

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 13
(Silvera, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190008/2009

William Brown, Jr.

**UNOPPOSED
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **VELAN VALVE CORP.** requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against **VELAN VALVE CORP.**, with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED, that all claims and cross-claims against defendant **VELAN VALVE CORP.** are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/2024



Mark Bibro, Esq.
THE EARLY LAW FIRM, LLC
Attorney for Plaintiff
122 E. 42nd Street - Suite 4700
New York, New York 10017



Erik C. DiMarco, Esq.
GORDON REES SCULLY
MANSUKHANI, LLP
Attorney for Defendant
VELAN VALVE CORP.
1 Battery Park Plaza, 28th Floor
New York, New York 10004

SO ORDERED,



HON. ADAM SILVERA, J.S.C. **MAY 29 2024**

FILED

JUN 14 2024

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

ROBERT HOPE,

Index No.: 190250/11

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims as against GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION without prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED that all claims and cross-claims against defendant GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 10, 2024

New York, New York



Kevin Berry, Esq.
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer
14 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
The Pascarella Law Group, PLLC
Attorney for Defendant
General Cable Corporation
1715 ROUTE 35, Suite 308
Middletown, New Jersey 07748
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,



Adam Silvera, J.S.C.

MAY 29 2024

FILED

JUN 14 2024

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
LEROY SIMPSON,

Index No.: 190135/10

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.


Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims as against GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION without prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED that all claims and cross-claims against defendant GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 10, 2024

New York, New York



Kevin Berry, Esq.
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer
14 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
The Pascarella Law Group, PLLC
Attorney for Defendant
General Cable Corporation
1715 ROUTE 35, Suite 308
Middletown, New Jersey 07748
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,


Adam Silvera, J.S.C.

MAY 29 2024

FILED

JUN 14 2024

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

PHILIP HUBER,

Index No.: 104726/03

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims as against RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY without prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED that all claims and cross-claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 21, 2024

New York, New York



Kevin Berry, Esq.
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer
14 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
The Pascarella Law Group, PLLC
Attorney for Defendant
RHEEM MANUFACTURING CO
1715 ROUTE 35, Suite 30
Middletown, New Jersey 07748
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,



Adam Silvera, J.S.C.

MAY 29 2024

FILED

JUN 14 2024

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X

DONALD ROSENBAUER,

Index No.: 104726/03

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.


X

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims as against RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY without prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED that all claims and cross-claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 21, 2024

New York, New York



Kevin Berry, Esq.
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer
14 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
The Pascarella Law Group, PLLC
Attorney for Defendant
RHEEM MANUFACTURING CO
1715 ROUTE 35, Suite 30
Middletown, New Jersey 07748
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,



Adam Silvera, J.S.C.

MAY 29 2024

FILED

JUN 14 2024

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

GEORGE T. VENNER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.

Defendants

INDEX NO. 111306-1995

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Clark-Reliance Corporation, requests judgment, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the Complaint(s) and cross-claims against Coen Company, with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendant there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED, that all claims and cross-claims against Defendant, Clark-Reliance Corporation, are dismissed, with prejudice, and without costs.

Dated: 3/7/24
New York, New York

WILENTZ GOLDMAN & SPITZER
s/s Joseph Carlo

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Wilentz Goldman & Spitzer
14 Wall Street, Suite 6B
New York, New York 10005

O'TOOLE SCRIVO, LLC

Casey Chamra
Casey Chamra, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Clark-Reliance Corporation
14 Village Park Road
Cedar Grove, NJ 07009

SO ORDERED



ADAM SILVERA, J.S.C.

MAY 29 2024

FILED

JUN 14 2024

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 14 2024

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 13
(Silvera, A.)

This Document Relates to:


Index No.: 101731/2007

**SARA J. DICKERMAN, Individually and as
Administratrix of the Estate of ROBERT H.
DICKERMAN**

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valves, and Nordstrom Valves (improperly sued as Rockwell Automation, Inc., Individually and as Successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Reeves Pulley, and Reliance Electric Manufacturing, and Flowserve US, Inc., Individually and Solely as Successor to Edward Vogt, Vogt Valves, Nordstrom Valves and Edward Valve, Inc.), ("Flowserve US" or "Defendant"), requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against Flowserve US with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Flowserve US are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  New York, New York
5/8/2024

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10036

/s/ Brian Sorensen, Esq.
Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.
225 Liberty Street, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10281

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Adam Silvera, J.S.C.

JUN 05 2024

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 13
(Mendez, J.)

This Document Relates to:

**Irene Bucciero as Executrix of the Estate of Michael
Bucciero**

Plaintiff,

-against-

WEIL-McLAIN, et al.

Defendants.


Index No.: 107301/02

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant WEIL-McLAIN, by its attorneys Leader Berkon Colao & Silverstein LLP, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendant WEIL-McLAIN, with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that all claims and cross-claims against defendant WEIL-McLAIN are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: May 28, 2024
New York, New York



Kevin M. Berry
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
14 Wall Street
Suite 6B
New York, New York 10005



David J. Goodearl, Esq.
Leader Berkon Colao & Silverstein LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
WEIL-McLAIN
630 Third Avenue - 17th Floor
New York, NY 10017

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Adam Silvera, J.S.C.

JUN 05 2024

#8661481.1 114282.002

FILED

JUN 14 2024

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

<p>EFREN CARBONELL, Individually and as Special Administrator to the Estate of PUBLIO CARBONELL SOTO, Deceased,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-against-</p> <p>A.W. CHESTERTON, INC., et al.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">ASBESTOS MATTER INDEX NO.: 190452/10</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</p>
--	---

WHEREFORE, defendant **CBS CORPORATION**, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CBS CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CBS CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/15/24

BELLUCK & FOX LLP

TANENBAUM KEALE LLP

By: *[Signature]* Jordan Fox
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 5th Avenue
New York, NY 10036

By: *[Signature]* Michael A. Tanenbaum
Attorneys for CBS Corporation
Three Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street, Suite 1301
Newark, New Jersey 07102

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]

Hon. Adam Silvera, J.S.C.

JUN 05 2024

FILED

JUN 14 2024

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 13
(Silvera, A.)

ANASTASIA MAZUR, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of JOSEPH F. MAZUR,
deceased,


Index No.: 105403/2008

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly sued as Flowserve US, Inc., as successor to Edward Vogt, Vogt Valves, Nordstrom Valves and Edward Valve Inc., and Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company and Edward Valves) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/8/2024
New York, New York




Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10036


/s/ Brian Sorensen, Esq.

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.
225 Liberty Street, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10281

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Adam Silvera, J. S. C.



JUN 05 2024

FILED

JUN 14 2024

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 13
(Silvera, A.)

**ROBERT WILLIAMS and NORMAN
WILLIAMS**


Index No.: 107329/2007

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/8/2024
New York, New York




Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10036

/s/ Brian Sorensen, Esq.

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.
225 Liberty Street, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10281

SO ORDERED, 


Hon. Adam Silvera, J. S. C. JUN 05 2024

FILED

JUN 14 2024

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 13
(Mendez, J.)

This Document Relates to:

**Joseph Ardito, as Administrator of the Estate of
Rosalie Ardito**

Plaintiff,

-against-

WEIL-McLAIN, et al.

Defendants.


Index No.: 127230/02


**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant WEIL-McLAIN, by its attorneys Leader Berkon Colao & Silverstein LLP, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendant WEIL-McLAIN, with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that all claims and cross-claims against defendant WEIL-McLAIN are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: May 28, 2024
New York, New York


Kevin M. Berry
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
14 Wall Street
Suite 6B
New York, New York 10005


David J. Goodearl, Esq.
Leader Berkon Colao & Silverstein LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
WEIL-McLAIN
630 Third Avenue - 17th Floor
New York, NY 10017

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Adam Silvera, J.S.C. JUN 05 2024

FILED

JUN 14 2024

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 14 2024

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 13
(Silvera, A.)

This Document Relates to:


Index No.: 106561/2007

THOMAS J. MARANO

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly sued as Rockwell Automation, Inc., individually and as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company and Edward Valves, and Flowserve US, Inc., individually and solely as successor to Edward Vogt, Vogt Valves, Nordstrom Valves and Edward Valve, Inc.), ("Flowserve US"), requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against Flowserve US with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Flowserve US are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  New York, New York
5/8/2024

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10036

/s/ Brian Sorensen, Esq.
Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.
225 Liberty Street, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10281

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Adam Silvera, J.S.C. **JUN 05 2024**

FILED

JUN 14 2024

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 13
(Silvera, A.)

DONALD R. LANGSTON and PEGGY
LANGSTON,

Index No.: 106593/2008

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly sued as Flowserve US, Inc., as successor to Edward Vogt, Vogt Valves, Nordstrom Valves and Edward Valve Inc., and Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company and Edward Valves) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/8/2024
New York, New York

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10036

/s/ Brian Sorensen, Esq.
Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.
225 Liberty Street, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10281

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Adam Silvera, J. S. C. JUN 05 2024

FILED

JUN 14 2024

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 13
(Silvera, A.)

CHARLES VARGO


Index No.: 115707/2007

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly sued as Flowserve US, Inc., Solely as Successor to Edward Vogt, Vogt Valves, Nordstrom Valves and Edward Valve, Inc. and Rockwell Automation, Inc. as Successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Co. and Edward Valves), (“Flowserve US”), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff’s Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/8/2024
New York, New York




Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10036

/s/ Brian Sorensen, Esq.

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.
225 Liberty Street, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10281

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Adam Silvera, J. S. C. JUN 05 2024

FILED

JUN 14 2024

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 13
(Silvera, A.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 116577/2006


**LORRAINE ROBERTS, as Executrix of the Estate
of GEORGE ROBERTS and DELORES ROBERTS,
Individually,**

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant FLOWSERVE US, INC., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valves, and Nordstrom Valves (improperly sued as Rockwell Automation, Inc., Individually and as Successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Reeves Pulley, and Reliance Electric Manufacturing, and Flowserve US Inc., Individually and Solely as Successor to Durco, Durion, BW/IP, Anchor Darling, Superior Group, Pacific Pumps, Sier-Bath Pumps Edward Vogt, Vogt Valves, Nordstrom Valves and Edward Valve, Inc.) (“Flowserve US”), requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against Flowserve US with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Flowserve US are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
 5/8/2024



Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10036

/s/ Brian Sorensen, Esq.

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.
225 Liberty Street, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10281

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Adam Silvera, J.S.C. JUN 05 2024

FILED
JUN 14 2024
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 13
(Silvera, J.)

This Document Relates To:

x
Index No.: 118966/06

RICHARD RISO, as Executrix of the Estate of FRANK
V. RISO and ROSE RISO, Individually,
Plaintiffs,

UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
_____ x


WHEREFORE, Defendant CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOMCBS INC., f/k/a CBS CORPORATION, a DELAWARE CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, a PENNSYLVANIA CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION, n/k/a PARAMOUNT GLOBAL, (hereinafter "Westinghouse") requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the Complaint and cross-claims against Westinghouse with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED that all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Westinghouse are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/15/24



Jordan Fox Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 5th Floor
New York, NY 10036



Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Tanenbaum Keale LLP
Attorneys for Paramount Global
Three Gateway Center, Suite 1301
100 Mulberry Street
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED 

Jg. Adam Silvera, J.S.C.

JUN 05 2024

FILED

JUN 14 2024

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK