

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

FILED

JUL 13 2017

**NEW YORK COUNTY
COUNTY CLERK**

Index No.: 115015/98

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
LINDA BIGAJ, as Executrix for the Estate of LEONARD
JOSEPH BIGAJ and LINDA BIGAJ, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING &
SUPPLY), et al.,


Defendants.

-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant A.W. Chesterton Company hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant A.W. Chesterton Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, A.W. Chesterton Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court. This No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion may be signed in separate counterparts and facsimile or PDF signatures shall be deemed to be original signatures for all purposes of this motion.

Dated: July 5, 2017
New York, New York



Jason Yampolsky, Esq. Suzanne
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC ratcliffe
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Alexander C. Schaffel, Esq.
SEGAL MCCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
A.W. Chesterton Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton


HON. GERALD LEBOVITS
J.S.C.

7/13/17

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 13 2017

**NEW YORK COUNTY
COUNTY CLERK**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 115015/1998


**LINDA BIGAJ, as Executrix for the Estate of
LEONARD JOSEPH BIGAJ and LINDA
BIGAJ, Individually**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly named as Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/6/17
New York, New York



Jason Yampolsky, Esq. **Suzanne Ratcliffe**
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.
225 Liberty Street, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10281

SO ORDERED, B 7/13/17
**HON. GERALD LEBOVITS
J.S.C.**

RECEIVED
JUL 12 2017
PART 7
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL
3324408_1

FILED

JUL 13 2017

**NEW YORK COUNTY
COUNTY CLERK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

Index No: 190263/2011

This Document Relates to:

JOHN C. HOWREN, Individually and as
Executor of the Estate of VICKI H. HOWREN,
Deceased,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant ALCOA INC., Individually and as successor in interest to Tilo Roofing Co., by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ALCOA INC., Individually and as successor in interest to Tilo Roofing Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ALCOA INC., Individually and as successor in interest to Tilo Roofing Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: _____ 2016
New York, New York

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiffs


John S. Howarth, Esq.
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Defendant, ALCOA INC.,
Individually and as successor in interest to Tilo
Roofing Co.

SO ORDERED, _____

~~John S. Howarth~~
HON. GERALD LEBOVITS

8/3/17

RECEIVED
JUL 12 2017
PART 7
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

PHILADELPHIA OFFICE
1818 MARKET ST. SUITE 3100
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-3631
TEL: 215.564.4141
FAX: 215.564.4385

PITTSBURGH OFFICE
603 STANWIX STREET
TWO GATEWAY CENTER, 17 N.
PITTSBURGH, PA 15222
TEL: 412.255.0500
FAX: 412.255.0505

WEST VIRGINIA OFFICE
1B ELM GROVE CROSSING
WHEELING, WV 26003
TEL: 304.905.9463
FAX: 304.905.1194

**WILBRAHAM
LAWLER &
BUBA**

A Professional Corporation

www.wlbdeflaw.com

NEW JERSEY OFFICE
30 WASHINGTON AVE., SUITE B3
HADDONFIELD, NJ 08033-3341
TEL: 856.795.4422
FAX: 856.795.4699

NEW YORK OFFICE
140 BROADWAY, 46TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NY 10005
TEL: 212.858.7575
FAX: 212.943.9246

DELAWARE OFFICE
901 MARKET STREET
SUITE 810
WILMINGTON, DE 19801
TEL: 302.421.9935
FAX: 302.421.9955

July 11, 2017

Via Hand Delivery

Gerald Lebovits
New York Supreme Court
County of New York
60 Centre Street, Part 7
Room 345
New York, NY 10007

RE: Vicki H. Howren v. Alcoa Inc., et al.; Index No.: 190263/2011

Dear Mr. Lebovits,

Enclosed please find an original and a copy of the above referenced executed No-
Opposition Summary Judgment Motions ("NOSJM"). Please file the original and return the
time stamped copies.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA



Shannon Burns, Legal Assistant

Enclosure

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 13 2017

**NEW YORK COUNTY
COUNTY CLERK**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton P.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190211/09

**BARRY KASVEN, Executor of the Estate of
IRENE KASVEN and NATHAN KASVEN,
Individually,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly sued as Flowserve US, Inc., as successor to Edward Vogt, Vogt Valve, Nordstrom Valves and Edward Valve Inc., and Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company and Edward Valves) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Flowserve US, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: _____

New York, New York

7/6/17

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

**HON. GERALD LEBOVITS
J.S.C.**

7/13/17

RECEIVED
JUL 12 2017
PART 7
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

FILED

JUL 13 2017

**NEW YORK COUNTY
COUNTY CLERK**

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190344/09

**GEORGE A. PAINTER and BETTY G.
PAINTER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/6/17
New York, New York

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as
successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company,
Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and
Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, _____

7/13/17
HON. GERALD LEBOVITS
J.S.C.

RECEIVED
JUL 12 2017
PART 7
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Moulton, P.)

JUL 13 2017
NEW YORK COUNTY
COUNTY CLERK

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190054/09

CHRISTINE CORDANI, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of THEODORE
MAJKA, deceased,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: _____

New York, New York



Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036



Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as
successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company,
Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and
Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, _____



HON. GERALD LEOVITS
J.S.C.



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109036/00; 122188/99

WALLACE GENE NUSBAUM

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**




WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Burnham LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/6 / 2017

FILED
JUL 13 2017
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

 Charles Ferguson, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003-4925	 Justin Siebel Esq.	 Nicholas P. Eliades, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Burnham LLC 225 Liberty Street, 36 th Floor New York, New York 10281
---	--	--

SO ORDERED,


HON. GERALD LEBOVITS
J.S.C.

RECEIVED
JUL 12 2017
PART 7
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JOHN ANTHONY CECI and JEAN
VERONICA CECI,**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

INDEX NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 13 2017

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/12, 2017

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely
as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company,
Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and
Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

7/13/17

HON. GERALD LEBOVITS
J.S.C.

RECEIVED
JUL 12 2017
PART 7
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD P. KELLY and ELIZABETH KELLY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

Index No: 110740/2006

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JUL 13 2017


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 10, 2017


Justin Siebel, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


~~Hon. Gerald Lebovits, J.S.C.~~
HON. GERALD LBOVITS
J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Motion, P)
INDEXED
FILED
JUL 13 2017
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Index No.: 104802/2008


RICHARD HENN and VIRGINIA HENN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Benjamin Moore & Co ("Benjamin Moore"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Benjamin Moore with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Benjamin Moore be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 7/6/17
New York, New York



Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036



Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Benjamin Moore & Co
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

HON. GERALD LEBOVITS
J.S.C.

RECEIVED
JUL 12 2017
PART 7
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JUL 13 2017

-----x
This Document Relates To:

: COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
: NEW YORK

RICHARD ROKOSZAK, as Special
Administrator for the Estate of HENRY J.
ROKOSZAK,

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 50
: (Hon. Peter Moulton)

Plaintiff(s),

: Index No.: 190508-11

-against-

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
: MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, Defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/5/2017
New York, New York


Susan Barlow, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Afford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

HON. GERALD LEBOVITS
J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JAMES JOERGER, as Executor for the Estate
of AUSTIN C. JOERGER, and THERESA
JOERGER, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Hon. Peter Moulton)

Index No.: 106973-08


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


FILED
JUL 13 2017
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, Defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/3/2017
New York, New York


Susan Barlow, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
HON. GERALD LEBOVITS
J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
JUL 13 2017
I.A.S. PART 7
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Lebovitz, G.

This Document Applies to:

VIVIAN SHOLDER, as Administratrix of the Estate
of MILTON SHOLDER,

X

INDEX NO. 112293-2006

Plaintiff,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

BEAZER EAST, INC. (Individually and as successor-
in-interest to KOPPERS COMPANY, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

X

WHEREFORE, defendant Parker-Hannifin Corporation ("Parker") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Parker with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Parker be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
~~June~~ July 5, 2017

Darron Berquist

Darron Berquist, Esq.
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC
126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10022
212-421-2800
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Richard P. O'Leary

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
TROUTMAN SANDERS, LLP
875 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
212-704-6000
Attorneys for Defendant
Parker-Hannifin Corporation

SO ORDERED

[Signature]
~~Hon. George J. Silver, J.S.C.~~

**HON. GERALD LEBOVITS
J.S.C.**

RECEIVED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JUL 11 2017
PART 7
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DONALD E. STEWART, SR. and FALYN
STEWART,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AO SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 50
: (Hon. Peter Moulton)


: Index No.: 110268-02



: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 30, 2017
New York, New York


Suzanne Ratchiff, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Adam Dreksler, Esq.

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6098
(212) 536-3900

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 13 2011

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
HON. GERALD LEBOVITS
J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN J. DEGNAN and SHEILA DEGNAN,

Index No.: 126934/02
104409/03

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant H.B. Fuller Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, H.B. Fuller Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court. This No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion may be signed in separate counterparts and facsimile or PDF signatures shall be deemed to be original signatures for all purposes of this motion.

Dated: July 10, 2017
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Justin Sidel, Esq.

Terance R. Calcagno, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
H.B. Fuller Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUL 13 2017
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
~~Hon. George J. Silver~~

**HON. GERALD LBOVITS
J.S.C.**

J 7/13/17

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190019/2008

**CARMELO DURAN AND SEBESBRINDA M.
DURAN**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Tuthill Corporation (improperly sued as Tuthill Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Coppus, Coppus Murray, Murray and Kinney Vacuum Company) ("Tuthill"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Tuthill with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Tuthill be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/6/17
New York, New York

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Tuthill Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
JUL 13 2017
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

7/12/17
HON. GERALD LEBOVITS
J.S.C.

RECEIVED
JUL 12 2017
PART 7
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DONALD E. MORTON, JR. and BEVERLY J. MORTON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Hon. Peter Moulton)


Index No.: 113324-02


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: JUNE 30, 2017
New York, New York


Justin Weitz, Esq. Adam Dreksler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____

 7/10/17

HON. GERALD LEOVITS
J.S.C.

CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JUL 13 2017
FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50, (Moulton, P.)

----- X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

Index No: 105936/03
128019/02

This Document Relates to:

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

**CHARLES J. PALMISANO and SUSAN
PALMISANO,**
----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant, **ADIENCE, INC. F/K/A BMI, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **ADIENCE, INC. F/K/A BMI, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **ADIENCE, INC. F/K/A BMI, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: _____, 2017



Justin Siebel, Esq.


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
**CHARLES J. PALMISANO
and SUSAN PALMISANO**
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Defendant
ADIENCE, INC. F/K/A BMI, INC.,
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.101959

FILED
JUL 13 2017
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


HON. GEORGE J. SILVER

RECEIVED
JUL 05 2017
PART 10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50, (Moulton, P.)

----- X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

Index No: 104409/03
126937/02

This Document Relates to:


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

JOHN J. DEGNAN and SHEILA DEGNAN,
----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant, **ADIENCE, INC. F/K/A BMI, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **ADIENCE, INC. F/K/A BMI, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **ADIENCE, INC. F/K/A BMI, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: _____, 2017




Adam Dreksler, Esq.
Justin S. Kelly Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
**JOHN J. DEGNAN and
SHEILA DEGNAN**
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Defendant
ADIENCE, INC. F/K/A BMI, INC.,
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.102085

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JUL 13 2017
FILED

SO ORDERED, 

HON. GEORGE J. SILVER

RECEIVED
JUL 05 2017
PART 10

RECEIVED

JUL 05 2017

PART 10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

CHARLES P. BLAND,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL

Index No.: 190007/2012

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Peter H. Moulton,
IAS Part 50

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/17/2017

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Susan Barlow, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: 
Elissa Regev, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

137 West 25th Street, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10001
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:


HON. GEORGE J. SILVER

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 13 2017

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118724/01, 111233/01

JACK SKELLY


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/8, 2017



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Jack Skelly
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Kerryon M. Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY, KLUGER & COOK, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 13 2017

FILED

SO ORDERED,



HON. GEORGE J. SILVER

RECEIVED

JUL 05 2017

PART 10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118724/01, 111233/01

JACK SKELLY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/8, 2017



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Jack Skelly
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY, KLUGER & COOK, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
JUL 13 2017
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



HON. GEORGE J. SILVER

RECEIVED

2383-23534H

JUL 05 2017

PART 10

RECEIVED

JUL 05 2017

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

NYCAL PART 10

FALYN E. STEWART, as Executrix for the Estate :
of DONALD E. STEWART, and FALYN E. :
STEWART, Individually, :

Index No. 125709/2002

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

-against-

Hon. Peter H. Moulton,
IAS Part 50

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/28/17

WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

By: Andrew J. Mundo
Andrew J. Mundo, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

137 West 25th Street, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10001
(212) 302-2400

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JUL 13 2017
FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: George J. Silver
HON. GEORGE J. SILVER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED

JUL 05 2017

PART 10

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

NYCAL

FALYN E. STEWART, as Executrix for the Estate :
of DONALD E. STEWART, and FALYN E. :
STEWART, Individually, :

Index No. 125709/2002

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton,
IAS Part 50

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/28/17

WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

By: Andrew J. Mundo
Andrew J. Mundo, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

137 West 25th Street, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10001
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: George J. Silver
HON. GEORGE J. SILVER

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 13 2017

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 13 2017

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

FALYN E. STEWART, Individually and as Executrix for
the Estate of DONALD E. STEWART,

Index No. 125709/02

Plaintiff,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


A. C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corp. ("Maremont") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Maremont be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 3, 2017




Adam Dreksler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Jordan Beltz, Esq.
KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Maremont Corp.
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019

SO ORDERED,



~~Hon. Peter H. Mordkin~~ 7/13/17

**HON. GERALD LEOVITS
J.S.C.**

ORIGINAL

Index No.: 125709/2002

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X

This Document Relates To:

FALYN E. STEWART, as Executrix for the Estate of DONALD E. STEWART,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendant(s).

X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUL 13 2017
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1a, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information and belief and reasonable inquiry, (1) the contentions contained in the annexed document are not frivolous and that (2) if the annexed document is an initiating pleading, (i) the matter was not obtained through illegal conduct, or that if it was, the attorney or other person responsible for the illegal conduct are not participating in the matter or sharing in any fee earned therefrom and that (ii) if the matter involves potential claims for personal injury or wrongful death, the matter was not obtained in violation of 22 NYCRR 1200.41-a.

Dated: July 7, 2017

/s/ Jordan Beltz

Jordan Beltz, Esq.

KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP

1633 BROADWAY

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019

(212) 506-1700

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT MAREMONT CORPORATION