

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates to:
HENRY E. APFELBAUM and JUDY APFELBAUM,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al., including
HEIDELBERG USA, INC.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190156-2011

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREAS, defendant Heidelberg USA, Inc. ("Heidelberg") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Heidelberg with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that all claims against Heidelberg be, and the same are, hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 30, 2011



Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575



Kevin J. Dooley, Esq.
Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP
Attorneys for Heidelberg USA, Inc.
1633 Broadway
New York, NY 10019
(212) 506-1980

SO ORDERED: 

J.S.C.

FILED
AUG 15 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:
HENRY E. APFELBAUM and JUDY APFELBAUM,

: Index No. 190156-2011

Plaintiffs,

: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER AS TO**
: **HARRIS CORPORATION**

- against -

AMERICAN STANDARD, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Harris Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Harris Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Harris Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 22, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX LLP

O'HARE PARNAGIAN LLP


By: 

By: 

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
546 Fifth Ave. - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Richard A. Menchini
82 Wall St., Suite 300
New York, NY 10005
(212) 425-1401
Attorneys for defendant Harris Corporation

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

X:/AA-54899/Legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN J. BARRETT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.;

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 190157/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants *ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER* hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants *ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER* with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants *ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER*, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Daniel Wasserberg Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

7/27/11



Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a
UTICA BOILER
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

AUG 05 2011

AUG 15 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

----- X
JOHN J. BARRETT and MAUREEN BARRETT, :

Plaintiffs, :

- against - :

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al., :

Defendants. :

Index No.: 190157/11


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Part 30


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 13, 2011



Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs


Loring I. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

FILED

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190157/11


John J. Barrett and Maureen Barrett


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a
**"CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT
HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS"** (herein after **"CARRIER CORPORATION"**) hereby
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice
and without costs.

Dated: 7/18/11


Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
Carrier Corporation
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00986

FILED

AUG 15 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK



-----X
JOHN J. BARRETT and MAUREEN BARRETT,
Plaintiffs,

Index No. 190157/11

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al
Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY INC., and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and their agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendants"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendants with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendants, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 11, 2011


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD AND SMITH LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company

By: 
Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Kelly A. McGee, Esq.
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York, 10005
(212) 232-1300

FILED
AUG 13 2011
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

JOHN J. PATANE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

Index No.: 190161/2011

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

MOTION AND ORDER


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (incorrectly sued as "PACCAR, INC., Individually and through its division PETERBILT MOTORS CO.") (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party

Dated: New York, New York

7/19/2011


Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Jennifer Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

FILED

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

Index No. 190161-11

This Document Relates To: :

JOHN J. PATANE, :

Plaintiff, :

-against- :

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, n/k/a BURNS: :
INTERNATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION, :
et al., Including MAREMONT CORPORATION, :

Defendants. :
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 27, 2011



Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 15 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190161/2011

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN J. PATANE,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *July 26, 2011*

New York, New York



Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

212-558-5500



Talene N. Megerian, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE

SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS

ENGINE COMPANY, INC.

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, NY 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 15 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190161/11

JOHN J. PATANE


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC (improperly pled as "Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company") ("Rockwell"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Rockwell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Rockwell be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 26, 2011




Dan Wasserberg, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Rockwell Automation, Inc., as
successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company,
LLC
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
HERMAN VISGER and JANET VISGER,

Index No.: 190185-11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as
Successor by merger to BUFFALO PUMPS,
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and
Copes-Vulcan, et al.,
Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter
"ELECTROLUX"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, ELECTROLUX, with prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, ELECTROLUX, be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated 7/13 White Plains, New York
2011

Christopher Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 15 2011

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, CLERK
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan
Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

John Dellarata
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 190248/11

WHEREFORE, defendant NORMAN D. LIFTON & CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NORMAN D. LIFTON & CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NORMAN D. LIFTON & CO., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/29/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.



Chris Romanelli
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.



Christopher S. Kozak
Attorneys for Defendant
NORMAN D. LIFTON & CO., INC.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 15 2011

AUG 05 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK