Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit** > **Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

n Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION.  This Document Relates To:	NYCAL : I.A.S. Part 30 50 : (Moulton, P)
This Document Relates To:	
This Document Relates To:	X
	: Index No.: 190254-14
CAROL KENARY as Administratrix for the Estate of HUGH V. KENARY and CAROL KENARY, and individually,	: NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY : JUDGMENT MOTION AND : ORDER
Plaintiffs,	
	· War
- against -	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.	
Defendants.	• *. å
	<b>X</b>
WHEREFORE, defendant ARMSTRONG INTI	ERNATIONAL, INC., by its attorneys
Harris Beach PLLC hereby requests summary jud	gment in the above-entitled case,
oursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 32	
against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, I	
no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendant	
defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. be	and the same are hereby dismissed
	A. A.
with prejudice and without costs.	
with prejudice and without costs.	
with prejudice and without costs.  Dated:	
with prejudice and without costs.  Dated:	
with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York	
with prejudice and without costs.  Dated:	
with prejudice and without costs.  Dated:	lout & My
with prejudice and without costs.  Dated:	Robert A. Schaefer, Jr. Esq.  HARRIS BEACH PLLC  Attorney's For Defendant  Armstrong International, Inc.
with prejudice and without costs.  Dated:	Robert A. Schaefer, Jr. Esq.  HARRIS BEACH PLLC  Attorney's For Defendant  Armstrong International, Inc.  100 Wall Street, 23 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: June (1, 2015) New York, New York  WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003  (212) 558-5500	Robert A. Schaefer, Jr. Esq.  HARRIS BEACH PLLC  Attorney's For Defendant  Armstrong International, Inc.
with prejudice and without costs.  Dated:	Robert A. Schaefer, Jr. Esq.  HARRIS BEACH PLLC  Attorney's For Defendant  Armstrong International, Inc.  100 Wall Street, 23 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
with prejudice and without costs.  Dated:	Robert A. Schaefer, Jr. Esq.  HARRIS BEACH PLLC  Attorney's For Defendant  Armstrong International, Inc.  100 Wall Street, 23 <sup>rd</sup> Floor  New York, NY 10005
with prejudice and without costs.  Dated:	Robert A. Schaefer, Jr. Esq.  HARRIS BEACH PLLC  Attorney's For Defendant  Armstrong International, Inc.  100 Wall Street, 23 <sup>rd</sup> Floor

RECEIVER JUN 152015 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION -- 12 :: I.Á.S. Part 30 50 : (Moulton, ?) This Document Relates To: Index No.: 190256/2014 PHILIP T. MCARDLE and KELLY MCARDLE, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND Plaintiff(s), ORDER . against -A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al. Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 11, 2015

New York, New York

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003.

(212) 344-5461

Robert A. Schaefer, Ir. Esq.

HARRIS BEACH PLLC

Attorneys For Defendant


Armstrong International, Inc. 100 Wall Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10005

Andrews Andrews

is completely a server of the server of

and programme and the same will be easily the



## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AUGUSTO MANDARA, as Administrator for the Estate of MICHELE MANDARA.

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

Index No.: 190376/2014

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., n/k/a RHONE POULENC AG COMPANY, n/k/a BAYER CROPSCIENCE INC.,

AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., AMF BAKERY SYSTEMS,

AZROCK INDUSTRIES,

A Division of DOMCO, INC.,

BAKERS PERKINS, INC.

Individually, and as Successor in interest to Werner Lehara, Inc.

BARI RESTAURANT & PIZZA EQUIPMENT,

BLODGETT CORPORATION.

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION,

by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC.,

CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to

CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

DAP. INC.

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

GEORGIA PACIFIC LLC.

IMO INDUSTRIES, INC.

KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.,

OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.,

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION.

MARSAL & SONS, INC.,

THE MIDDLEBY CORPORATION,

Individually, and as Successor to MIDDLEBY MARSHALL INC.,

QCP, INC.,

Individually, and as Successor to BAKERS PRIDE OVEN COMPANY, INC,

AMF INC., INCORPORATED,

Defendants.

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

### NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, AMF AUTOMATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, (hereinafter "Automation Technologies") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to the Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Automation Technologies, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice of all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Automation Technologies, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

DATED: New York, New York
July , 2015

CHRIS ROMANELLI, ESQ.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Augusto Mandara, as
Administrator for the Estate of
Michele Mandara
700 Broadway
New York, New York 1003

New York, New York 1003 Telephone No.: (212) 558-5500 DATED: Buffalo, New York

Mulv & 2015

J. JOSEPH WILDER, ESQ. Wilder & Linneball, LLP
Attorney for Defendant

MF Automation Technologies, LLC

403 Main at Court Street 730 Brisbane Building Buffalo, New York 10203

Telephone No.: (716) 853-6001

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter Moulton

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

8/13/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	NYCAL	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 50	No.
	(Moulton)	
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190214/09	•
Cynthia Magill, Individually and as Administratrix to the	NO OPPOSITION	
Estate of Otis Corthell	SUMMARY	
	JUDGMENT	a f
이 사람들은 다른 사람들은 사람들이 되었다.	MOTION AND	v v
	ORDER	
WHEREFORE, defendant CARRIER CORPORAT	ION hereby requests summary	
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practic		
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CAR	RIER CORPORATION with	
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all	claims and cross claims against	
defendant CARRIER CORPORATION, be and the same are		
and without costs.		
		•
Dated: August 5, 2015		
Dated: / Tugust ), 2015 New York, NY	A	
New Lord 1		
		•
VI 1 24 H		
Two I		
	ginia Squitieri, Esq.	• • •
	LSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, ELMAN & DICKER LLP	
	orney for Defendant	en e
	RRIER CORPORATION	
	East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street	<b>.</b>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	v York, NY 10017-	<b>b</b> 8
File	No.: 10557.00602	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, & A
	COUNT	
	**************************************	201m
	NEW	EAKIN
SO ORDERED, 8/17/	16 RECEINA	VE 1 4 2015
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.		SUY YOK
	AUG 1 2 2015	1

6915230v.1

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	<b>x</b>	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	NYCAL	٠
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 50	
	(Moulton)	• •
	X	
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190011/09	-
	NO OPPOSITION	•
Joanne Dyer, Individually and as Proposed Executrix		
Estate of Sam Gull	JUDGMENT	
	MOTION AND	
	ORDER	***
	tara tara da kacamatan da kacama Kacamatan da kacamatan da kacama	
WHEREFORE, defendant CARRIER COI	RPORATION hereby requests summary	
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civ		
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defenda		
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defen		Y
defendant CARRIER CORPORATION, be and the	same are hereby dismissed with prejudice	
and without costs.		•
	A. A.	
_ / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1		
Dated: 7/5/2015	A Comment	
Dated: 7/31/2015		
Dated: 7/1/2015 New York, NY		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
New York, NY	Virginia Squitieri, Esq.	
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq.	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,	
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP	
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant	
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION	
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street	
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017	
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq.	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00163	
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00163	
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00163	
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00163	14 2015
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00163	1 4 2015 1 8 5
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION  150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00163	1 4 2015 PK'S OFFIC
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION  150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00163	1 4 2015 RKS OFFICE
New York, NY  Nick Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION  150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00163  COUNTY CLEAN AUG 12 2015	1 4 2015 RKS OFFICE
Nick Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION  150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00163	1 4 2015 PK'S OFFICE

OUNTY OF NEW YORK		
N RE NEW YORK COUNTY	NYCAL	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 50	
	(Moulton)	
his Document Relates To:	Index No: 190406/10	
ms Document Relates 10.	## 170. 190. 190. 10	
	NO OPPOSITION	•
lark V. Slevin, as Executor of the Estate of Patrick T.	SUMMARY	
levin (a) Agent	JUDGMENT	
	MOTION AND	
	ORDER	
WHEREFORE, defendant CARRIER CORPOR	ATION hereby requests summary	
dgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Pra		
smissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CA		
ejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,	A STATE OF THE STA	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants,		
efendant CARRIER CORPORATION, be and the same	are hereby dismissed with prejudice	
nd without costs	Same and the same a	
	. *	* •
	•	
ated: \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		
ated. <u>0, 7, 7, 5</u>		
ated: 8 / 10//S New York, NY		
ated. <u>0, 7, 7, 5</u>		
ated. <u>0, 7, 7, 5</u>		
New York, NY  Multiplication of the state of		
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq.	Virginia Squitieri, Esq.	
ick Novack, Esq. EVY KONIGSBERG LLP	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,	
ick Novack, Esq. EVY KONIGSBERG LLP ittorneys for Plaintiff	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP	
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq.  EVY KONIGSBERG LLP  ttorneys for Plaintiff  Of Third Avenue	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant	
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq. EVY KONIGSBERG LLP ttorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION	
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq EVY KONIGSBERG LLP ttorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 50 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street	
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq.  EVY KONIGSBERG LLP  ttorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 50 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017	
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq.  EVY KONIGSBERG LLP ttorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 50 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street	
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq.  EVY KONIGSBERG LLP ttorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 50 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017	
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq.  EVY KONIGSBERG LLP  ttorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 50 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00916	
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq.  EVY KONIGSBERG LLP  ttorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 50 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00916	
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq.  EVY KONIGSBERG LLP  ittorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue  ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 50 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00916	
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq. EVY KONIGSBERG LLP ittorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 50 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00916	1 4 2018
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq.  EVY KONIGSBERG LLP  ittorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue  ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 50 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00916	1 4 2015 PKS
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq. EVY KONIGSBERG LLP ittorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION  50 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00916	1 4 2018 PK'S OFF
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq.  EVY KONIGSBERG LLP  ittorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue  ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION  50 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00916	1 4 2013 PAKS OF K
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq.  EVY KONIGSBERG LLP  ittorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue  ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 50 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00916	1 4 2015 PK'S OFF
New York, NY  ick Novack, Esq. EVY KONIGSBERG LLP ttorneys for Plaintiff 00 Third Avenue ew York, NY 10022	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant CARRIER CORPORATION 50 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 10557.00916	1 4 2013 PAKS OFF



# SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Moulton, P)

ELIZABETH WAYBOURN, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN WAYBOURN, and ELIZABETH WAYBOURN, Individually,

Index No. 190110/08

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS TO DEFENDANT
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES**, **INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES**, **INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Heather Janis Gaw, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Peerless Industries, Inc.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

77 Water Street, 21st Floor

New York, New York 10005

(212) 232-1300

File No. 1863.16481

New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Individually

700 Broadway

Hon. Peter # Moulton

SO ORDERED \_\_/

\_8/13/15

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

til, Esq. SUZanne I

John Waybourn, and Elizabeth

Elizabeth Waybourn, as Executrix for the Estate of

Waybourn,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RWIN GOODMAN,			
	· •	1 - 1	
Plai			$\frac{\partial^2 - \partial x}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 - \partial x}{\partial x} = \partial^$
Plai		NO OPPOSIT	ION
	ntiff(s),	SUMMARY JUDO	EMENT
		MOTION	
- against -			•
URORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,	42		
			•
Def	fendants.		
			•
WHEREFORE, Defendant AUI	RORA PLIMP+CO	MPANY hereby reque	ests Summary
Judgment in the above-entitled case, pu	rsuant to Civil Pra	ictice Law and Rules	Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against l	Defendant Aurora	Pump Company with	orejudice, and
there being no opposition thereto,			
			÷
Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be a without costs to either party.	and the same are h	ereby dismissed with	prejudice and
0.8 10			
Dated: 08/16, 2015			
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
New York, New York			
New York, New York			
New York, New York	- / ] <u></u>		
Auf Ab	Jordan M. Belt	7. 25si	
Amber Long, Esq.	Jordan D. Belt		
Amber Long, Esq. LEVY, PHILLIPS	SEGĄL McC	AMBRIDGE IAHÖNEY LTD	
Amber Long, Esq. LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP	SEGĄL McC SINGER & M	AMBRIDGE IAHÖNEY LTD	
Amber Long, Esq. LEVY, PHILLIPS	SEGĄL McC	AMBRIDGE IAHÖNEY LTD	COUNTY AUG !
Amber Long, Esq. LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff	SEGAL McC SINGER & M Attorneys for Aurora Pump C	AMBRIDGE IAHÖNEY LTD	OUNTY OF 200
Amber Long, Esq. LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10003 (212) 605-6200	SEGAL McC SINGER & M Attorneys for Aurora Pump ( 850 Third Av New York, N	AMBRIDGE IAHÖNEY LTD	AUG 1 4 2015 NEW ERK
Amber Long, Esq. LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10003	SEGAL McC SINGER & M Attorneys for Aurora Pump C 850 Third Av	AMBRIDGE IAHÖNEY LTD	AUG 1 4 2015 NEW YORK'S OFF
Amber Long, Esq. LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10003 (212) 605-6200 NICHOLAS NOVACE, Esq.	SEGAL McC SINGER & M Attorneys for Aurora Pump ( 850 Third Av New York, N	AMBRIDGE IAHÖNEY, LTD. Defendant Company enue, Suite 1100 Y 10022	ECENTRA
Amber Long, Esq. LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10003 (212) 605-6200 NICHOLAS NOVACE, Esq. SO ORDERED,	SEGAL McC SINGER & M Attorneys for Aurora Pump ( 850 Third Av New York, NY (212) 651-750	AMBRIDGE IAHÖNEY, LTD. Defendant Company enue, Suite 1100 Y 10022	
Amber Long, Esq. LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10003 (212) 605-6200 NICHOLAS NOVACE, Esq.	SEGAL McC SINGER & M Attorneys for Aurora Pump ( 850 Third Av New York, NY (212) 651-750	AMBRIDGE IAHONEY, LTD. Defendant Company enue, Suite 1100 Y 10022 0	AUG 1 2015  AUG 1 2015  AUG 1 2 2015  REME COURT - CIVIL

ALOO THIRD WENGE ALOO THIRD WENGE ALOO

Aziz) gos-sago FAN (212) gos-gago Ligyria (212)

TAEM AO



AUM SECTOR PROCESS NOT AN ARTHY GEORGIA NOT THE REPUBLIC (AQ)) 7 10-1600

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	Index No.: 190101/2012
n Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS L	ITIGATION
NICHOLAS C. TAMBAKIS,	NO OPPOSITION
p).	aintiff(s), SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	MOTION
- against -	
AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,	
	efendants.
With Paragraph & A. I.	UDODA DUDO COMPANY I - 1
	URORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary
Judgment in the above-entitled case, p	oursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint agains	st Defendant Aurora Pump Company without prejudice.
*. · · ·	st Defendant Aurora Pump Company without prejudice,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint agains and there being no opposition thereto,	st Defendant Aurora Pump Company without prejudice,
*. · · ·	st Defendant Aurora Pump Company without prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,	
ordered, that upon notice	to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be	
ordered, that upon notice	to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.	to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.  Dated:	to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.	to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.  Dated:	to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.  Dated:	to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.  Dated:   ORDERED, that upon notice to the party of the party of the party.  Dated:  ORDERED, that upon notice to the party of the part	to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.  Dated:	to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and Jordan D/Beltz, Esq.
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.  Dated:	Tordan D/Beltz, Esq. SEGAV McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.  Dated:	Tordan D/Beltz, Esq. SEGAV McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.  Dated:	Tordan D/Beltz, Esq. SEGAV McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.  Dated:	Tordan D/Beltz, Esq. SEGAV McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.  Dated:	Tordan D/Beltz, Esq. SEGAV McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.  Dated:	Tordan D/Beltz, Esq. SEGAV McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
ORDERED, that upon notice Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be without costs to either party.  Dated:	Jordan D/Beltz, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant Aurora Pump Company 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10022

Alberta de la companya de la company

AUG 1 1 2015

Segal McCambridge Singer & Mahoney, Ltd.
New York NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK NEW YORK CITY: NYCAL **ASBESTOS LITIGATION** This Document Relates To: MARK V. SLEVIN, as Executor of the Estate of NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION PATRICK T SLEVIN, Plaintiff, - INDEX No.: 190406/10 A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC., et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. Dated: New York, New Yor By: Adam L. Sandler, Esq. By: Nicholas Novack, Esq. LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & 800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor DEUTSCH, LLP F | L E D

AUG 1 4 2015 New York, New York 10022 Attorneys for Defendant Counsel for PLAINTIFFS Ford Motor Company 600 Third Avenue New York, New York 10016 T: 212-593-6700 F: 212-593-6970 SO ORDERED: HON. PETER H. MOULTO NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL {01391371.DOCX }

#### SUPRÉME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH AUKSTOLIS

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, ₽.)

INDEX NO.: 02-107003

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** 

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kohler Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kohler Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kohler Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

New York, New York

JASON RIÉMER, ESQ. HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN **DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP** Attorneys for Defendant, Kohler Co. 48 Wall Street, Suite 1100 New York, New York 10005

DANA NORTHCRAFT, ESQ/ Matthew WEITZ & LUXENBURG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s). Joseph Aukstolis 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Peter H. Moulton

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street Suite 1100 New York, NY

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE AUG 12 2015 PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

MacIntyre

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JOSEPH AUKSTOLIS

Plaintiff(s).

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendant(s).

Index No.: 02-107003

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

Kristen M. Mehr, being duly sworn deposes and says I am an employee of Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP, the attorneys for Defendant, Kohler Co.

That on the 3<sup>MC</sup> day of August, 2015 a copy of the within executed No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order was mailed, via first class to: Matthew MacIntyre, Esq., Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C., 700 Broadway, New York, NY 10003 and one copy to the following attorneys on the attached service list by first-class mail, postage prepaid.

I hereby certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

### **SERVICE RIDER**

See attached.

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP Attorneys for Defendant, Kohler Co.

Sworn to before me this 312 day of

August, 2015

ALESANDRA L. FARRELL NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW JERSEY My Commission Expires 10/19/2019

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street Suite 1100 New York, NY

#### AUKSTOLIS COUNSEL LIST

John J. Fanning, Esq. Cullen and Dykman, LLP 44 Wall Street New York, NY 10005

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq. Barry, McTiernan & Moore Two Rector Street, 14th Floor New York, NY 10006

Jennifer Darger, Esq. Darger Errante Yavitz & Blau, LLP 116 E. 27th St., 12th Floor New York, NY 10016-8942

Daniel McNamara, Esq. DeCicco, Gibbons & McNamara, P.C. 232 Madison Avenue, Room 1409 New York, NY 10016

Michael A. Cerussi, Esq. Cerussi & Spring One North Broadway, Suite 1011 White Plains, NY 10601

William F. Mueller, Esq. Clemente Mueller 222 Ridgedale Ave PO Box 1296 Morristown, NJ 07962-1296

Thomas Maimone, Esq. Maimone & Associates, PLLC 150 Haven Avenue Port Washington, NY 11050

William J. Bradley, Esq. Malaby & Bradley, LLC 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038

Philip Goldstein, Esq. McGuireWoods, LLP 1345 Avenue of the Americas, 7th Floor New York, NY 10105-0106

Loring Fenton, Esq. Greenberg Traurig, LLP Met Life Building 200 Park Avenue, 15th Fl. New York, NY 10166

Abbie Fuchs, Esq. Harris Beach, LLP 100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor New York, NY 10005

Daniel Moretti, Esq. Landman Corsi Ballaine & Ford, PC 1 Gateway Center, Suite 400 Newark, NJ 07102-5311

Joseph G. Colao, Esq. Leader & Berkon, LLP 630 Third Avenue, 17th Floor New York, NY 10017

Scott R. Emery, Esq. Lynch Daskal Emery, LLP 264 West 40th Street New York, NY 10018

Donald R. Pugliese, Esq. McDermott, Will & Emery 340 Madison Avenue, 17th Floor New York, NY 10173-1922

Nancy McDonald, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP 1300 Mount Kemble Avenue PO Box 2075 Morristown, NJ 07962-2075

Linda Yassky, Esq. SNR Denton US, LLP 1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020-1089

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq. Pascarella, DiVita, Lindenbaum & Tomaszewski, PLLC

137 Route 35, Suite 250 Iolmdel, NJ 07733

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq. Sedgwick LLP
One Newark Center
1085 Raymond Blvd., 16th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102-5311

Anna M. DiLonardo, Esq.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
105 Maxess Road, Suite 303
Melville, NY 11747

James S. Montano, Esq. McCullough, Ginsberg, Montano & Partners 122 East 42nd Street, Suite 3505 New York, NY 10168

Linda J. Clark, Esq. Hiscock & Barclay, LLP - Albany 80 State Street Albany, NY 12207

Anthony J. Marino, Esq.
Garrity, Graham, Murphy, Garofalo & Flinn,
P.C.

72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 PO Box 438 East Hanover, NJ 07936

William E. Vita, Esq.
Westerman Ball Ederer Miller & Scharfstein,
LLP
1201 RXR Plaza

Uniondale, NY 11556

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Steptoe & Johnson
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

Laura B. Hollman, Esq. McGivney & Kluger, PC 80 Broad Street, 23rd Floor New York, NY 10004

John Ronca, Jr., Esq. Ronca, Hanley, Nolan & Zaremba, LLP 29 Northfield Avenue Suite2 West Orange, NJ 07052-5358

Paul Scrudato, Esq. Schiff Hardin & Waite LLP 666 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700 New York, NY 10103 Edward Wilbraham, Esq. Wilbraham, Lawler & Buba 140 Broadway, 46th Floor New York, NY 10005

Erik DiMarco, Esq. Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker 150 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10017-5639

Michael B. Sena, Esq. Herzfeld & Rubin, P.C. 125 Broad St New York, NY 10004

Kirsten Kneis, Esq. K&L Gates, LLP 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022

Mr. Leonard F. Lesser Simon Lesser 355 Lexington Avenue, 10th Floor New York, NY 10017

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	YORK
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P)
LEONARD JOSEPH BIGAJ and LINDA BIGA	x I, Index No. 115015/98
Plaintiffs	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
A.C. and S., INC. et al., including, MORSE-DIF	ESEL MOTION AND ORDER
Defenda	nts,
WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, In	nc. (incorrectly named herein as "Morse-Diesel
International, Inc."), hereby requests summary judg	ment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing p	laintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel,
Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition	thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defer	ndants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby disr	그는 이 사람들은 사람들은 경찰은 하는 사람들이 가득하는 것이 되었다.
Dated: New York, New York	
Suranne Rarcliffe	(// (/-
	Rosario Chetta, Esq.
WEITZ/& LUXENBERG, PC. Attorneys for Plaintiffs	MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC Attorneys for Defendant  AUG
Leonard Joseph Bigaj and Linda Bigaj	Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc.  150 Broadway, Suite 600
700 Broadway	150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500	Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285  AUG 14 2015 NEW YORK OFFICE
SO OPDERED	Dated: 8/13/15

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE NEW YORK CITY NYCAL ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 2057) (Heitler, J.) THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Index No. 109974/06 NO OPPOSITION ANDREW PAUL SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. Dated: New York, New York Rosario Chetta, Esq. Suzanne/M. Ratcliffe. Est. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC. MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC Attorney for Plaintiff(s) Attorneys for Defendant Andrew Paul Morse Diesel, Inc. 700 Broadway 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500 (212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, **₽**)

CAROL J. RIEGER, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM K. RIEGER,

Index No. 113324/01

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al., including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.,

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York New York

Michael Fanelli, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Carol J. Rieger, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of William K. Rieger
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

How. Peter H. Moulton

Rosario Chetta, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285 AUG 1 4 2015

NEW YORK'S OFFICE

AUG 1 2 2015

NYS SUPREME COURT

Dated:

8/13/15

SO ORDERED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	YYORK
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIG	ATION
This Document Relates To:	
GENNARO AZZARONE, as Administrator of the Estate of NICOLA AZZARONE, deceased,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30° 50
Plaintiff(s),	: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) : HON. PETER H. MOULTON
-against-	: Index No(s).: 190137-10
ALLIS CHALMERS CORPORATION PRODUCT LIABILITY TRUST, et al.,	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY UDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	
	: X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE C	CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Pract	ice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
opposition thereto,	NE CO. with prejudice, and there being no o-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are he	reby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.
Dated: August 3, 2015 New York, New York	Ja Kreis Jakhers
Niehotas Novack, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq. K&V GATES LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)	
800 Third Avenue, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10022	Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 605-6200	New York, NY 10022-6030
	New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900 (215)
so ordered,	New York, NY 10022-6030 COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  8/13/15  PECETVED  AUG 14 2015  NEW YORK OFFICE  PECETVED
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitle	
HON. PETER H. MOULT	AUG 1 3 2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NE	WYORK
	-x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITI	GATION
	-X
This Document Relates To:	
CYNTHIA MAGILL, Individually and as	
Administratrix to the Estate of OTIS	: NYCAL
CORTHELL,	: I.A.S. Part 30 50
Plaintiff(s),	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler HON. PETER H. MOULTON
	: Index No(s):: 190214-09
-against-	NO STOREST CATE OF THE CATE OF
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS	: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY : JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
COMPANY, et al.,	SUDDIMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	
and an	x
Wileiter Ords, defendant elevity	CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Pra	actice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CF	RANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all	co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are l	hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.
Dated: August 7-2015	
New York, New York	
MAAAA	La Knew La Kheis
Audrey m. Raphael, Esq.	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP	K&I/GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO.  AUG 1
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)	Attorneys for Defendant
800 Third Avenue, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	CRANE CO.
New York, NY 10022	CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030  AUG 1 4 2015
(212) 605-6200	
Michael Name, to.	599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
SO ORDERED,	8/13/15   Allo
Hon. Sherry Klein Heit	tler AUG 1 3 2015
HON. PETER H. MOUL	AUN / /
	NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL
	CIVII I

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION This Document Relates To: CYNTHIA MAGILL, Individually and as Administratrix to the Estate of OTIS NYCAL CORTHELL. I.A.S. Part 3050 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) HON. PETER H. MOULTON Plaintiff(s), Index No(s): 190214-09 -against-**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY** A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER COMPANY, et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. hereby requests

summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Audrey M. Raphael, Esq.

LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor

New York, NY 10022

(212) 605-6200

NICHOLAS NOVACLEST

Kirster Alford Kneis, Esc

K&L/GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.

599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030

(212) 536-3900

AUG 1 4 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler HON. PETER H. MOULTON

AUG 13 2015 PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	EW YORK
N RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LIT	TGATION ,
Γhis Document Relates To:	<del></del> X
MILTON O. KARL, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of IRWIN GOODMAN,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 So (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitled), HON. PETER H. MUULIUN
Plaintiff(s),	Index No.: 190002-09
-against-	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
ABEX CORPORATION, et al.,  Defendants	ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant CRAN	E PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. hereby requests
summary judgment in the above-entitled cas	e, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section
3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint agair	ast defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.
with prejudice, and there being no opposition	ı thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all	co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS,	INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.	
Dated: August 7,2015 New York, New York	
Whit the	La Kneis Jathers
Amber Long, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)	Kirster Alford Kneis, Esq.  K&I/GATES LLP  Attorneys for Defendant  CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.
800 Third Avenue, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10022	500 Tayington Avenue
	599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200	500 Tayington Avenue

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	V YORK
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIG	x BATION
This Document Relates To:	<b>x</b>
JOANNE DYER, Individually and as Proposed Executrix of the Estate of SAM GULL,  Plaintiff(s),  -against-	NYCAL  I.A.S. Part 30 SO  (Idon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  HON. PETER H. MOULTON  Index No(s): 190011-09
AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al.,	: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Pract	CO hereby requests summary judgment in the tice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
opposition thereto,	ANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no o-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
	ereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.
Dated: August 7 2015 New York, New York	- 1. J. Jathail
Patrick Timmins, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 NICHOLAS POVACY, Esq.	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq. K&I/ GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900  RECEIVED
SO ORDERED	- PECEIVED

AUG 13 2015

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW	YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIG	ATION
This Document Relates To:	
JUDITH KALLESTEN OAKLEY, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of SPENCER OAKLEY,  Plaintiff(s),	: NYCAL : I.A.S. Part 30 5 0 : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	: <b>HON. PETER H. MOULTON</b> : Index No(s).: 190131-09
ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,	: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY : JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	•
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE C	O. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practi	ce Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRA	NE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co	defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are her	eby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.
Dated: Avoust 72015	
Brendan J. Tully, Esq.	La Kneid Lakheld
LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 800 Third Avenue, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO.
New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 Nicitoria Morane, Ex.	New York, NY 10022-6030 COUNTY 2015
SO ORDERED,	(212) 536-3900  NEW YORK  RECEIVED  RECEIVED
How Shorry Klein Heitler HON. PETER H. MOULTO	AUG 1 3 2015
	NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	YORK
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIG	ATION
This Document Relates To:	
JUDITH KALLESTEN OAKLEY, Individually	
and as Administrator of the Estate of SPENCER	: NYCAL
OAKLEY,	: I.A.S. Part 20 50
Plaintiff(s),	: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
ramming,	: Index No(s).: 190131-09
-against-	
ANAMAR RANKER ANAMAR ANAMA	: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,	: JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE I	PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. hereby requests
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, p	oursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section
3212. dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against of	defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.
with prejudice, and there being no opposition the	ereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co	-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC	C. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.	
Dated: August 7 2015	
New York, New York	
1 losse fil	La Knew Ja Knew
Brendan J. Tully, Esq.	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP	Kell GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, ROLLY S99 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900  REPRES OFFICE
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)	Attorneys for Defendant AUG
800 Third Avenue, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, Property 2015
New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200	New York NV 10022 6020
NICHTIAS News Ex	(212) 536-3900 CFF(0)
	RECEIVED
SO ORDERED,	8/13/15 AUG 13 2015
HON PETER I LAGUE	
HON. PETER H. MOULT	NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGA	ATION S	•
This Document Relates To:		
ESTHER SHEMBERG, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of ZEV SHEMBERG,	: NYCAL	
Plaintiff(s),	: I.A.S. Part 30 50 : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) : HON. PETER H. MOULTON : Index No(s).: 190221-10	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,	: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY : JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
Defendants.		
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE C	O. hereby requests summary judgment in the	
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practi	ce Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing	· ·
opposition thereto,	NE CO. with prejudice, and there being no defendants, all claims and cross claims against	
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are her	eby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.	
Dated: Argust 7,2015 New York, New York		
Brendan J. Tully, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  K&L GATES LLP  Attorneys for Defendant	
800 Third Avenue; 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200	CRANE CO.	EL
MICHOLAS MOLACE, EGG.	(212) 536-3900 RECEIVEDON	2015 OFFICE
SO ORDERED,  Lion. Sherry Klein Heitler  HON. PETER H. MOULT	2010	

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	/ YORK
	X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIG	ATION
This Document Relates To:	X
MARK V. SLEVIN, as Executor of the Estate of PATRICK T. SLEVIN,  Plaintiff(s),  -against-  A. W. CHESTERTON CO., INC., et al.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Hon. Shorry Klein Heitler) HON. PETER H. MOULTON Index No(s): 190406-10  NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	
	X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., on behalf of named Defendants CRANE CO. and DEMING PUMPS (hereinafter "CRANE CO."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 7,2015

Erica V. Cesaro, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10022

(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED.

Lion, Sherry Klein Heitler

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esc

K&L GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030

(212) 536-3900

8/13/15

COUNTY CLEAK'S OFFICE
AUG 13 2015

theis

YORK
TION
NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 S O (Non. Sherry Klein Heitler) HON. PETER H. MOULTON Index No(s).: 190406-10  NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
UMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. hereby requests ursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 NICHOLAS

K&Z GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant

CRANE PUMPS & S. ...
599 Lexington Avenue COUNTY CLERK'S O.
New York, NY 10022-6030
NEW YORK, NY 10022-6030
NEW YORK O.

AUG 13 2015 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEV	VVORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
	x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIC	ATION
This Document Relates To:	X :
EVELYN B. SMITH, Individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of JAMES RICHARD SMITH,	: NYCAL : I.A.S. Part 30 50 : (Hon. Sherry Klein Hoitler)
Plaintiff(s),	: HON. PETER H. MOULTON : Index No(s): 190115-10
-against-	
A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC., et al.,	: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY : JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	
WWEDERODE J.S. J. ODANE	X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE	CO hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Pract	tice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
distrations and distraction of the state of	ANIE CO with anniveling and those being no
plaintiffs complaint against defendant CRA	ANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	
ODNEDED that upon notice to all or	o-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
OKDERED, that upon house to an ex	o-detendants, air cianns and cross cianns against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are he	ereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.
Dated: August 7,2015 New York, New York	
All	La their Hathers
James M. Kramer, Esq	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Egq.
LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)	K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant
800 Third Avenue, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	CRANE CO.
New York, NY 10022	599 Lexington Avenue
(212) 605-6200	New York, NY 10022-6030 Co. AUG
NICHOLAS NOVACK ESE	599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900  COUNTY CLERKS
	NECLED OF
SO ORDERED,	8/13/15   KECFIVER OF
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitle	
HON. PETER H. MOUL	AUG 1 3 20/5
HON. PETER H. MOUL	101
HON. PETER H. MOUL	AUG 13 20/5  PART 50  NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

		•
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NE COUNTY OF NEW YORK	W YORK	
	X	•
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITI	GATION	
This Document Relates To:	x :	
EVELYN B. SMITH, Individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of JAMES RICHARD SMITH,	: NYCAL : I.A.S. Part 30 So	
Plaintiff(s),	: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) : <b>HON. PETER H. MOULTON</b> : Index No(s).: 190115-10	
-against-	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY	
A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC., et al.,	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
Defendants.		
WHEREFORE defendant CRANE	x E PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. hereby requests	•
summary judgment in the above-entitled case	e, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section	
3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against	st defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.	
with prejudice, and there being no opposition	thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all	co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against	
defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS,	INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with	
prejudice and without costs.		
Dated: August 7 2015		
James M. Kramer, Esq. LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 800 Third Avenue, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq. K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900  RECEIVED  AUG 1:3 2015	LE
New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200	New York, NY 10022-6030	3 201m
NICHOLAS NO-ACK, EST.	(212) 536-3900 RECEIVED	9000
so ordered,	8/10/15 AUG 13 2015	/CE
Hon. Sherry Klein Hei	tler	
HON. PETER H. MOUL	TON NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL	

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK CITY NYCAL ASBESTOS LITIGATION KEVIN BARRETT and IRENE BARRETT, Index Nos.: 109675/99 111053/98 113279/97 Plaintiffs, 103674/97 -against-**NO OPPOSITION** SUMMARY JUDGMENT OWENS-ILLINOIS INC., et al., MOTION AND ORDER Defendants. Hon. Peter H. Moulton, IAS Part 500

WHEREFORE, defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Phan Alvarado, Esq.

700 Broadway

hugust 12

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

Attorneys for Defendant The Goodyear Tire

& Rubber Company

By: Aller

Lawrence Lee, Esq

264 West 40th Street

New York, New York 10018

(212) 710-0057

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

AUG 13 2015

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

N RE NEW YORK COUNTY	NYCAL	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 50	λ
	(Moulton)	• :
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190406/10	
	NO OPPOSITION	
Mark V. Slevin, as Executor of the Estate of Patrick T.	SUMMARY	
Slevin	JUDGMENT	•
	MOTION AND	
	ORDER	
WHEREFORE, defendant FEDERAL-MOG	THE ASSESTOS PERSONAL INTERV	
TRUST, AS SUCCESSOR TO FELT PRODUCT	FS MFG CO. hereby requests summary	
udgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civ	vil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212.	
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defende	ant FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS	
institution branching and an account		
PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS SUCCESSOR	TO FELT PRODUCTS MFG. CO. with	•
PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS SUCCESSOR ' prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.	TO FELT PRODUCTS MFG. CO. with	•
PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS SUCCESSOR or prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,	TO FELT PRODUCTS MFG. CO. with	
orejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend	TO FELT PRODUCTS MFG. CO. with dants, all claims and cross claims against	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendered or	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS	
orejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendered or	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the condition of the condi	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendered of the second of the seco	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the condition of the condi	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendered of the second of the seco	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendered of the second of the seco	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the second	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS be and the same are hereby dismissed with	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the second	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS be and the same are hereby dismissed with Armand Kalfayan, Esq.	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the second	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS be and the same are hereby dismissed with Armand Kalfayan, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the second	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS be and the same are hereby dismissed with Armand Kalfayan, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the second	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS be and the same are hereby dismissed with Armand Kalfayan, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the second	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS be and the same are hereby dismissed with Armand Kalfayan, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the second	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS be and the same are hereby dismissed with Armand Kalfayan, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the second	dants, all claims and cross claims against PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS be and the same are hereby dismissed with Armand Kalfayan, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, SUCCESSOR TO FELT	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the second	Armand Kalfayan, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, SUCCESSOR TO FELT PRODUCTS MFG. CO.	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the second	Armand Kalfayan, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, SUCCESSOR TO FELT PRODUCTS MFG. CO.	14 2011
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the second	Armand Kalfayan, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, SUCCESSOR TO FELT PRODUCTS MFG. CO.	1 4 2015
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defended of the second	Armand Kalfayan, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, SUCCESSOR TO FELT PRODUCTS MFG. CO. 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street	1 4 2015 ERK'S OF

6915450v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
	X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	NŶCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 50
77	(Moulton)
	x
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190103/10
	NO OPPOSITION
Peter Sciarabba, as Executor of the Estate of Kathleen	SUMMARY
Roslyn Sciarabba	JUDGMENT
	MOTION AND
	ORDER
	To X
WHEREFORE, defendant MCCORD CORP	ODATION berehv requests summers
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil	
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MCC	ORD CORPORATION with prejudice
and there being no opposition thereto,	ording Correction with projuction,
and there being no opposition the etc.	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defenda	nts, all claims and cross claims against
defendant MCCORD CORPORATION, be and the sa	me are hereby dismissed with prejudice
and without costs.	
9/12/15	
Dated: $\frac{8}{3}$	
New York, NY	
Half HII)	
Nick Novack, Esq.	Armand Kalfayan, Esq.
LEVY KONIGŞBERG LLP	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
Attorneys for Plaintiff	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
800 Third Avenue	Attorney for Defendant
New York, NY 10022	MCCORD CORPORATION
	150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street
	New York, NY 10017
	File No.: 06507.01342
CO ODDEDED IN A	8//3//5
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.	
Holl. Feter H. Moditoli, J.S.C.	COUNTY CLERY
	14 2000 W
	No CLED.
	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE AUG 13 2015
	- COUVED YAK SEFICE
	AUG 13 2015
6915453v.1	PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL
The state of the s	COURT - CIVIL

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	EW YURK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	**************************************	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 2050 ( <del>Heitler, J.)</del>
This Document Relates To:	X	Index No: 190392/12
	• • • •	NO OPPOSITION
John W. Adler and Elaine Adler		SUMMARY
		JUDGMENT
		MOTION AND
		ORDER
	X	
WHEREFORE, defendant FEDER INJURY TRUST, as successor to FEL hereby requests summary judgment in the all Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissin FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSOFELT PRODUCTS MFG. CO., with prejud	T PRODUCTS MF bove-entitled case, pu g plaintiffs' compla ONAL INJURY TR	CG. CO. (FEL-PRO), rsuant to Civil Practice lint against defendant UST, as successor to
ORDERED, that upon notice to all against defendant FEDERAL-MOGUL AS as successor to FELT PRODUCTS MFG. with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: \[ \begin{align*} \lambda \la	SBESTOS PERSONA	AL INJURY TRUST,
Dated, 6 VV		
amber Rhua		
Amber R. Long, Esq.	Armand Kalfa	yan, Esq.
LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP		SER, MOSKOWITZ,
Attorney for Plaintiff		DICKER LLP
800 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022	Attorney for D	Delegoant ul Asbestos Pérsonal
10022		as successor to Fel
	Products Mfg	
	150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup>	
	New York, N	Y 10017
	File No. 11120	0.00133 COUNT 14 20
		17 C/ 2015
SO ORDERED,	8/13/15	NEWVERKSO
-Hon. Sherry K. Heitler	F	TIK FIO
		RECEIVED
HON PETER H. MC	DULTON	
6622396v.1		AUG 13 J15
		NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE NEW YORK CITY NYCAL. ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 3050 (Heitler, J.) This Document Relates To: Index No: 190392/12 NO OPPOSITION John W. Adler and Elaine Adler SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER WHEREFORE, defendant FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, as successor to the VELLUMOID DIVISION OF FEDERAL-MOGUL CORPORATION, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, as successor to the VELLUMOID DIVISION OF FEDERAL-MOGUL CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, as successor to the VELLUMOID DIVISION OF FEDERAL-MOGUL CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without

Dated: August. 13, 2015

Amber R. Long, Esq.

LEVY KONIGSBERG LL

Attorney for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 Armand Kalfayan, Esq.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,

EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Attorney for Defendant

Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust, as successor to the

Vellumoid Division of Federal-

Mogul Corporation

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017

File No. 11121.00026

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry K. Hettler

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

6622401v.1

AUG 1 3 2015

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton)
This Document Relates To:	x Index No: 190079/15
Frank Gondar	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant TEXACO INC., hereby entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Secti against defendant TEXACO INC., with prejudice, and there	on 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, a TEXACO INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with	
Jordan Fox, Esq. V BELLUCK & FOX, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor New York, NY, 10036	Armand Kalfayan, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant TEXACO INC. 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017 File No.: 01042.00353
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	RECEIVED PAK OFFICE
6862359v.1	AUG 13 115  NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190079/15
Frank Gondar	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	JUDGMENT MOTION AND
	ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant NEW YORKER BOILER COMPANY	/. INC. hereby requests
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NEW YORKER BOILE prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,	v and Rules Section 3212,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cro NEW YORKER BOILER COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby without costs.	
Dated: Aufot 12, Levs	
New Work, NY	
BELLUCK FOX, LLP WILSON	Kalfayan, Esq. , ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor Attorney	IN & DICKER LLP for Defendant DRKER BOILER
COMPAI 150 Éast 4	NY, INC. 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street
	k, NY 10017 12545.00095
	COUNTY CLERK
SO ORDERED, 8/13/15.	COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  AUG 13
	AUS 13-015
6862351v.1	VYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL
the state of the s	-1/1 1

y4. .\*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
	X	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	NYCAL	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 50	•
ASDESTOS LITIGATION		
	(Moulton)	
	- <del>- X</del> - 1	
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190079/15	
	NO OPPOSITION	
Frank Gondar	SUMMARY	
Tunk Consu	JUDGMENT	
	MOTION AND	
	ORDER	•
	x	
WHEREFORE, defendant CARRIER CORPORAT	ION, hereby requests summary judgment in	
the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Ru		
complaint against defendant CARRIER CORPORATION, v		
opposition thereto,		
opposition university		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all	claims and cross claims against defendant	
CARRIER CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby d	ismissed with prejudice and without costs.	
CARTIER CORT ORAL 2011, the unit the same are nevery di	A Second Control of the Control of t	
Dated: Angelst 12,2015		
7.00		
New York, NY	A	
$\Lambda$		
		•
Jordan Fox, Esq.	Virginia Squitieri, Esq.	**
BELLUCK & FOX LLP	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,	
Attorneys for Plaintiff	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP	
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor	Attorney for Defendant	
New York, NY 10036	CARRIER CORPORATION	
	150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street	
	New York, NY 10017	•
	File No.: 10557.01798	
	A 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	•
,		B
	garden and the second	
	21-1-	
SO ORDERED.	8/13/15 Cm 4/1	
Hon. Peter H. Moulton	-OUN TO	14
2011. 1 0101 11. 1110 11011	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	S 1 4 2015
The state of the s	8/13/15 COUNTY CUNTY CUN	EAL
	Tune CEN	ENTS OF
		THE VENT
	AUG 13 2015	
	13201	- <i>k</i>
	NYS SUPREME COURT CIVIL	
	WYS SUPREMET SO	. /
6862352v.1	COURT	<i>[</i> *
	CIVIL	/

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190148/2015
RAYMOND FLOOD and CARLEEN FLOOD,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
Plaintiffs, -against-	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AERCO INTERNATIONAL INC., ct al.,	
Defendants.	- <b>X</b>
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant THE Be prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants; a defendant THE BOEING COMPANY, be and the same a and without costs.	OEING COMPANY, without
Dated: New York, New York  S   12   15	
Daniel P. Blouin, Esq. Edwarfel ISco 15 Co. Attorneys for Plaintiff	Bulcol Marco, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant THE BOEING COMPANY
	Attorney for Defendant THE BOEING COMPANY 150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, New York 100 POUNTY Our File No. 07040.00045  8//3//6  RECEIVED TOE
SO ORDERED, HON, PETER H. MOULTON, J.S.O.	RECEIVED CE
6932689v.1	AUG 13 2015  NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

3, 4

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{i}$  which is a superconductive to the property of t IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION & DON P. DONOVAN and KATHLEEN DONOVAN, INDEX NO. 190046-15 Plaintiffs, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY -against-JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER ABB, INC., et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Neles-Jamesbury, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Neles-Jamesbury, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Neles-Jamesbury, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. For purposes of this order, facsimile and PDF signatures shall be deemed binding as originals. Dated: New York, New York August /2, 2015 Patti Burshtyn WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP AUG 14 2015 1177 Avenue of the Americas, 41st Floor 700 Broadway New York, New York 10036 New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500 (212) 248-3140 COUNTY CLEAKS OFFICE Attorneys for Defendant Attorneys for Plaintiffs Don P. Donovan and Kathleen Donovan Neles-Jamesbury, Inc. SO ORDERED: Ion. Peter H. Moulton

AUG 13 2015

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION This Document Relates To: NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 ROBERT W. OPEL, (Hon. Peter H. Moulton) Plaintiffs, Index No.: 190081-15 -against-NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., et al. JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER " Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs. Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq. THE WILLIAMS LAW FIRM, K&L/GATES LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Attorneys for Defendant 245 Park Avenue, 39th Floor-CRANE CO. New York, NY 10167 599 Lexington Avenue (212) 668-1122 New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900 SO ORDERED. Hon. Peter H. Moulton

AUG 13 2015

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

6	
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	ORK
	<b>x</b>
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGAT	ION
This Document Relates To:	<b>x</b>
WILLIAM PAGANO, as Administrator for the	NYCAL
Estate of ANN PAGANO, and WILLIAM	I.A.S. Part 50
PAGANO, Individually,	(Hon. Peter H. Moulton)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No.: 190414/2013
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
-against-	JUDGMENT MOTION AND
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	ORDER
Defendants.	the control of the co
WHEREFORE, defendant SCHNEIDER	x ELECTRIC USA, INC., formerly known as
The state of the s	EBECTATE OST, INC., formerly known as
Square D Company, (hereinafter "SQUARE D")	) hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice	Law and Rules Section 3212 dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant SQUAR	CE D with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	
OPDEDED: that are action to all an de	Condense all alarma and areas alarma
<b>ORDERED</b> , that upon hotice to all co-de	efendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant SQUARE D be, and the same are hereby	, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.
Dated: 7/31/15	
New York, New/Mork	
Millian a Dada Old Ho	Ty Kruis
Suzanne Racliffe, Esq.	Vincer Alford Vincia For
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq. K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)	Attorneys for Defendant
700 Broadway	SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.
New York, NY 10003	(f/k/a SQUARE D COMPANY)
(212) 558-5500	599 Lexington Avenue
	(f/k/a SQUARE D COMPANY) 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900    Aug 13 2015
	REPERENT
SO ORDERED,	8/13/15 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
	AUG 13 2015
(	2015
	NYS SUPREME CO

RECFIVED
AUG 0 4 2015
K&L GATES

N RE: NEW YORK ÇITY ASBESTOS LITIGATIO	ON
Γhis Document Relates To:	X
RA RITZ and AUDREY RITZ,	NYCAL
Plaintiff(s),	I.A.S. Part 50 (Hon. Peter H. Moulton) <i>「9</i> 00つる <i>/2014</i>
-against-	Index No.: 190221/2014  NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. 1	x. nereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice I	Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE	CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	
<b>ORDERED</b> , that upon notice to all co-defe	endants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby,	dismissed with prejudice and without costs.
Dated: 6417	
New York, New York	
A	
	- Jan 1 10011
Frank Ortiz Esa. Adam' Deble, Esa	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.	K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)	K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway	K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500	K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500	K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 SO ORDERED,	K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500	K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 SO ORDERED,	K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 SO ORDERED,	K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 SO ORDERED,	K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030

Section Contracts

Sing Miles

RECEIVED
AUG 0 4 2015
KEL GATES

CLIDDENAE CONTRACTOR TYPE CONTRACTOR	
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	YORK
x	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGA	ATION
This Document Relates To:	
JOSEPH SOLAZZO III, as Administrator for the	
Estate of JOSEPH SOLAZZO,	: NYCAL
Plaintiff(s),	: I.A.S. Part 50 : (Hon. Peter H. Moulton)
-against-	: Index No: 190351-13
AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et al,	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE C	O. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practic	ce Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRAI	NE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-	defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are here  Dated: New York, New York	eby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.
Frank M. Ortiz, Bog. Adam Dreksler, Esq.	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.	TO A TOTAL TO
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway	Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO.  599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10003	CRANE CO.  599 Lexington Avenue  New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 558-5500	(212) 536-3900 PER YOR'S OFF
	8/13/15 RECEIVED
SO ORDERED,	0]13/15   AUG.
Hon. Peter H. Moulton	AUG 13 2015
	NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

RECEIVED

AUG 0 4 2015

K&L GATES

illi.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

SUSAN COFFIN TROISE as Executrix for the Estate of MARCO E. TROISE and SUSAN COFFIN TROISE, as
Spouse,

SYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50

(Moulton, P)

X

Index No.: 190277/14

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. as successor-in-interest to Wilputte Coke Oven Division of Allied Chemical Corporation ("HONEYWELL/WILPUTTE") by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant HONEYWELL/WILPUTTE with prejudice <u>only</u> (this Order does not apply to Honeywell Int'l Inc. s/h/a successor to Allied Signal/Bendix Corp.), and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Honeywell/Wilputte, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Huaus+12,2015

Mark Bibro, Esq.

THE EARLY LAW FIRM, LLC

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, NY 10017

(212) 986-2233

Robert A. Schaefer, Jr. Es. HARRIS BEACH PLLC

Attorneys for Defendants

Honeywell International Inc. as successor-ininterest to Wilputte Coke Oven Division of Allied

Chemical Corporation

100 Wall Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10005

(212) 687-0100

SO ORDERED.

Hon, Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

3//S

RECEIVED

AUG **1 3** 2015

PART 50 IYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

man Ol min

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	Index No.: 190054/15	
n Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITI	GATION	
WALLACE HANKIN,		
WALLACL HARVAIIV,	NO OPPOSITION	•
Plaint		
	MOTION	
- against -		·^· .
AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,		
Defen	ndants.	
	,	
WHEREFORE, Defendant AURO	ORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary	
Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursu	uant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,	
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against De	efendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and	
	The second of th	
though aire and armost though		•
there being no opposition thereto,		
there being no opposition thereto,		
	ll co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against	
ORDERED, that upon notice to a	ll co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and	ll co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against d the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and	
ORDERED, that upon notice to a		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.  Dated: 7/3 , 2015		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.  Dated: 7/3, 2015		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.  Dated: 7/31, 2015 New York, New York	d the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.  Dated: 7/3 , 2015 New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.	d the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.  Dated: 731, 2015 New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq. WEITZ& LUXENBERG, P.C.	Katrina H. Murphy, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.  Dated: 7/3 , 2015 New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff	Katrina H. Murphy, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.  Dated: 7/3 , 2015 New York, New York  Suzanne Rateliffe, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway	Katrina H. Murphy, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.  Dated: 7/3 , 2015 New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway	Katrina H. Murphy, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.  Dated: 731, 2015 New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Katrina H. Murphy, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant	2013
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.  Dated: 731, 2015 New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Katrina H. Murphy, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant	2013
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.  Dated:	Katrina H. Murphy, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant	2013 Os.
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.  Dated: 7/3 , 2015 New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500	Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  Attorneys for Defendant Aurora Pump Company 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10022 (212) 651-7500	2013 Osign
ORDERED, that upon notice to all Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and without costs to either party.  Dated:	Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  Attorneys for Defendant Aurora Pump Company 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10022 (212) 651-7500	2013 Os.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW-YORK  IN RE NEW YORK CITY NYCAL ASBESTOS LITIGATION  WALLACE S. HANKIN and HELEN HANKIN, Index No. 190054-2015  Plaintiffs, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUGGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York TISH ST.  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq. Weitz & Lüxenberg, P.C.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY NYCAL ASBESTOS LITIGATION  WALLACE S. HANKIN and HELEN HANKIN, Index No. 190054-2015  Plaintiffs, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Suzanne Richliffe, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  X WALLACE S. HANKIN and HELEN HANKIN,  Plaintiffs,	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  X WALLACE S. HANKIN and HELEN HANKIN,  Plaintiffs,	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  X WALLACE S. HANKIN and HELEN HANKIN,  Plaintiffs,	
Plaintiffs, JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  T 31   Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
Plaintiffs, JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  1/31/5  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
Plaintiffs, JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  T 131  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
-against;  JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  July Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
-against;  JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  J 31   S    Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Weitz & Juxenberg, P.C.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  J 31 45  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Weitz & Juxenberg, P.C.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	•
WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  131  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  131  Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	•
WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") nereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Weitz & Juxenberg, P.C.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	·
WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  Weitz & Juxenberg, P.C.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") nereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Weitz & Juxenberg, P.C.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	·.
December requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  The Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
December requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	•
Dated: New York, New York  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Mexit Property April 1988  New York And the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
Dated: New York, New York  1/31/45  Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC	
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Malaby & Bradley, LLC	;
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Malaby & Bradley, LLC	-
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Malaby & Bradley, LLC	*
Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc.	-
700 Broadway 150 Broadway, Suite 600	, ~
	·E
New York, New York 10003  New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLEAR  NEW YORK, NY 10038	
	<015
New York, New York 10003  New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLERK NEW YORK NEW YOR	500
SO ORDERED, Dated: 8/13/15	CORFIC
Alla	· ·
AUG 12 201	· ·
NYS SUPREME COURT	· ·
REME COUP.	· ·

NYS SUPREME COURT CIVIL

ASBESTOS LITIGATION  X  DON P. DONOVAN and KATHLEEN DONOVAN,  Plaintiffs,  against  Plaintiffs,  NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  ABB, INC. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby including plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.						
N RE NEW YORK CITY ASSESTOS LITICATION  NYCAL  NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT  ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  ABB, INC. et al. including  ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  nereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Stephen-Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway  New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway  New York, New York 10003  New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  AUG 14 2015  O'ORDERED, Struy  Hon: Peter H. Moulton	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	(			, , ,	
NRE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  NYCAL  NYCAL  NYCAL  NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  ABB, INC. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  AND AGAIN AS AGAINST DEFENDANT AS AGAINST DEFEN		•				
NRE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  X  DON P. DONOVAN and KATHLEEN DONOVAN, Plaintiffs, against Plaintiffs, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  ABB, INC. et al, including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civi				•		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  Plaintiffs, against  Plaintiffs, AND OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  ABB, INC. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") Pereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Perection 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and Pereber being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Path Burshtyn, Esq. Weltz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  Pated:  Dated: New York, New York 10003  Path Burshtyn, Esq. Weltz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  AUG 1 2 27015			(-			٠.
DON P. DONOVAN and KATHLEEN DONOVAN,  Plaintiffs,  against  Plaintiffs,  NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT  ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  ABB, INC. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.   WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules  section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway  New York, New York 10003  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Plointiffs 700 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLERKS  OCICIONAL CLERKS  OC	IN RE NEW YORK CITY		NYCAL			
Plaintiffs,	ASBESTOS LITIGATION					12.5
Plaintiffs,						
Plaintiffs, against  Defendants  NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  ABB, INC. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY  Defendants.  X  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New.York, New.York  Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Altorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003  Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Malaby & Bradley, LLC Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003  Dated: New York, New York 10003		,	4			
against- JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  ABB, INC. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  **  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  nereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules iection 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Attorneys for Poper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, New York 10003  New York, New York, New York 10003  Patted: **  New York, New York 10003  Pated: **  AUG 1 2 2015  AUG 1 2 2015	DON P. DONOVAN and KATHLEEN DONOVAN,		Index No.	190046-2015		
against- JUDGMENT AS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  ABB, INC. et al. including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,  Defendants.  **  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  nereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules iection 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Attorneys for Poper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, New York 10003  New York, New York, New York 10003  Patted: **  New York, New York 10003  Pated: **  AUG 1 2 2015  AUG 1 2 2015						
Defendants.  WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Weitz & Luxenberg, P. C.  Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York,	Plaintiffs,					
ABB, INC. et al. including ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto;  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Attorneys for Pump Company")  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc.  150 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, New York 10003  Pated: Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc.  150 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, New York 10003  Pated: Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Autonous for Roper Technologies, Inc.  150 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, NY 10038	-against-	*5.	NO O	PPOSITION SUI	<b>VMARY</b>	* ************************************
Defendants.  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requ		3	JUDGMEN	T AS AGAINST	DEFENDANT	
Defendants.  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules hereby requ	What the A Comment of States		ROPE	R TECHNOLOGI	ES, INC.	
Defendants.  WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules fection 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  Dated: Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Autorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038  COUNT CLERKS OFFICE  AUG 12 2015	ABB, INC. et al. including			31.6		
WHEREFORE, defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company")  nereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Attorneys for Plointiffs  700 Broadway, New York, New York 10003  New York, N			•		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Malaby, & Bradley, LLC Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  AUG 1 2015  GO ORDERED: Hon: Peter H. Moulton						
WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Malaby, & Bradley, LLC Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  AUG 1 2015  GO ORDERED: Hon: Peter H. Moulton				•		
WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "Roper Pump Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Malaby, & Bradley, LLC Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  AUG 1 2015  GO ORDERED: Hon: Peter H. Moulton		i,				
nereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York.  Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  Dated: 8/3//5  Hon: Peter H. Moulton  AUG 1 2 2015	Detendants.					
nereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York.  Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  Dated: 8/3//5  Hon: Peter H. Moulton  AUG 1 2 2015		>	<b>k</b>			
nereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York.  Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs Autorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  Dated: 8/3//5  Hon: Peter H. Moulton  AUG 1 2 2015		. , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	• • •	15.4	
ection 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant coper Technologies, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York.  Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  Dated: Molecular against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and the repudice and without costs.  Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Malaby & Bradley, LLC Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  Dated: Molecular against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and the repudice, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York, New York.  Dated: Molecular against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  Dated: New York, New York, New York.  Dated: Molecular against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and here being no opposition thereto.  August 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New Yo				O		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant coper technologies, inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  700 Broadway New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  O ORDERED  Dated: AUG 14 2015  Dated: AUG 12 2015						
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Malaby, & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc.  700 Broadway 150 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, New York 10003  New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  Hon. Peter H. Moulton	Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint ag	gainst <b>ROPER TE</b>	CHNOLOGIES	<b>S, INC.,</b> with pre	ejudice, and	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Malaby, & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc.  700 Broadway 150 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, New York 10003  New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  Hon. Peter H. Moulton	here being no opposition thereto:		, <u>1</u> 93	•	:	
ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.  Dated: New York, New York  Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Malaby & Bradley, LLC,  Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003  New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE Hon: Peter H. Moulton  AUG 1 2 2015		4.				
Dated: New York, New York  Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiffs: Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003  New York, NY 10038  AUG 1 2 2015  AUG 1 2 2015	ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-de	fendants, all cla	iims and cros	s claims against	defendant -	
Dated: New York, New York  Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiffs: Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003  New York, NY 10038  AUG 1 2 2015  AUG 1 2 2015	ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same	are hereby disn	nissed with pr	reiudice and wi	thout costs.	
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  Dated:  Dated:  So ORDERED  Hon Peter H. Moulton  Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038  COUNTY OLERKS OFFICE  Hon Peter H. Moulton  AUG 12 2015		,			,	
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  Dated:  Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  Hon Peter H. Moulton  AUG 12 2015	Dated: New York, New York	<u> </u>				
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  Dated:  Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  Hon Peter H. Moulton  AUG 12 2015		$\times$	X	:	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  Dated:  Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  Hon Peter H. Moulton  AUG 12 2015				7374 - ***		r.**
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003  New York, New York 10003  Dated:  Malaby & Bradley, LLC  Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  Hon Peter H. Moulton  AUG 12 2015	+2000		//	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		The state of
Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 New York, NY 10038  Aug 1 2015  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Hon: Peter H. Moulton  Aug 1 2 2015	Patti Burshtyn, Esq.	Stephen Nov	kidis, Esq.	•		. * * :
700 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003  New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Hon: Peter H. Moulton  Dated:  AUG 12 2015	Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.	Malaby & Bra	adley, LLC			•
700 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003  New York, NY 10038  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Hon: Peter H. Moulton  Dated:  AUG 12 2015	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for	Roper Techni	ologies, Inc.		
OUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Pated:  Hon: Peter H. Moulton  AUG 12 2015						
OUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Pated:  Hon: Peter H. Moulton  AUG 12 2015						FR
OUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Pated:  Hon: Peter H. Moulton  AUG 12 2015	1000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10000	_	AUG .	
O ORDERED, Dated: 8/3//5 Hon: Peter H. Moulton  AUG 12 2015				CO	UNIT	2015
O ORDERED, Dated: 8/3//5 Hon: Peter H. Moulton  AUG 12 2015	este line i la la comi si esescritarre est estade de la comita del comita de la comita del comita de la comita della comit			A great and A	CIE	-0JJ
AUG 12 2015			01		THEVEDRE	S.Oc.
AUG 12 2015	O ORDERED,	Dated	8/13	1.45 Jacqua ;		WIFICE BUTFICE
AUG 12 2015						
NYS SUDREME COURT	A SHE WAS TO SHEET A SHEET OF THE SHEET OF T	JT 75 (92) \$5 JT	र ४ भूजा सुध		Allo	
NYS SUDREME COURT				. /	406 J	2 2010
NYS SUDREME COURT	and the second of the second o	m <sub>i</sub> m,		. /	A0	- 20/5
COUPT					NYS SUDREMPARTS	$o^{i,\lambda}$
			: .		COL	JRT . C-

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	 x	***
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL	s (1)
NICHOLAS SEWAK, Plaintiff,	x Index No. 190491-20	·
-against-	NO OPPOSITION SUM AS AGAINST D ROPER TECHNO	EFENDANT
ARVINMERITOR; INC. Individually and as Successor Former Automotive Products Segment of Rockwell al. including ROPER PUMP CO.,  Defendants.	t,	

WHEREFORE defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (sued herein as "ROPER PUMP CO.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ROPER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated

New York, New York

Michael Cohan, Esq.

Napoli Bern Ripka Shkolnik, & Associates, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiffs.

350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413

New York, New York 10118

Stephen Novakidis, Esq. Malaby & Bradley, LLC

Attorneys for Roper Technologies, Inc.

150 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, NY 10038

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Pated 8/13/

RECEIVED

AUG 12

NYS SUPPREME COMET

AUGUST

AUG 1 4 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY	NŸCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 50
	(Moulton, <b>₽</b> .)
	<del></del>
IRA RITZ and AUDREY RITZ,	Index No. 190022/14
Plaintiff	
	NO OPPOSITION
	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,	
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.,	The state of the s
Defenda	ints,
	X
WHEREFORE Defendant Morse Diesel I	nc., hereby requests summary judgment in the
	그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그
pove-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law	and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
omplaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., wi	th prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,
	그 이번 하는 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람이 가득하는 것이 되었다.
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defer	ndants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby disa	nissed with prejudice and without costs.
Dated: New York, New York	
$Q^{70}$ . 2015	
<del>7</del>	
7	
A	
A	
dam S. Dreksler, Esq.	Rosario Chetta, Esq.
	Rosario Chetta, Esq. MALABY, & BRADLEY, LLC
VEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.	
VEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC. ttorneys för Plaintiffs	MALABY, & BRADLEY, LLC
VEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC. ttorneys for Plaintiffs a Ritz and Audrey Ritz	MALABY, & BRADLEY, LLC Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600
VEITZ & LUXENBERG; PC. ttorneys for Plaintiffs a Ritz and Audrey Ritz O Broadway	MALABY, & BRADLEY, LLC Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc.
VEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC. ttorneys for Plaintiffs a Ritz and Audrey Ritz Broadway Wew York, New York, 10003	MALABY, & BRADLEY, LLC Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285
Adam S. Dreksler, Esq. VEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC. ttorneys for Plaintiffs ra Ritz and Audrey Ritz 00 Broadway lew York, New York 10003 212) 558-5500	MALABY, & BRADLEY, LLC Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285
VEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC. ttorneys for Plaintiffs ra Ritz and Audrey Ritz 00 Broadway lew York, New York 10003	MALABY, & BRADLEY, LLC Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285
VEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC. ttorneys för Plaintiffs ta Ritz and Audrey Ritz 00 Broadway ew York, New York 10003 212) 558-5500	MALABY, & BRADLEY, LLC Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285
VEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC: ttorneys for Plaintiffs ta Ritz and Audrey Ritz 00 Broadway ew York, New York 10003 212) 558-5500  O ORDERED,	MALABY, & BRADLEY, LLC Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285
VEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC. ttorneys för Plaintiffs ta Ritz and Audrey Ritz 00 Broadway ew York, New York 10003 212) 558-5500	MALABY, & BRADLEY, LLC Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285
VEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC: ttorneys for Plaintiffs ta Ritz and Audrey Ritz 00 Broadway ew York, New York 10003 212) 558-5500  O ORDERED,	MALABY, & BRADLEY, LLC  Attorneys for Defendant  Morse Diesel, Inc.  150 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, New York 10038  (212) 791-0285  Dated: 8/3//5  Nys Supreme Court - CIVIL
VEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC: ttorneys for Plaintiffs a Ritz and Audrey Ritz 00 Broadway ew York, New York 10003 212) 558-5500	MALABY, & BRADLEY, LLC  Attorneys for Defendant  Morse Diesel, Inc.  150 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, New York 10038  (212) 791-0285  Dated: 8/13/15  AUG 12 2015  AUG 14 2015
VEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC: ttorneys for Plaintiffs a Ritz and Audrey Ritz 00 Broadway ew York, New York 10003 212) 558-5500	MALABY, & BRADLEY, LLC Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** I.A. S. Part 50: (Moulton, P.) RAYMOND FLOOD and Index No. 190147/2015 CARLEEN FLOOD. NO OPPOSITION Plaintiffs, SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AERCO INTERNATIONAL INC., et al. Defendant(s). WHEREFORE, defendants J. TORTORELLA SWIMMING POOL SERVICE AND MAINTENANCE, INC. and J. TORTORELLA SWIMMING POOL'S, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against défendants J. TORTORELLA SWIMMING POOL SERVICE AND MAINTENANCE, INC. and J. TORTORELLA SWIMMING POOL'S, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants J. TORTORELLA SWIMMING POOL SERVICE AND MAINTENANCE, INC. and J. TORTORELLA SWIMMING POOL'S, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. New York, New York Dated: Daniel Blowin, Esq. Grace L. De Mario. Esq. SIMMONS HANLY CONROY MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC Attorney for Plaintiff\* Attorney for Defendant J. TORTORELLA 112 Madison Ave. SWIMMING POOLS, INC. New York, NY 10016

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter J. Moulton

150 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, NY 10038

AUG 1 4 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE

**NEW YORK CITY** ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190002/2015

JOHN PARKE O'CONNOR and PATRICIA O'CONNOR

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant ALCOA INC., incorrectly sued herein as "ALCOA, INC., individually and as successor in interest to Reynolds Metals, as successor in interest to Triple AAA Roofing," hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant ALCOA INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, ALCOA INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

, 2015

David A. Chandler, Esq.

KARST & VON OISTELLP

576 Fifth Avenue, Suite 401 New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

John Parke O'Connor and Patricia

O'Connor

(212) 764-3900

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

150 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, New York 10038


Attorneys for Defendant

Alcoa Inc.

(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 3050 (Judge - Heitler) Moulton

This Document Relates to: DENNIS F. MADDALONE and PATRICIA

Index No.: 190234/14

MADDALONE,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

\_\_\_, 2015

Carmen St George, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Dennis Maddalone 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant Goulds Pumps, Inc. 44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 6754-13289

SO ORDERED:

FILED AUG 1 4 2015 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

HON. PÉTERH. MOULTON

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
NIRVA DECOPAIN and MARIE Y. JEUNE, as
Co-Executrix for the Estate of JEAN M.
DONNAY

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190118/13

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York June 29, 2015

Patti L. Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
NIRVA DECOPAIN and MARIE Y.
JEUNE

700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 212-558-5500 Austin D. O'Malley Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO

44 Wall Street

New York, New York 10005

212-732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-67882

So Ordered:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

AUG 1 2 2015

PART 50

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVI.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL

IN RE:

NEW YORK COUNTY

I.A.S. Part 50

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

(Judge Moulton)

----X This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190047/15

STUART L. McMAHON and CAMILLE McMAHON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC. be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

**₹/**4 , 2015

Patti L. Burshtyn, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) STUART AND CAMILLE MCMAHON

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Austin D. O'Malley Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC.

44 Wall Street

New York, New York 10005

212-732-2000

Our File No.: 6754-13655

So Ordered:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

AUG 12 2015

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (**P**. Moulton)

This Document Relates to:

JOEL BERLIN and JEANNE BERLIN,

Index No.: 190022/15

Plaintiffs,

- against -

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 8/12\_, 2015

Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Joel Berlin 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq. 🛭

Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendato

Goulds Pumps, Inc.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 1000

(212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 6754-13626

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

AUG 12 2015 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (**P**. Moulton)

This Document Relates to:

JOSE ROSELLI and LESLIE ROSELLI,

Index No.: 190410/14

Plaintiffs,

- against -

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

and there being no opposition thereto,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York pliz., 2015

Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Jose Roselli

700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500

oseph M. Andiolillo, Esq.

Cullen and Dykman LLP C Attorneys for Defendant

Goulds Pumps, Inc.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floda Q New York, New York 100052


(212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 6754-13588

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

8/13/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190132/14
Joseph L. O'Leary and Gail O'Leary	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant CARRIER CORPORATION	

WHEREFORE, defendant CARRIER CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CARRIER CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, NY

Chris Romanelli, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 Virginia Squitieri, Esq.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,

EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Attorney for Defendant

CARRIER CORPORATION

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10017

File No.: 10557.01613

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

8/13/15

AUG 12 2015

NEW YOR OFF

PART 50

NYS SUPREME COURT

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, <b>P</b> .)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190084/15
Adrian R. Smith	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant CONWED CORPORATION judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice L dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CONWED COR and there being no opposition thereto,	aw and Rules Section 3212,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claid defendant CONWED CORPORATION, be and the same are her and without costs.	
Dated: July 30, 2015 New York, NY	
Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.  Virginia	Squitieri, Esq.
MEIROWITZ & WASSERBERG, LLP WILSO Attorneys for Plaintiff EDELM	N, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, IAN & DICKER LLP
New York, NY 10022 CONW	y for Defendant ED CORPORATION t 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street
New Yo File No.	t 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street  ork, NY 10017  : 07415.002 3 AUG 1 4 2015  NEW YORK OFFICE  PECET WILLIAM  OR 14 2015
so ordered,	NEW LAKE
HON PETER H. MOULTON, J.S.C.	RECEIVED
	AUG 12 2015
6748989v.1	NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
X	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 50
x	(Moulton)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190414/13
William Pagano, as Administrator for the Estate of Ann	NO OPPOSITION
Pagano and William Pagano, Individually,	SUMMARY
	JUDGMENT MOTION AND
	ORDER
<b>x</b>	
WATER TOOK I CARRIED CORRORATION	
WHEREFORE, defendant CARRIER CORPORATION, HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS" (hereinafter "CARRIER	
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to	
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants C	
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all cla	ims and cross claims agains
defendants CARRIER CORPORATION, be and the same are he	
and without costs.	MAN .
*A* (ALC)	
Dated: 7/31/15	
New York, NY	

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C Attorneys for Plaintiff.\* 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Virginia Squitieri, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Attorney for Defendant

CARRIER CORPORTS

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street

New York, NY 10012 OUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

SO ORDERED

8/13/15 Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

RECEIVED AUG 12 2015

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

6845554v.1