

Index Nos.: 122198/1999
108922/2000

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Peter H. Moulton,
IAS Part 50

WHEREFORE, defendant Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Goodyear Canada Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
October 14, 2016

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Adam Dreksler, Esq.
Matthew Tarasoff, esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Gaurav K. Talwar, Esq.

137 West 25th Street, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10001
(212) 302-2400

FILED
OCT 24 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
OCT 19 2016
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL