

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION: NYCAL

-----X

This Document relates to:



BARBARA L. BIRDSALL, ESQ., AS :
ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF :
CHUNG SOON O'DWYER, :

Plaintiffs, :

-against- :

84 LUMBER COMPANY, et al., including :
JERRY'S ARTARAMA N.C., and JERRY'S :
ARTARAMA OF RALEIGH, INC., et al., :

Defendant. :

-----X

INDEX NO. 190371-2010

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREAS, defendant FISHER SCIENTIFIC COMPANY, L.L.C. hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant FISHER SCIENTIFIC COMPANY, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FISHER SCIENTIFIC COMPANY, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 30, 2013

EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.


By: [Signature]
Mark Strauss, Esq.
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233
Attorneys for Plaintiff Barbara L. Birdsall,
As Administrator for the Estate of Chung
Soon O'Dwyer

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP

By: [Signature]
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
The Chrysler Building
405 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10174-0700
212.704.6000
Attorneys for Defendant
Fisher Scientific Company, L.L.C.

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.




**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**


-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X
This Document Relates To: : Index No.: 190557-12
:
DANIEL PASSARELLA and DAWN : **NO OPPOSITION**
PASSARELLA, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, successor in interest to Abex Corporation incorrectly sued herein as "PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION, individually and as successor in interest to Abex Corporation f/k/a American Brake Shoe" (hereinafter "Abex"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Abex, without prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Abex, be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: January 6, 2014
New York, New York


Peter Tambini, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Alfred J. Sargente, Esq.
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON
& YOUNG LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC, Successor in interest
to Abex Corporation
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 897-9655

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

FEB 19 2014

(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190405/11

JOSEPH NAIMOLI and CARMELA NAIMOLI
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Honeywell International Inc. (f/k/a Allied Signal, Inc.) sued as successor in interest to Wilputte Coke Oven Division of Allied Signal, Inc. ("Honeywell") by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Honeywell and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Jan. 16 2014
Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 1000
(212) 558-5500

Robert A. Schaefer, Jr.
Robert A. Schaefer, Jr., Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Honeywell International Inc. (f/k/a Allied
Signal, Inc.) sued as successor in interest to
Wilputte Coke Oven Division of Allied Signal
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
(212) 687-0100

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION: NYCAL
-----X I.A.S. PART 30

This Document relates to:


BRYAN HOCKLER, :
 :
 Plaintiff, :
 :
 -against- : INDEX NO. 190235-2013
 :
 3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining & : NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
 Manufacturing Co., et al., : JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
 : **FILED**
 Defendants. :
 : FEB 19 2014
 :
 :
 -----X COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
 NEW YORK

WHEREAS, defendant FISHER SCIENTIFIC COMPANY, L.L.C. hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant FISHER SCIENTIFIC COMPANY, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FISHER SCIENTIFIC COMPANY, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
January 8, 2014

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

By: 
Holly C. Peterson, Esq.
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200
Attorneys for Plaintiff Bryan Hockler

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP

By: 
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
The Chrysler Building
405 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10174-0700
212.704.6000
Attorneys for Defendant
Fisher Scientific Company, L.L.C.

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
THOMAS R. HELLWIG and CAROL A. HELLWIG,

Plaintiffs,

FILED

Index No: 190509/2012

v.

FEB 19 2014

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Alfa Laval Inc. i/s/h/a Alfa Laval, Inc. hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant Alfa Laval Inc. i/s/h/a Alfa Laval, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Alfa Laval Inc. i/s/h/a Alfa Laval, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
January 27 2014

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs


Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
Tel No.: (212) 681-1575

LONDON FISCHER LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Alfa Laval Inc.
i/s/h/a Alfa Laval, Inc.


Richard L. Mendelsohn, Esq.
59 Maiden Lane, 41st Floor
New York, New York 10038
Tel No.: (212) 972-1000

So Ordered: 
J.S.C.

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

This Document Relates To: : Index No.: 190237-13
: :
: :

JEAN CLAUDE EDOUARD and KITTY ANN :
EDOUARD, : **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, successor in interest to Abex Corporation (hereinafter "Abex"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Abex, with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Abex, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: January 29, 2014
New York, New York

FILED

Patti Burshtyn
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Alfred J. Sargente
Alfred J. Sargente, Esq.
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON
& YOUNG LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC, Successor in interest
to Abex Corporation
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 897-9655

SO ORDERED, Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM BERENSMANN and MADELYN
BERENSMANN

Plaintiff,

vs.
3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. : 190472/2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant **BASF CORPORATION** (properly plead as **BASF CATALYSTS LLC**) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned case pursuant to CPLR Rule 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BASF CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **BASF CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: ~~March 4,~~ ^{March 12,} 2013

FEB 19 2014


James Kramer, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Tel. No.: (212) 605-6200
Attorney for Plaintiffs, William Berensmann
and Madelyn Berensmann

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Robert J. Kelly, Esq.
Christine M. Delaney, Esq.
LITTLETON JOYCE UGHETTA PARK & KELLY LLP
141 West Front Street
Red Bank, NJ 07701
Tel. No.: (732) 530-9100
Attorneys for Defendant BASF Corporation
(properly plead as BASF Catalysts LLC)



SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SO ORDERED, _____

Duplicate Original
1-21-14

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

BRYAN HOCKLER

Plaintiff,

Index No. 190235/2013

- against -

3M COMPANY, INC., et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant HASBRO, INC. ("HASBRO"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR Rule 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant HASBRO with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant HASBRO, be, and the same are, hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
January 23, 2014




By: Holly Peterson, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Ave., 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Tel: (212) 605-6200
Fax: (212) 605-6290
Attorneys for Plaintiff



By: Michael B. Sena, Esq.
HERZFELD & RUBIN, P.C.
125 Broad Street
New York, New York 10004
Tel: (212) 471-8527
Fax: (212) 344-3333
Attorneys for Defendant Hasbro, Inc.

FILED

SO ORDERED: 

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FEB 19 2014

6

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
PAUL SALMERI and JUNE SALMERI,

Index No.: 190404/2013

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

January 30, 2014
Dated: New York, New York



Matthew Macintyre
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Norman J. Golub
Marshall Conway & Bradley, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant Slant/Fin Corporation
45 Broadway
New York, New York 10006
(212) 619-4444

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 6 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROBERT GERMAIN, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.

NYCAL - IAS Part 30

Index No.: 190281/12

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

No Opposition Summary
Judgment Motion and Order

WHEREFORE, Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Jan. 28, 2014

Jordan Fox, Esq
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Norman J. Golub
Marshall Conway & Bradley, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant Slant/Fin Corporation
45 Broadway
New York, New York 10006
(212) 619-4444

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 6 2014
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JANE DULIN, as Administratrix for the Estate of
RONALD DULIN, and JANE DULIN Individually,

Index No.: 190221-13

Plaintiffs,

Honorable Sherry Heitler

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

JAN 24th 2014
Dated: New York, New York


Daniel Wasserberg
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Norman J. Golub
Marshall Conway & Bradley, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant Slant/Fin Corporation
45 Broadway
New York, New York 10006
(212) 619-4444

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 06 2014

PART 30

FILED

FEB 19 2014

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

NYCAL

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

This Document Relates To: :

Index No.: 190357/2013


CHARLES A. HERMIDA and LAURA L. :
HERMIDA, :


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, successor in interest to Abex Corporation incorrectly sued herein as "PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to ABEX CORPORATION" (hereinafter "Abex"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Abex, with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Abex, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/24 2014
New York, New York


Joseph F. Williams, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
THE WILLIAMS LAW FIRM, P.C.
245 Park Ave., 39th Floor
New York, NY 10167
(212) 668-1122


Alfred J. Sargente, Esq.
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON
& YOUNG LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC, Successor in interest
to Abex Corporation
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 897-9655

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190347/2013

WILLIAM DANIELS BORGES

Plaintiff

vs.

CBS CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED


FEB 19 2014

WHEREFORE, defendant Bourn & Koch, Inc., as successor-in-interest to The American Tool Works Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Bourn & Koch, Inc., as successor-in-interest to The American Tool Works Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Bourn & Koch, Inc., as successor-in-interest to The American Tool Works Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: FEBRUARY 4, 2014.
New York, New York


BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

By: 
Jordan Fox, Esq.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1000 Louisiana, Suite 4000
Houston, Texas 77002

By: 
Brady Edwards, Esq.

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190347/2013

WILLIAM DANIELS BORGES

AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE

Plaintiff

vs.

CBS CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

STATE OF NEW YORK)
 ss:
COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

BRADY EDWARDS, an attorney of the State of New York, hereby affirms under the penalty of perjury as follows:

1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Morgan, Lewis, & Bockius, attorneys for Defendant Bourn & Koch, Inc., as successor-in-interest to The American Tool Works Co., in the above-captioned matter.

2. On November 27, 2013 and December 17, 2013, I caused to be served via electronic mail, the attached No Opposition Motion for Summary Judgment on behalf of Defendant Bourn & Koch, Inc., as successor-in-interest to The American Tool Works Co., on:

Jordan Fox
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

All Defense Counsel of Record

Dated: February 4, 2014
New York, New York



Brady Edwards

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190346/2013

WILLIAM DANIELS BORGES

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff

vs.

FILED

3M COMPANY, Individually and Successor to
Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company,
et al.,

FEB 19 2014

Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Bourn & Koch, Inc., as successor-in-interest to The American Tool Works Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Bourn & Koch, Inc., as successor-in-interest to The American Tool Works Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Bourn & Koch, Inc., as successor-in-interest to The American Tool Works Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: FEBRUARY 4, 2014.
New York, New York


BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1000 Louisiana, Suite 4000
Houston, Texas 77002

By: 
Jordan Fox, Esq.

By: 
Brady Edwards, Esq.

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB 16 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED
-----X

Index No. 00-112971

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL RODACK

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

HOWARD HNISDO

Index No. 117232/07

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as


“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
1/20, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED
FEB 16 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 116799/07

BENNY GRIECO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby
requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
 1/20, 2014


FEB 19 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

6

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 1102333/06

HORACE L. GARLAND

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby
requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
4/20, 2014

FEB 19 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____ 6

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 109988/02

LESLIE FABER and MARGARET FABER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York
1/20, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED


Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

Dated:


FEB 16 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 118220/98

CORDYCE R. CUMMINGS and JENNIE IRENE
CORDYCE

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/20, 2014


FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FEB 19 2014
11:30 AM

CLB55435/legal/nosjm/AUGUST 2013
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN A. SORENSON,

Plaintiff(s), **FILED** NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

FEB 19 2014


Index No.: 115634/01 &
111218/01


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NYCAL
NEW YORK I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 4/13/14


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

FBW39131/Legal/nosjm/AUGUST 2013
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
NORMAN L. NEWKIRK,

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

FILED

FEB 19 2014

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 109070/00 &
122188/99


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. NEW YORK'S OFFICE NYCAL
NEW YORK I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s),


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 4/13/14


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 19 2014
PART 30

FBW38596/Legal/nosjm/AUGUST 2013
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
PETER STEFANDIS,

Plaintiff(s), **FILED** NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

FEB 19 2014


Index No.: 105660/99


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NYCAL
NEW YORK I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 1/13/14


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

FBW43400/Legal/nosjm/AUGUST 2013
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN A. SORENSON,

Plaintiff(s),

FILED

-against-

FEB 19 2014

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 115634/01 &
111218/01


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 4/13/14


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

CLB57239/legal/nosjm
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RONALD P. MCDONOUGH,

FILED
Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

FEB 19 2014

Index No.: 15568/87,
190050/09 & 6471/90

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
NEW YORK


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2014

PART 30

CLB57243/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
NORMAN L. NEWKIRK,

FILED NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

FEB 19 2014

-against-

Index No.: 109070/00 &
122188/99

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


NYCAL


I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

^{1/13/14}

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2014

PART 30

FBW44595/Legal/nosjm/AUGUST 2013
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
HARRY J. TYNAN,

Plaintiff(s) **FILED**

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

FEB 19 2014

Index No.: 106275/02 &
120388/01

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
^{NEW YORK}


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 1/15/14


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

FBW53402/Legal/nosjm/AUGUST 2013
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RONALD P. MCDONOUGH,

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

FILED

FEB 19 2014

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 15568/87,
190050/09, 6471/90


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
NEW YORK I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2014

PART 30

FBW45828Legal/nosjm/AUGUST 2013
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
THOMAS M. RAISH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

FILED

FEB 19 2014

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 110269/02 &
126736/02


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/15/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 16 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
DONALD D. WILSON,		: Index No.: 107168/02
		: 112387/02
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
		: NO OPPOSITION
	-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
		: :
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	: :
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: New York, New York
2/30/14

FEB 19 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
NEW YORK
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JAMES W. HILER,

FILED
Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

FEB 19 2014

Index No.: 190013/10

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
NEW YORK


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 1/21/14


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Shawnette Flutt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

CLB55243/legal/nosjm/AUGUST 2013
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
THOMAS M. RAISH,

FILED

Plaintiff(s),
FEB 19 2014

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.: 110269/02 &
126736/02


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

FEB 16 2014

PART 30

FBW54157Legal/nosjm/AUGUST 2013
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JAMES W. HILER,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
FILED
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

FEB 19 2014


Index No.: 190013/10


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NYCAL
NEW YORK I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 1/21/14


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 16 2014
PART 30

FBW44590/Legal/nosjm/AUGUST 2013
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
THOMAS DOHERTY,

Plaintiff(s)

FILED

-against-

FEB 19 2014

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 122138/01 &
102763/02


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 1/15/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 6 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN L. CATTERSON,

Plaintiff(s), **FILED** NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

FEB 19 2014


Index No.: 120389/01,
12443/01 & 101424/07


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., ^{NEW YORK} NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 1/15/14


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

BARTLEY MOONEY and MARY MOONEY, : Index No.: 103309/00

Plaintiff(s), **FILED** NO OPPOSITION
-against- FEB 19 2014 : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S. INC., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
JAS Part 30
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK :
Defendants
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/30/14

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
FEB 26 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X
ROBERT J. MELDER (Dec.), :
 :
 :
 Plaintiff(s), :
 :
 :
 -against- :
 :
 :
 A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :
 :
 :
 Defendants. :
-----X

NYCAL FEB 19 2014
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Index Nos.: ~~111227/01~~
111227/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

1/3-1/4

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
WILLIAM H. FIELDS (Deceased),		: Index No.: 190140/10
		: :
Plaintiff(s),		: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
		: :
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
Defendants.		: :
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: New York, New York

FEB 19 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
~~LYNCH & SISKAL~~ EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN L. CATTERSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 120389/01,
124443/01 & 101424/07

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

[Handwritten signature]

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

^{1/22/14}
FILED
FEB 19 2014
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Handwritten signature]

Shawnette Pruitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

[Handwritten signature]

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190092/2013

EDWARD DELUCA

FILED

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., successor in interest to The Timken-Detroit Axle Company ("Rockwell"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Rockwell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Rockwell be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/22/, 2014



1/22/14

Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation,
Inc., successor in interest to The Timken-Detroit
Axle Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 16 2014
PARIS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

NYCAL

PATRICIA SCULLY, as Executrix to the Estate :
of PAUL SCULLY, :

Index No.: 190146/10

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York


2/30/14


FEB 19 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH, DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.


By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

WILLIAM F. SCHOONBECK SR. (Deceased), : Index Nos.: 123989/94
 : 115997/95
 : 111063/98
Plaintiff(s), :
 :
 :
-against- :
 :
 :
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :
 :
 :
Defendants. :
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/2-1/14

FEB 19 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
LYNCH BASKAL EMERY LLP
NEW YORK
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

RECEIVED

Dated: New York, New York

FEB 06 2014

PART 30

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

BILLIE J. ROWLING, as Executrix for the Estate : Index No.: 102118/07
of RAYMOND R. ROWLING, :

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York


2/20/14


FEB 19 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York



SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY NYCAL
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to: **Index No. 108937/07**

JESAJAHU BRAUN and PEARL BRAUN **NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby
requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/23, 2014

FEB 19 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Michael J. Curtis, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

RECEIVED
FEB 16 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY NYCAL
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190118/08


STEVEN JAMES BIZAS and LOLA BIZAS

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
1/28, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

FILED


FEB 19 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 110212/02
105715/02

JOHN B. EFFINGER and CAROLINE EFFINGER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York
1-17, 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
John B. Effinger and Caroline Effinger
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

CLIFFORD COHEN and ALICE COHEN,

Index No. 190014/12

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as
successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, *et al.*,
including VIKING PUMP, INC.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/27, 2014

FEB 19 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAYBERRY & BRADLEY, LLC

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Clifford Cohen, et al.
(212) 558-5500

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

RECEIVED
FEB 26 2014
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 106237/99

ABRAHAM COHEN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby
requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

FEB 19 2014

[Handwritten signature]
2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Handwritten signature]

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, *[Handwritten signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

RECEIVED
FEB 16 2014
PART 30

MSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

SUE PALUH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190422-13

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**

FILED


FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby ~~NEW YORK~~ summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1-30-14


Stephanie L. Coleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.29287



Daniel Blouin, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
SUE PALUH
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 19 2014
6

JAN 24 2014
LEARS BRISQOIS
SIGGARD & SMITH LLP

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

JAN 30 2014

RECEIVED
LEARS BRISQOIS
SIGGARD & SMITH LLP
JAN 24 2014

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

DONALD E. McCORMICK, SR and SHIRLEY
McCORMICK.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190195-09;

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York

1/31/14
Stephanie L. Coleman

Stephanie L. Coleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.16002 18358

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Matthew T. McIntyre

Matthew T. McIntyre, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
**DONALD E. McCORMICK, SR. and
SHIRLEY McCORMICK**
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

FEB 04 2014

RECEIVED BY
LEWIS BRISBOIS
DISGAARD & SMITH LLP

JAN 31 2014

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

GUISEPPE LIOTTA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190373-13

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York/New York

1/24/14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Stephen M. Babinecz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.16002 29231

Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
GUISEPPE LIOTTA
THE WILLIAMS LAW FIRM, P.C.
245 Park Avenue, 39th Fl.
New York, New York 10167
212.668.1122

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 06 2014
P. 11 30

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

FEB 04 2014

RECEIVED BY
LEWIS BRISLOIS
RICHARD & SMITH LLP
FEB 03 2014

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

EARL SKINNIDER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, et al.

Defendants.

Index Nos. 190353/13

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863-29220


Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Earl Skinnider
THE WILLIAMS LAW FIRM, P.C.
245 Park Avenue, 39th floor
New York, NY 10167
(212) 668-1122

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

FEB 04 2014

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MAUREEN MUCCI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION
as successor by merger to BUFFALO PUMPS,
INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190371-13

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**

FILED


FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.29254



Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
MAUREEN MUCCI
THE WILLIAMS LAW FIRM, P.C.
245 Park Avenue, 39th Floor
New York, NY 10167
212.668.1122

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 16 2014
CLERK'S OFFICE

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ALLAN MIZRAHI and RONNIE MIZRAHI,

Index No. 190071/2013

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,
including VIKING PUMP, INC.,

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/27, 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.


MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC





Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Allan Mizrahi, et al.
(212) 558-5500

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: FEB 06 2014
RECEIVED
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

WILLIAM H. COLETTI and JENNIE COLETTI,

Index No. 190306/2013

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

FEB 19 2014

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,
including VIKING PUMP, INC.,

Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
1/27, 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC


Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
William H. Coletti, et al.
(212) 558-5500


David P. Schaffer, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: FEB 6 2014

RECEIVED

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

BRYAN HOCKLER,

Index No. 190235/2013

Plaintiff,

-v.-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

3M COMPANY f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co., et al.,
including VIKING PUMP, INC.

Defendants.

FEB 19 2014

X

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Jan 28, 2014

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC






Holly Peterson, Esq.
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Bryan Hockler
(212) 605-6200

Grace De Mario, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2014

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

JOHN BUTURLA JR.,

Index No. 190349/13

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,
including BLACKMER,

FILED

Defendants.

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Blackmer hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Blackmer with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Blackmer be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
2/4/14, 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John Buturla Jr.
(212) 558-5500


Grace De Mario, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant Blackmer
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK D. ESPOSITO

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 190212/13

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, **W.W. Grainger, Inc.**, improperly plead as **Grainger,**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **W.W. Grainger, Inc.**, **improperly plead as Grainger,**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **W.W. Grainger, Inc.**, **improperly plead as Grainger,**, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 2-14 New York, New York


FEB 19 2014



CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
W.W. Grainger, Inc., improperly plead as
Grainger,
40 Paterson Street
New Brunswick, NJ 08901

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

PATTI BURSHTYN, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
FRANK D. ESPOSITO
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003




SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SO ORDERED:

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

FEB 16



INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

EUGENE SANTORE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190298/2013

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
January 31, 2014

FEB 19 2014


Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 29510.1101


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
EUGENE SANTORE
EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
212.986.2233

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECORDED

FEB 26 2014

PART 30

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

JAN 31 2014

LEVIS BRISBOIS
HOGAARD & SMITH LLP
JAN 30 2014

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190486/11

DANIEL T. CARLUCCI and BARBARA CARLUCCI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC. et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
January 30, 2014
FEB 19 2014
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 29510.666

Jim Kramer, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Daniel T. Carlucci and Barbara Carlucci
Levy Phillips & Konisberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

JAN 30 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

INSPIRE
C
S
M

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

GERLINDE TYNAN, as a Personal
Representative for the Estate of WILLIAM S.
TYNAN, and GERLINDE TYNAN,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc. (Armstrong Contracting and
Supply), *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 102033-01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**

FILED


FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


Jesse Rodgers, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.9200


Lisa Busch, Esq. *FRA-11-0-1-2*
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
**GERLINDE TYNAN, as Personal
Representative for the Estate of WILLIAM S.
TYNAN, and GERLINDE TYNAN, Individually**
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500



RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

RECEIVED BY
LEWIS BRISBOIS
SISGAARD & SMITH LLP
JAN 24 2014

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

JAN 30 2014

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190238/13

VINCENT J. CALIMANO,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

FEB 19 2014

1/22/14


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.29152

John Richmond, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Vincent J. Calimano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.588.5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB 26 2014
NEW YORK

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

JAN 30 2014

RECEIVED BY
LEWIS BRISBOIS
BIGGAARD & SMITH LLP
JAN 27 2014

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190328-2013

CHARLES DE MARTINO and LORA ANN DE
MARTINO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

FEB 19 2014

1-30-14





COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Philip J. O'Rourke, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.29227

~~Amber Brandis, Esq.~~ **AMBRE BRANDIS, ESQ**
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
CHARLES DE MARTINO and LORA ANN
DE MARTINO
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

JAN 30 2014

**RECEIVED
LEVIN BRIGBOIS
DISGAARD & SMITH LLP
JAN 24 2014**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
WAYNE R. BRYANT and SHANNON BRYANT,

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

Index No.: 190161/13

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

ABB, INC., as successor to ITE CIRCUIT, et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

JAN. 15, 2014

FEB 19 2014




Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



John M. Guerriero, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

603

190161/13

FILED

FEB 19 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

RALPH P. NORTH,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.: 190114/13

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Defendants.


-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

1/30/2014



Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Ave, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022



Theodore Eder, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

190114/13
6
FEB 20 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

-----X
GUISEPPE SEIDITA and JOSPEHINE SEIDITA,

Index No. 190464/10

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant **COMPUDYNE CORPORATION**, Individually, and as Successor to **YORK SHIPLEY, INC.** (hereinafter "COMPUDYNE") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **COMPUDYNE**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, **COMPUDYNE**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
1/29, 2014


FILED

FEB 19 2014


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CompuDyne Corporation, Individually and
as successor to York Shipley, Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1306
2014 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 120248/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THOMAS MCCAFFERTY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: 1/22, 2014
New York, New York


FEB 19 2014


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ **John Richmond**
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

120248/03
FEB 19 2014
1306
1300

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

WILLIAM I. BODDEN,

Index No.: 190048/13

Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "Gardner Denver") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York


FEB 19 2014

1/29/2014

Patti Burshtyn, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Scott B. Group, Esq
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JOHN BUTURLA, JR.,

Index No.: 190349/13

Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, MANNINGTON MILLS, INC (hereinafter "Mannington Mills") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, MANNINGTON MILLS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

FEB 19 2014

1/29/2014
Paw
Patti Burshtyn, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jm BA
Jordan Beltz, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

FEB 06 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190314/13

This Document Relates To:
SARANTIS MARKOU and
HARIKLIA MARKOU,

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

AB VOLVO, et al.,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, Defendant CUMMINS INC., (incorrectly named as CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC.) (hereinafter "CUMMINS"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant CUMMINS, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant CUMMINS be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice, and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

FEB 19 2014

01/22, 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

John M. Guerriero, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for CUMMINS INC.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB 16 2014
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190304/13

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

LOONEY CONWAY CLEVELAND, JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


FILED

Dated: 1/29, 2014
New York, New York

FEB 19 2014



Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 16 2014
190304/13

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 1900812/13

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

SALVATOR MURABITO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: 1/29 2014
New York, New York

FEB 19 2014


Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
**JOSEPH P. WILLIAMS &
ASSOCIATES, P.C.**
245 Park Avenue, 39th Floor
New York, NY 10167
(212) 668-1122


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 6 2014
FIRST 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JANE DULIN, as Administratrix for the Estate of
RONALD DULIN, and JANE DULIN,
Individually,

Index No.: 190221/13

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York


FILED

JAN. 15, 2014

FEB 19 2014



Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

John M. Guerriero, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

6

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190414/11;
127150/02

MILTON FORDHAM,
Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/4, 2014
New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014


Ruth D. Marcus, Esq.
**WILENTZ, GOLDMAN &
SPITZER, P.A.**
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038
(212) 267-3091


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
FEB 26 2014
FEB 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 113092/98


CHARLES F. SYLVIA and LAVINA R. SYLVIA

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby
requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 1/20, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500





Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FEB 06 2014
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 113748/00, 108388/00

SHARON M. REDDEN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/20, 2014


FEB 19 2014





COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: 



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 112263/07

PATRICK J. O'NEILL and ANNA O'NEILL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
"Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation" hereby
requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
 Yre, 2014

FEB 19 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____ 6

RECORDED
INDEXED
FEB 20 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190347/10

ROSARIA MUSCHTER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby
requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.


FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK





Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED
FEB 16 2014
10003

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 100652/06, 107916/03

JAMES M. MIMNAUGH and ANN C. MIMNAUGH


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby
requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York FEB 19 2014
1/20, 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____ 6

FILED
FEB 20 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 100029/99

HENRY MIKA and ESTHER MIKA

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby
requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/20, 2014

FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortin, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

[Faint handwritten notes and stamps]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 110332/08

ALBERT H. LOKENBERG and DOLORES E.
LOKENBERG


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/23, 2014 FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500


Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: 


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 121894/98

ROBERT BURNS KOBLER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/20, 2014

FEB 19 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

RECEIVED
FEB 6 2014
FEB 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 106783/03

FRED C. KELLEY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby
requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
 12th, 2014

FEB 19 2014





COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190116/08

JOHN R. DRAPER and GENEVIEVE DRAPER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby
requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/28, 2014


FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

6
2014 FEB 19 10 30 AM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 100977/01, 119399/00

ROY JACOBSEN and LUCILLE JACOBSEN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby
requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/20, 2014

FEB 19 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____ 6

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 127034/02

ROBERT J. MORROW and ARLENE MORROW

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby
requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, ~~be~~ and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.


FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York


11/20, 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190467/11

JOHN J. DUFFY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/20, 2014

FEB 19 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry Klein Neitler

Dated: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
This Document Relates To: :
: **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
ANNE COGHLAN, ESTATE OF : **JUDGMENT MOTION ON**
EDWARD COGHLAN, : **BEHALF OF**
: **FORD MOTOR COMPANY**
Plaintiff(s), :
: Index No. 118952/00
-against- :
: A B BOYD, et al., :
: Defendant(s). :
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: New York, New York
11-27, 2014

FEB 19 2014


By: Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT
FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: 
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
This Document Relates To: :

ROY JACOBSEN AND LUCILLE JACOBSEN, :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

Plaintiff(s), :

Index No. 190355/11

-against- :

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, :
ET AL., :

Defendant(s).
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York FEB 19 2014

1127, 2014

Frank M. Ortiz COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Evan L. Browne
By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &
DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: Sherry Klein Heitler
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILLIAM I. BODDEN,

Index No. 190048-13

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as
Successor to SARCO COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as
successor to Sarco Company (hereinafter "SARCO") hereby requests
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SARCO**,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, **SARCO**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: White Plains, New York
1/22, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Messemer &
Moroknek LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Ind. and as
successor to Sarco Company
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered: 

J.S.C.

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILLIAM I. BODDEN,

Index No. 190048-13

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
COPES-VULCAN, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant, **COPES-VULCAN, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **COPES-VULCAN, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **COPES-VULCAN, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: White Plains, New York

1/22 2014

FILED


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York
(212) 558-5500

FEB 19 2014
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
10003 NEW YORK


CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Messemer &
Moroknek LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
COPES-VULCAN, INC.
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered: 

J.S.C.

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EARALD B. RUDON and PHYLLIS S. RUDON,

Index No.: 190400/13

Plaintiffs,

- against -

FILED

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**


SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as ^{FEB 19 2014}
Successor to SARCO COMPANY, et al.

Defendants. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant, SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as
successor to Sarco Company (hereinafter "SARCO") hereby requests
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, SARCO,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against Defendant, SARCO, be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
1/22, 2014



Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Messemer &
Moroknek LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as
Successor to Sarco Company
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EDWARDS HECKERS JR.,

Index No.: 190289/13

Plaintiff,

- against -

**SECOND
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

FILED

ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as successor to TAPPAN and FEB 19 2014
COPES-VULCAN, et al.


Defendants.


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,** Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter "ELECTROLUX") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
1/22, 2014


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Messemer &
Moroknek LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as successor to Tappan
and Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190307-12

IGNAZIO GISONDI,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-

ALL ACQUISITIONS, LLC f/k/a HOLLAND
FURNACE COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.
-----X

FILED


FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/29/14
New York, New York


Kardon A. Stolzman, Esq.
Napoli Bern Ripka & Associates, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
350 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10118


Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Forman Perry Watkins Krutz & Tardy, LLP
Attorney for Defendant
Bird Incorporated
328 Newman Springs Road
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

Our File No.: 30715

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

GLORIA MILLER and JOSEPH MILLER,	:	NYCAL
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	:	I.A.S. Part 30
	:	(Heitler, S.)
-against-	:	Index No.: 12/190366
	:	
AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
Successor to Amtico Floors, et al.,	:	JUDGMENT MOTION
<i>Defendants.</i>	:	AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Simpson Timber Company, also improperly impleaded as "Simpson Investment Company, Individually and as Successor to Simpson Timber Company," and its past and present parents, affiliates, and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Simpson Timber Company"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to the Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Simpson Timber Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Simpson Timber Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Signed by Defendant: January 21, 2014
Signed by Plaintiff: _____, 2014

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Tel.: 212-681-1575
Fax: 212-681-1574
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Gloria Miller and Joseph Miller

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
BOROWSKY & BOROWSKY, LLC
59 Avenue at the Common, Suites 101 & 102
Shrewsbury, NJ 07702
Tel.: 732-212-9400
Fax: 732-212-9445
110 Wall Street, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10005-3817
Attorneys for Defendant,
Simpson Timber Company

BONNIE M. STEINWOLF, ESQ.

MICHAEL A. FIELD, ESQ.

SO ORDERED, _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

JAN 30 2014
FILED

Our File No.: 30692
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MARGARET C. LARSEN, Individually and as	:	NYCAL
Executrix of the Estate of STANLEY	:	I.A.S. Part 30
CORNELIUS LARSEN, deceased,	:	(Heitler, S.)
	Plaintiff,	Index No.: 12/190087
vs.	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,	:	JUDGMENT MOTION
	Defendants:	AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Simpson Timber Company, also improperly impleaded as "Simpson Investment Company, Individually and as Successor to Simpson Timber Company," and its past and present parents, affiliates, and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Simpson Timber Company"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to the Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Simpson Timber Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Simpson Timber Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Signed by Defendant: January 21, 2014
Signed by Plaintiff: _____, 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Tel.: 212-681-1575
Fax: 212-681-1574
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Margaret C. Larsen, Individually
and as Executrix of the Estate of
Stanley Cornelius Larsen, deceased

BOROWSKY & BOROWSKY, LLC
59 Avenue at the Common, Suites 101 & 102
Shrewsbury, NJ 07702
Tel.: 732-212-9400
Fax: 732-212-9445
110 Wall Street, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10005-3817
Attorneys for Defendant,
Simpson Timber Company

BONNIE M. STEINWOLF, ESQ.

MICHAEL A. FIELD, ESQ.

SO ORDERED,
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

FILED
JUN 20 2014
CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILLIAM I. BODDEN,

Index No. 190048-13

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**


ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually
and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan, et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter "ELECTROLUX") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, ELECTROLUX, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, ELECTROLUX, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
1/22, 2014


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Messemer &
Moroknek LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as successor to Tappan
and Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

FILED
FEB 19 2014
RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 12-190442

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MORTIMER HARTNETT

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America LLC, sued herein as "Freightliner Corp." ("DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: 1/9, 2014

FEB 19 2014

By: [Signature]

By: [Signature] COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Ben Darcote
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Joseph J. Ortego, Esq.
Santo Borruso, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Daimler Trucks North America LLC
s/h/a "Freightliner Corp."
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, NY 11735-2728
(516) 832-7500

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherrill Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-112430
02-107168

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL CARTER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, NY
1/19/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-105876
02-109699

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RUSSEL CRAWFORD

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, NY
1/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-101090

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD OELSCHLAGER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, NY


1/20/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

By: _____


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-113258

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALLEN NADBORNEY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY
1/6/14, 2013 FEB 19 2014

By:  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 07-101274

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD L. PERRY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY

FEB 19 2014


By: Frank Ortiz, 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: Samuel Goldblatt


Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-107099

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FLOYD C. BOWDISH

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY

1/22/14, 2013 ~~2013~~ FEB 19 2014

By: *John Richmond* COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

B. R.
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-113073
02-106579

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES E. McCABE

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY FEB 19 2014

1/22/14, 2013

By: John Richmond COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

John Richmond
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-127409

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BILLIE W. SELF

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America LLC, sued herein as "Freightliner Corp." ("DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/28, 2014

By: Supanne Rascliffi

By: Frank Ortiz

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph J. Ortego, Esq.
Santo Borruso, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Daimler Trucks North America LLC
s/h/a "Freightliner Corp."
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, NY 11735-2728
(516) 832-7500

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-106691

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CONRAD FASSETT

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, by and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, NY


1/6/14
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 07-101424
01-124443

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN CATTERSON

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY
1/6/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014


By: 

By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Benjamin R. Dwyer, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-115634

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN SORENSON

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, NY
1/6/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-125228

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH BARLETTE

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, **FILED** and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, NY
1/2/14, 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sheryn Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-106740

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JACK GILL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and


without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY

FEB 19 2014

1/6/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff


700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



By: Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 09-190287

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN VENTURINI

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, NY

FEB 19 2014

1/6/14, 2013
By: 

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 09-190197

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD TRAVERS

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, NY

FEB 19 2014

2013
By: 


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



By: Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-127574
02-120615

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM McRAE

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY

1/6/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

By: _____ COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 20 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-119494
02-113278

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH GARVIN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY

FEB 19 2014


1/14, 2013
By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



By: Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-113507
02-107401

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES BURGESS

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, ~~be and the same~~ are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, NY


1/20/14 2013
By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Shekry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 09-190345

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH BAUM

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: New York, NY
1/6/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

JAN 30 2014

PART 30 PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 09-190050

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD McDONOUGH

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB 19 2014


Dated: New York, NY
1/6/14, 2013

By:  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 08-190010

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MATTEO GUILIANO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, NY
1/6/14, 2013

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: [Signature]
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-126736
02-110269

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS RAISH

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, ~~be and the same are~~ hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, NY
1/6/14, 2013

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
By: [Signature]
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-114078
02-107400

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANDREW CATON

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, by and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, NY
1/6/14, 2013


By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-122787

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHILIP T. SCHNEIDER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, NY
_____, 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-125797

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RUSSELL BOIKO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, NY
1/6/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

By: _____
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 09-190366

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GERALD McCORMICK

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY

1/19/14, 2013 FEB 19 2014

By: [Signature] COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

[Signature]
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-116095
02-106708

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD L. NASH

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, NY

FEB 19 2014

2014, 2013

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-126682

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN FREEMAN SR.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, NY

FEB 19 2014

1/21/14, 2013

By: _____ COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 99-109673

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES La DELIA

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY
1/19/14, 2013
FEB 19 2014

By: [Signature] COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: [Signature]

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PRINTED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 99-106915

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD DeGEORGIS


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, NY FEB 19 2014
1/21/14, 2013

By:  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-105715

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN EFFINGER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: New York, NY

1/6/14, 2013 FEB 19 2014

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 99-105660

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PETER STEFANIDIS


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, NY FEB 19 2014
1/20/14, 2013

By:  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6ht Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



By: Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECORDED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 10-190252

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CECIL ABSHER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY FEB 19 2014
1/6/14, 2013

By:  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 10-190246

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LARRY GUMAER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, NY

FEB 19 2014


1/6/14, 2013

By:  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 20 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120391-01
104257-02
190173-09

Ralph Salzano


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

1/6/14


FEB 19 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100316/07

James O'Brien

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/6/14

FILED

FEB 19 2014






Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190418/13

JOSEPH FLOOD

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/22, 2014
FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Gabriel G. Ferstendig, Esq.
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190157/2013

ANTHONY DeMAIO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly named as Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
1/15, 2014

FILED


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,
solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing
Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom
Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105643/08

**DAVID KELLY, as Executor of the Estate of
DAVID L. KELLY**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Stewart Warner Corporation ("Stewart Warner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Stewart Warner with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robertshaw be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
Jan. 15, ~~2012~~ 2014

FEB 19 2014




Salvatore V. Azzoline, Esq. *Armen & Gerry*
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONISBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Stewart Warner
Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105643/08

**DAVID KELLY, as Executor of the Estate of
DAVID L. KELLY**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Robertshaw Controls Company ("Robertshaw"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Robertshaw with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robertshaw be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
Jan. 15, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Salvatore V. Azzoline, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONISBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022



Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Robertshaw Controls
Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190581/12

ROBERT DEAN BENCE and SHARON
BENCE

FILED

FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, Defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc., (improperly sued as Eaton Corporation, Individually and now known as Eaton Electrical, Inc. and As Successor to The Vickers Pump Company and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. and Waterbury Pump), ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/15, 2014

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036



Aileen E. McTiernan, Esq.
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY
& CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Eaton Corporation,
as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical,
Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
Wall Street Plaza, 24th Floor
88 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 483-9490



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 30 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : I. A. S. Part 30
: (Heitler, J.)
-----X

This document relates to:

JOHN W. ADLER and ELAINE ADLER

FILED

Index No.: 190392/12

FEB 19 2014


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant Eaton Corporation, ^{NEW YORK} Successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer Inc. (improperly pled as Cutler Hammer, n/k/a Eaton Electrical, Inc.) ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Eaton, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: January 15, 2014
New York, New York


Carmen V. St. George, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff


Aileen E. McTiernan, Esq.
McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney
& Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Counsel for Eaton Corporation


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 100057/99

BART M. MICELI and JOAN MICELI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1-17, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Dana Northcraft, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 100057/99

**LISA M. SCHRADER, as Administratrix
for the Estate of BART M. MICELI, and
JOAN MICELI, Individually**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1-17, 2014


FILED

FEB 19 2014




Dana Northcraft, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Aileen E. McTiernan, Esq.
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-
interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 08-190113

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THEODORE A. WOLKIEWICZ

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY
1/6/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

By: [Signature] COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109747-00
122191-99

Gerald R. Root

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14
Frank Ortiz

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Julie Evans

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125354-00

Michael S. Stack

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

1/6/14

FEB 19 2014

Julie Evans

[Handwritten signature]

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____

[Handwritten signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105870-02
108773-02

Ross F. Russo Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014






Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335-00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Shelby K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111353-02
106999-02

James G. Stephenson

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Frank Ortiz

Julie Evans

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121763-97
105235-98
111065-98
125791-99

Roman Szczitka

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14
FEB 19 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190070-09

Allen R. Thorogood

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014

1/6/14
[Handwritten signature]

Julie Evans

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie K. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____

[Handwritten signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119388-00
125797-00
100773-03

Russel Boiko

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York

1/21/14

FEB 19 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

FEB 26 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100517-96
101305-02
111055-98
115286-05

Leonard Ahart

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
1/16/14

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Julie Evans



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105713-02
112591-02

William N. Johnson

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

1/6/14

FEB 19 2014




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190366-09

Gerald J. McCormick

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14
FEB 19 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113937-02
106581-02

George F. Novak

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Julie Evans

Frank Ortiz
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,

Sherry K. Heitler
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190113-08

Theodore A. Wolkiewicz

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Frank Ortiz

Julie Evans

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,

Sherry K. Heitler
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105876-02
109691-02

Emerson D. Chandler

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/6/14

FILED

FEB 19 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108101-02

Robert S. Cope

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**



----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/6/14

FILED

FEB 19 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110339-98
111031-98
110521-00

Joseph Corneyea

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14

FEB 19 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105717-02
111478-02
190245-09

Joseph M. Huntz

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York

4/6/14

FEB 19 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110397-00
100207-01
100772-03

William Cunningham

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119908-98
108708-01

Chester Kendziora

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


FILED

1/6/14

FEB 19 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110270-02
126710-02

Ronald Z. Kosiba

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature: Julie Evans]

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____

[Handwritten signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 20 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107220-02
113448-02

Richard C. Lawida

FILED

FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE ----- X
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103465-01
119381-00
100758-03

John Robert McAllister

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014

1/6/14
[Handwritten signature]

Julie Evans

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____

[Handwritten signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121111-97
105221-98
111037-98
110522-00

Thomas Gaetano Neri

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----- X
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190071-09

Jerome H. Meyers

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14

~~FILED~~

Julie Evans

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120768-01
111223-01

Vincent O'Connell

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014

[Handwritten signature]

Julie Evans

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____

[Handwritten signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 20 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122204-99
109956-00

Gilbert C. Pfleger

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014

1/6/14




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112971-00

Michael Rodack

FILED

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


1/6/14


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190332/13

Leonard F. Carpenter Jr. and Beverly Carpenter

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/20/14


FILED

FEB 19 2014




Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSE, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 14501.00851

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190306/13

PETER COLETTI, as Administrator for the Estate of
WILLIAM H. COLETTI, and JENNIE COLETTI,
Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, hereby requests
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AMERICAN
BILTRITE INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 1/21/14

FILED

FEB 19 2014


Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
File No. 13139.00421

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121803-97
105232-98
104164-02

Robert P. Funkhouser

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014

2/14
[Handwritten signature]

Julie Evans

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,

[Handwritten signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119394-00
100607-01

Lawrence J. Farrell

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


FILED

FEB 19 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190146/10

Warren Oliver Jr. and Mary Oliver

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS (hereinafter **CARRIER CORPORATION**), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

FEB 19 2014

1/20/14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie Evans

Suzanne Raschiff

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No: 10557.01000

SO ORDERED, _____

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109703/08

Arthur J. Tillman Sr.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: 1-17-2014

FEB 19 2014




~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Dora M. Northcraft
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 14501.00456

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109028/06

Ronald P. Rowe

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: 1/6/14

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Frank Cruz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No.: 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

FEB 20 2014

109028/06

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125782-99
104271-00

Tony Cipolla

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/6/14

FEB 19 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105921-01
119398-00
100754-03

Willard Conklin

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014

[Handwritten signature]

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Handwritten signature: Julie Evans]

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____

[Handwritten signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 124527/00
111050/98
112912/98

Donald D. Cimato,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

FEB 19 2014

1/15/14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew McIntyre
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew McIntyre
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100057/99

LISA M. SCHRADER, as Administratrix for the Estate of
BART M. MICELI, and JOAN MICELI, Individually

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **SIEMENS INDUSTRY INC., as successor in interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC.** by its attorneys hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **SIEMENS INDUSTRY INC., as successor in interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **SIEMENS INDUSTRY INC., as successor in interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 1-17-14

FILED

FEB 19 2014



Dana Northcraft, Esq.




DiMarco, Esq.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
SIEMENS INDUSTRY INC., As
Successor in Interest to SIEMENS
ENERGY & AUTOMATION,
INC. 150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109384-01

Michael Tufano

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Julie Evans

1/2/14
[Handwritten signature]

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____

[Handwritten signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 18179/87
4996/89
105877/02

William C. Barthold,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York


FILED

1-17-2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109703/08

Arthur J. Tillman Sr.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: New York, New York

FEB 19 2014


1-17-14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Dora M Northcott, Gys*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32864

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190020/12

William E. Krauss and Jeanne Krauss

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CONWED CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: 1/10/14

FEB 19 2014


Kardon A. Stolzman, Esq.
Napoli, Bern, Ripka & Associates, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
350 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10018

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CONWED CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No.: 07415.00128

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190020/12

William E. Krauss and Jeanne Krauss

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: 1/14/14

FEB 19 2014


Kardon A. Stolzman, Esq.
Napoli, Bern, Ripka & Associates, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
350 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10018

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSEY, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No.: 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190436/12

Philip Thibodeau

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** by its attorneys, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: 1/20/14

New York, NY

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


~~Brendan J. Tully, Esq.~~ *NICHOLAS NOVAEK, Esq.*
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 14501.00257

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JUN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190436/12

Philip Thibodeau

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a "Carrier Corporation, a/k/a Bryant Manufacturing Corporation," (hereinafter **CARRIER CORPORATION**) by its attorneys, hereby hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: 1/21/14
New York, NY

FEB 19 2014




~~Brendan J. Fully, Esq.~~ NICHOLAS NOWACK Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 10557.01296

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190076/13

Robert Chatterton

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** incorrectly s/h/a
“**AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC.**” (herein after “**AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**”) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs’ complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated:

1/20/16

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


David Chandler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 13139.00306

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190332/13

Leonard F. Carpenter Jr. and Beverly Carpenter

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** incorrectly s/h/a
“**AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC.**” (herein after “**AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**”) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs’ complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: 1/20/14

FEB 19 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 13139.00382

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122787-01

Phillip Schneider

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED


January 13, 2014

FEB 19 2014


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ. NEW YORK


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109973/05

Nancy J. Santini, Individually and as the Executrix of The
Estate of Anthony Santini

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, incorrectly s/h/a
"A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC." (hereinafter A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY),**
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law
and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W.
CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: 1/17/14

FEB 19 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



~~Robert I. Komitor, Esq.~~ **NICHOLAS NAWACK, Esq.**
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 117050/04

Ross Rimicci, as Executor of the Estate of Anthony
Rimicci

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, incorrectly s/h/a
“**A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC.**” (hereinafter **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**),
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law
and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs’ complaint against defendants **A.W.
CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: 1/17/14


FEB 19 2014


Robert I. Komitor, Esq. ~~Nicholas Nance Esq.~~
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110157/05

Ellen Rockmaker as Executrix of the Estate of Gordon
Rockmaker

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, incorrectly s/h/a
"A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC."** (hereinafter **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**),
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law
and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W.
CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated:

1/17/14
FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Robert I. Komitor, Esq. *NICHOLAS MORICZ Esq.*
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111592/04

Neil O'Donnell

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, incorrectly s/h/a
"A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC." (hereinafter A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY)**,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law
and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W.
CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: 1/17/14

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


~~Audrey P. Raphael, Esq.~~ *Nicholas N...*
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104668/06

Lawrence Lewis

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York


FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1/17/14

~~Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.~~ ~~Nicholas Norek, Esq.~~
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190047/11

Joseph Clarke

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

1/17/14


FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Christopher Humphrey, Esq. ~~LOUISIANA BEAUVIDES, ESQ.~~
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry L. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114297-97

Frank Lopilato

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

1-17-2014

FEB 19 2014



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Dana M. Montecaster*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

KENNETH R. HINSCH SR.
AND
MILDRED HINSCH

Index No.: 190131/10,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014



Frank O'Neil
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Kenneth R. Hinsch Sr. and Mildred Hinsch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Kenyan M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

1122-23539

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH FUSCO
AND
MARTHA FUSCO

Index No.: 190345/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
1/14/14, 2013

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank O'Neil
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Joseph Fusco and Martha Fusco
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead
as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 20 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH FUSCO
AND
MARTHA FUSCO

Index No.: 190345/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/14/14, 2013

FILED

FEB 19 2014


frank weitz

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Joseph Fusco and Martha Fusco
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

504-840

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ARTHUR R. EDWARDS
AND
JOANNE EDWARDS

Index No.: 190395/10,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York
1/14/14, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Arthur R. Edwards and Joanne Edwards
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

LUCIANO DELUCA
AND
BARBARA A. DELUCA

Index No.: 190232/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York


FEB 19 2014

1/14/14 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Weitz
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Luciano Deluca and Barbara A. Deluca
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
FIRST 30
2383-29799

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

LUCIANO DELUCA
AND
BARBARA A. DELUCA

Index No.: 190232/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York

1/14/14, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank O'Hi
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Luciano Deluca and Barbara A. Deluca
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-24004

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 101029/07,

MARGARITA CAMBRELEN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Frank A. Hertz
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Margarita Cambrelen
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 28 2014
NYCCT 103

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 101029/07,

MARGARITA CAMBRELEN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Weitz
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Margarita Cambrelen
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

1235-22402

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

MARGARITA CAMBRELEN

Index No.: 101029/07,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank O'Neil
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Margarita Cambrelen
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-22874

RECEIVED
JAN 24 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK: PART 30

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOSEPH MARAIA and DIANA MARAIA,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


Index No. 190340/2011

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries, Inc. ("Lennox"), hereby requests summary judgment in this action pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Lennox with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


Ordered that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Lennox be, and the same hereby are, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Jan. 17, 2014


Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiffs



Brian T. Murnane, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10016
(212) 452-5300

Attorneys for Lennox Industries, Inc.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL LIGHTSY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190518/11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: New York, New York

Jan. 21, ~~2013~~ ²⁰¹⁴

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Brendan Tully, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200


Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Meitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190469/12

Alfonso D'Auria

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, ~~be and the same~~ are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York

Jan 21, 2014 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Doug D. von Oiste, Esq.
Karst & Von Oiste, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
19500 State Highway 249, Suite 420
Houston, TX 77070
(281) 970-9988


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190188/11

A. Dawn Chapman, as Personal Representative for the
Estate of Lewis Shaw, and A. Dawn Chapman, Individually

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS (hereinafter **CARRIER CORPORATION**), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

1/22/14

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

John Richmond

Frank Ortiz, Esq. **John Richmond**
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No: 10557.01005

SO ORDERED, _____

Sherry K. Heitler
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

PAUL J. NENNO

Index No.: 100233/03,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Paul J. Nenno
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

1235-23502

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

PAUL J. NENNO

Index No.: 100233/03,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Paul J. Nanno
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

1122-23871

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JAIME A. MUNOZ
AND
MARIA D. MUNOZ

Index No.: 190341/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: New York, New York

1/14/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ochi
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Jaime A. Munoz and Maria D. Munoz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

2383-29804

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

FLOYD D. MACKEY
AND
JUNE R. MACKEY

Index No.: 190264/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York


1/14/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Floyd D. Mackey and June R. Mackey
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-24233

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

FLOYD D. MACKEY
AND
JUNE R. MACKEY

Index No.: 190264/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/14/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Floyd D. Mackey and June R. Mackey
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 30 2014
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

MAYLAN KEENAN
AND
AUDREY KEENAN

Index No.: 190349/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank O'Hara
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Maylan Keenan and Audrey Keenan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIE J. JONES
AND
JOSEPHINE JONES

Index No.: 112154/02, 107169/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/14/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Willie J. Jones and Josephine Jones
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Shery Klein Heitler

2383-29803

RECEIVED
FEB 19 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIE J. JONES
AND
JOSEPHINE JONES

Index No.: 112154/02, 107169/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York


4/14/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ochi

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Willie J. Jones and Josephine Jones
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 29 2014

PART 30

1235-23838

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIE J. JONES
AND
JOSEPHINE JONES

Index No.: 112154/02, 107169/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/17/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Willie J. Jones and Josephine Jones
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 20 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

KENNETH R. HINSCH SR.
AND
MILDRED HINSCH

Index No.: 190131/10,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank O'Hara
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Kenneth R. Hinsch Sr. and Mildred Hinsch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-29802

RECORDED
JAN 30 2014
FEB 19 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

KENNETH R. HINSCH SR.
AND
MILDRED HINSCH

Index No.: 190131/10,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank O'Neil
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Kenneth R. Hinsch Sr. and Mildred Hinsch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-23143

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM WRIGHT

Index No.: 190440/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
William Wright
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

963-897

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM WRIGHT

Index No.: 190440/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
William Wright
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

504-872

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

LOUIS J. POVODNICH
AND
MARYANN POVODNICH

Index No.: 116021/07,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York


~~1/14/14~~ 2013

FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank O'Hara
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Louis J. Povodnich and Maryann Povodnich
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

2383-27776

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

409

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

DONALD T. SKELTON
AND
EILEEN C. SKELTON

Index No.: 103009/08, 102703/96

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, **FILED** and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York
January 15, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Matthew MACINTYRE Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Donald T. Skelton and Eileen C. Skelton
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

1235-14031

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

LOUIS J. POVODNICH
AND
MARYANN POVODNICH

Index No.: 116021/07,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York

1/1-1/14, 2015

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank O'Hi
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Louis J. Povodnich and Maryann Povodnich
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-22541

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

LOUIS J. POVODNICH
AND
MARYANN POVODNICH

Index No.: 116021/07,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York

1/14/14, 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Osh
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Louis J. Povodnich and Maryann Povodnich
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-23010

RECEIVED
JAN 23 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM J. PEACH
AND
PATRICIA PEACH

Index No.: 105718/02, 112277/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

1/14/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank O'Hara

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
William J. Peach and Patricia Peach
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole P. Wesselmann

Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

2383-25542AB

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM J. PEACH
AND
PATRICIA PEACH

Index No.: 105718/02, 112277/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York


1/14/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Frank O'Hara
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
William J. Peach and Patricia Peach
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM J. PEACH
AND
PATRICIA PEACH

Index No.: 105718/02, 112277/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York

1/14/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank A. Weitz
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
William J. Peach and Patricia Peach
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-13733

RECEIVED

JAN 26 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JOSE A. OSORIO
AND
MARIA A. OSORIO

Index No.: 190364/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York


1/12/14, 2013

FEB 19 2014



Frank O'Hara
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Jose A. Osorio and Maria A. Osorio
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

504-851

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

PAUL J. NENNO

Index No.: 100233/03,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank A. Weitz

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Paul J. Nanno
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26117

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 98-118498

RICHARD H. DIMLER and CAROL DIMLER,

against


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

ACandS, INC., et al.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/21/2014 New Brunswick, NJ



MELISSA BARRELLA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005



ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Richard H. Dimler and Carol Dimler
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT BRENNAN AND BARBARA
BRENNAN

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 190295/13

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: *1/21/2014* New York, New York
FEB 19 2014

Melissa R. Barrella
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MELISSA R. BARRELLA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
York International Corporation, Individually
and as Successor to Frick Company
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005


[Signature]
BRYAN BELASKY, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Robert Brennan and Barbara Brennan
546 Fifth Avenue, Fourth Floor
New York, NY 10036

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



JAN 30 2014
PART 30

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

SARAH BODDEN, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate
of TALMAGE M. BODDEN, and SARAH BODDEN,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190412/12

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

FEB 19 2014


January 22, 2014



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant


Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 29510.835

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
**Sarah Bodden, as Executrix for the Estate of
Talmage M. Bodden, and Sarah Bodden,
Individually**
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

PHILIP THIBODEAU,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

3M COMPANY f/k/a Minnesota Mining and
Manufacturing Co., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 190436-12
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**
:
:
:

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated:

January 23, 2014
New York, New York

FEB 19 2014

[Signature]

Nick Novack, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	x	NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	(J. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-----	x	
This Document Relates to:	:	Index No.: 190048/13
-----	:	
WILLIAM I. BODDEN	:	NO OPPOSITION
-----	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-----	x	MOTION AND ORDER

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that William Bodden was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


FILED

Jan 24, 2014


FEB 19 2014

McDermott Will & Emery LLP


Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

By: 
 Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
 340 Madison Avenue
 New York, New York 10173
*Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc.
 f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to
 The Bendix Corporation*

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 
 Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
 700 Broadway
 New York, New York 10007
Attorneys for Plaintiff

SO ORDERED



 Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM WRIGHT

Index No.: 190440/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
William Wright
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

2383-29806

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM WRIGHT

Index No.: 190440/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/22/14, 2014

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Franko-hi
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
William Wright
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sheryn Klein Heitler

1122-24060

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No.
118278/99

SAM CHIARAVALLOTI

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York

1/10, 2014

FEB 19 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAMES EDWARDS NEW YORK
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

KEVIN BARRETT

Index No.
109675/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York
1/10, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION OF NEW YORK
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FRANCIS ANTICOLI

Index No.
114998/98

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: New York, New York
1/10, 2014

FEB 19 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

RONALD P. McDONOUGH (Deceased)

Index No.
15568/87
6471/90
190050/09

FILED

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
AUGUST 2013 FIFO**

-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/10, 2014



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN G. NELSON and MARGARET NELSON,

Index No. 190247-2012

FILED
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., erroneously sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY", hereinafter referred to as ("ITT CORPORATION") and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: October 4, 2013

Signed by Plaintiff: January 25, 2014



Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney(s) for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorney(s) for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10105

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Barbara Jaffe

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

ROBERT TEAGUE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining
and Manufacturing Company, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


Index No. 190131/2013

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., erroneously sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett", and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Plaintiff: *January 25, 2014*




Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575


FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Christopher Browne, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ANTHONY CUSUMANO and JOAN CUSUMANO,

Plaintiffs

FILED

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION
WITH PREJUDICE

FEB 19 2014

vs.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.
et al.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190348/2013

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, AVCO CORPORATION ("Avco") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint, and all cross-claims, against Avco, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Avco, be hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: White Plains, New York
December 3, 2013

FILED


WEITZ & LUXENBERG

FEB 19 2014

GOLDBERG SEGALLA, LLP


Daniel Wasserberg
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Phone (212) 558-5500


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Andrew J. Scholtz, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Avco Corporation
11 Martine Avenue, Suite 750
White Plains, New York 10606
Phone: (914) 798-5400
Fax: (914) 798-5401

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 39



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No.
106580/02

DAVID W. PREMO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
1/10, 2014

FEB 19 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ARTHUR M. KEY

Index No.
107680/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

FEB 19 2014


Dated: New York, New York
11/2, 2014



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

SAMUEL J. HOPPER

FILED

Index No.
107933/99

FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York
1/10, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION OF NEW YORK
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

RONALD GUSTAS

FILED

FEB 19 2014

-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.
190026/10


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/10, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to: **FILED**^X

ROBERT P. FLYNN

FEB 19 2014

Index No.
190279/10


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/10, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to: **FILED**-----X

WILLIAM H. FIELDS

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.
190140/10


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/10 2014

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ROGER FARTHING

Index No.
116746/07

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


FEB 19 2014

Dated: New York, New York
1/10, 2014




FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to

THOMAS COWHEY

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.
190029/10


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/10, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

536.23654/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :

JAMES W. HILER, : Index No.: 190013/10

Plaintiff, : (August 2013 Monthly FIFO
Trial Group)

vs. :

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER

Defendants. :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20/14
East Hanover New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

FEB 19 2014

FILED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

536.23949/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 190246/10

LARRY V. GUMAER, Deceased :
Plaintiff, : (August 2013 Monthly FIFO
vs. : Trial Group)

FILED

FEB 19 2014

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/6/14
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsamo
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,
[Signature]
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
CLERK'S OFFICE

536.22498/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :

MATTEO J. GUILIANO, Deceased, : Index No.: 190010/08

Plaintiff, : (August 2013 Monthly FIFO

vs. : Trial Group)

FEB 19 2014

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Defendants. NEW YORK : AND ORDER

FILED

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/6/14
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 20 2014
PART 30

536.08698/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :

JACK ELTON GILL, Deceased, : Index No.: 106740/00
: 121978/99


Plaintiff, FEB 19 2014 :
vs. : (August 2013 Monthly FIFO
Trial Group)


UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION :
et al., : NO OPPOSITION
Defendants. : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/6/14
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 30 2014
PART 30

536.12666/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to

FILED

CONRAD J. FASSETT, Deceased, : Index No.: 114784/02
: 106691/02

Plaintiff, :
vs. : (August 2013 Monthly FIFO
Trial Group)

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., : **NO OPPOSITION**
Defendants. : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20/14
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 20 2014
PART 30

536.10337/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :

THOMAS DOHERTY, : Index No.: 122138/01
Plaintiff, : 102763/02

FILED

vs. : (August 2013 Monthly FIFO
: Trial Group)

FEB 19 2014

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION : COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
et al., : NEW YORK
: NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/26/14
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsamo
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

536.09775/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :

JOHN L. CATTERSON, Deceased : Index No.: 120389/01
Plaintiff, : 124443/01
: 101424/07

FILED

FEB 19 2014

vs. : (August 2013 Monthly FIFO
: Trial Group)

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., : COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK :

: NO OPPOSITION
Defendants. : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20/14
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

This Document Relates to:

FILED

HAROLD VOETSCH,

FEB 19 2014

-against-
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants,

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J)

Index No. 190045-2011

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY incorrectly U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY incorrectly s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY incorrectly s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
_____, 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By Paul
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Patricia Bushtyn, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 509-3456
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.

By John S. Rand Dated Jan 13, 2014
John S. Rand, Esq.
99 Court Street
White Plains, New York 10601
(914) 946-8900
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Dated: _____

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190319-10

**PAUL M. KERRISSEY, as Executor of the
Estate of DONALD SEWARD CAMPBELL,
deceased,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

FILED

-against-

FEB 19 2014

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, VELAN VALVE CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

1/17/14
New York, New York


Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575


Timothy Coughlan, Esq.
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS KRUTZ
& TARDY, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
VELAN VALVE CORP.
328 Newman Springs Road
Red Bank, NJ 07701
(732) 852-4400

SO ORDERED,


Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

ARTHUR LETTOW,

Index No.: 190437-11

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, VELAN VALVE CORP. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/17/14
New York, New York

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Timothy Coughlan, Esq.
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS
KRUTZ & TARDY, LLP
Attorney for Defendant
VELAN VALVE CORP.
328 Newman Springs Road
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701
(732) 852-4400

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

GEORGE A. PAINTER and BETTY G. PAINTER,

Index No.: 190344/2009

Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER **FILED**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,


Defendant(s).

FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, VELAN VALVE CORP. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/17/14
New York, New York


Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575


Timothy Coughlan, Esq.
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS
KRUTZ & TARDY, LLP
Attorney for Defendant
VELAN VALVE CORP.
328 Newman Springs Road
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701
(732) 852-4400

SO ORDERED, 
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

536.10562/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :

HARY J. TYNAN, **FILED** : Index No.: 106275/02
: 120388/01


Plaintiff, **FEB 19 2014** : (August 2013 Monthly FIFO
vs. : Trial Group)


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/6/14
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. CORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. BOX 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 30 2014
PART 30

536.07705/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :

JOHN A. SORENSEN, Deceased : Index No.: 115634/01
: 111218/01

Plaintiff, :
FEB 19 2014 : (August 2013 Monthly FIFO
: Trial Group)

vs. :

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, NEW YORK :
et al., : NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/6/14
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. WEITZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

536.13040/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to:

THOMAS M. RAISH, Deceased, : Index No.: 110269/02
: 126736/02

Plaintiff, FEB 19 2014

: (August 2013 Monthly FIFO
Trial Group)

vs.

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,
et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

: NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/6/14
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. WEITZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 20 2014
PART 30

536.10337/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to:

FILED

LUIS R. QUINTERO, : Index No.: 102739/02
Plaintiff, FEB 19 2014 : 122138/01

vs.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

(August 2013 Monthly FIFO
Trial Group)

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,
et al.,


Defendants.


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21/14
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

536.13697/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


This document relates to: **FILED**

NORMAN L. NEWKIRK, : Index No.: 109070/00
Plaintiff, FEB 19 2014 : 122188/99
vs. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE (August 2013 Monthly FIFO
NEW YORK : Trial Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., : NO OPPOSITION
Defendants. : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/6/14
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

536.12891/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :

GERALD L. MIESOWICZ : Index No.: 107451/00
: 122186/99
Plaintiff, :

vs. :

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION : (August 2013 Monthly FIFO
et al., : Trial Group)
: NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/16/14
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 30 2014
PART 30

536.22799/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein
This document relates to: : Heitler)

RONALD P. McDONOUGH, Deceased, :
Plaintiff, :
vs. :
Index No.: 15568/87
6471/90
190050/09

FILED

FEB 19 2014

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION : (August 2013 Monthly FIFO
et al., : Trial Group)
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

: NO OPPOSITION
Defendants. : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/6/14
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsamo
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

536.06460/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :

WILLIAM LONG, **FILED** : Index No.: 122024/98

Plaintiff, : (August 2013 Monthly FIFO
FEB 19 2014 : Trial Group)

vs. :


UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION **COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE** : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., **NEW YORK** : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**


Defendants. :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/6/14
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTELLE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY,
GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 20 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to: **FILED**

GABRIEL CINTORINO

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.
100081/08

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

DONALD D. CIMATO

FILED

Index No.
112912-1998

FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK,

hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014




FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION OF NEW YORK
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to: **FILED**

GEORGE BRADY

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.
190115/08


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

DOUGLAS BEGBIE

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.
190168/09


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
¹²⁴, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FILED

Index No.
107168/02

DONALD D. WILSON

FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/13, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FILED

Index No.
105718/02

JAMES C. TOMASELLO

FEB 19 2014


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/10, 2014



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No.
105465/99


VALENTE TENORE


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/10 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FILED

JAMES V. TEMPIO

Index No.
111679/08

FEB 19 2014

-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/10, 2014

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Related to: **FILED**

PAUL SCULLY

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.
190146/10


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/10 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM F. SCHOONBECK SR.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.
123987/94

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/10 2014

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND R. ROWLING

FILED

Index No.
102118/07

FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
SEPTEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/10, 2014

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION **FILED**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 07-114934


-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014
WAYNE PAUL COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

1/13, 2013
By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION **FILED**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 07-116167

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014
GENE PLANK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

1/17/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.

Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

DONALD E. MCCORMICK SR. **FILED**

Index No.
190195/09

FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/27, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FILED

Index No.
120327/99

SALVADOR MARTINEZ JR.

FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
^{1/24} 2014



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JAMES LA DELIA

FILED

Index No.
121776-97

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
¹²⁴, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION OF NEW YORK
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

BENJAMIN F. GHOLSON

FILED

Index Nos.
125779/99, 104693/00

FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/21, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

FILED

CHARLES W. FRICK

FEB 19 2014

Index No.
190120/08


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/27, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FILED

JOHN FREEMAN, SR.

FEB 19 2014

Index No.
126682/02


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

THOMAS FRANZONE

FILED

Index No.
106972/08

FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG,
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM FALKENMEYER

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.
190116/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to: **FILED**

JOHN B. EFFINGER

FEB 19 2014

Index No.
105715/02


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:
RONALD R. DOEDEMA

FILED

FEB 19 2014

Index No.
107099/02


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
^{1/24}, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ARTHUR C. CLARK, JR.

FILED

FEB 19 2014

-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.
106691/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

SIMON J. UHT

FILED

Index No.
127674/02

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL D. TESORIERO **FILED**

Index No.
107006/02

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOHN SULLIVAN

FILED

Index Nos.
113130/98

FEB 19 2014


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FILED

Index No.
118400/98

ROMEO A. PETTINELLI

FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/27, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FILED

RALPH E. PAUL

Index No.
107400/02

FEB 19 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014




FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

-----X
FILED

DENNIS F. PADULA

FEB 19 2014

Index No.
101177/99


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

THOMAS O'CONNOR

FILED

Index Nos.
122202/99, 112730/00

FEB 19 2014


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/23, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

RICHARD L. NASH

FILED

Index Nos.
106708/02

FEB 19 2014


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

PAUL MILLER

Index Nos.
122079/97, 190174/09

FILED

FEB 19 2014


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/27, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

BART M. MICELI

FILED

Index No.
100057/99

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS R. MERGENHAGEN

FILED

FEB 19 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index Nos.
126685/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
NOVEMBER 2013 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/24, 2014


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

ABRAHAM FREUND,

FILED

Plaintiffs,

FEB 19 2014

-against-

3M COMPANY, ET AL
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Defendants.
-----X

INDEX NO.:

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BELDEN WIRE AND CABLE COMPANY, LLC (hereinafter "Belden"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, Belden, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, Belden, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

COSTELLO, SHEA & GAFFNEY LLP


BY: 

BY: 

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Abraham Freund
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

THOMAS A. RHATIGAN, ESQ.
Attorneys for Defendant
Belden Wire and Cable Co.
44 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005
(212) 483-9600

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
ANTHONY CISEK and MARY ANN CISEK,

INDEX NO.: 190050/12

Plaintiffs
FILED

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

FEB 19 2014

3M COMPANY, ET AL.,

Defendants.
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, BELDEN WIRE AND CABLE COMPANY, LLC (hereinafter "Belden"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, Belden, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, Belden, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1-29-2014
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

COSTELLO, SHEA & GAFFNEY LLP

BY: 

BY: 

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Anthony Cisek
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

THOMAS A. RHATICAN, ESQ.
Attorneys for Defendant
Belden Wire and Cable Co.
44 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005
(212) 483-9600

COSTELLO, SHEA & GAFFNEY LLP
ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW
44 WALL STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10005

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
FRANK DESANTIS and PATRICIA DESANTIS,

Index No.: 190311/09

Plaintiffs, **FILED**

-against-

BONDEX INTERNATIONAL, et al.,

FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, Belden Wire and Cable Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant, Belden Wire and Cable Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, Belden Wire and Cable Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1-29-2014

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG

COSTELLO, SHEA & GAFFNEY LLP


BY: 

BY: 

BRENDAN TULLY, ESQ.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frank DeSantis
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

THOMAS A. RHATIGAN, ESQ.
Attorneys for Defendant
Belden Wire and Cable Company
44 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
DOCUMENTS WERE RECEIVED BY:
 MAIL EXPRESS, CERTIFIED UPS
 FEDEX DHL BY-HAND ON:

01-11-2011 10:03

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
ROBERT TEAGUE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, ET AL.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO.: 190131/13

FILED

FEB 19 2014

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, BELDEN WIRE AND CABLE COMPANY, LLC., sued herein as "BELDEN WIRE & CABLE CO." (hereinafter "Belden"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, Belden, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, Belden, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1-21-2014

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

COSTELLO, SHEA & GAFFNEY LLP

BY: 

BY: 

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Teague
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

THOMAS A. RHATIGAN, ESQ.
Attorneys for Defendant
Belden Wire and Cable Co.
44 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005
(212) 483-9600

COSTELLO, SHEA & GAFFNEY LLP
ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW
44 WALL STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10005

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
GRETCHEN S. SOUTHER and EUGENE SOUTHER,

INDEX NO.: 190194/12

Plaintiffs

FILED

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

FEB 19 2014

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,

Defendants. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, VOGT-NEM, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, VOGT-NEM, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, VOGT-NEM, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1-21-2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.


COSTELLO, SHEA & GAFFNEY LLP

BY:



Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Gretchen S. Souther
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

BY:



Thomas A. Rhatigan, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Vogt-NEM, Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005
(212) 483-9600

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
THOMAS R. HELLWIG and CAROL A. HELLWIG,

INDEX NO.: 190509/12

-against- Plaintiffs, **FILED** NYCAL


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL., FEB 19 2014 NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
-----X
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, CAMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, sued incorrectly as "CAMERON INTERNATIONAL CORP. f/k/a Cooper Cameron Corporation, Individually and as Successor in Interest to Dresser Flow Control and Foster Engineering Corporation and Grove Reducer Valves", and sued incorrectly as "COOPER CAMERON CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to Pennsylvania Pump & Compressor Company and Cooper Bessemer and Grove Reducer Valves", hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against the above defendants, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant CAMERON INTERNATIONAL CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1-29-2014

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
BY: 


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas Hellwig
540 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
212-681-1575

COSTELLO, SHEA & GAFFNEY, LLP
BY: 

THOMAS A. RHATIGAN, ESQ.
Attorneys for Defendants
CAMERON INTERNATIONAL CORP.
44 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005
212-483-9600

COSTELLO, SHEA & GAFFNEY LLP
ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW
44 WALL STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10005

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

FILED

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

FEB 19 2014

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.: 190053-08

**CAROL A. WALTON, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of JOHN WALTON
a/k/a JACK WALTON,**

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

84 LUMBER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, VELAN VALVE CORP. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ^{1/17/14}

New York, New York

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Timothy Coughlan, Esq.
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS
KRUTZ & TARDY, LLP
Attorney for Defendant
VELAN VALVE CORP.
328 Newman Springs Road
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701
(732) 852-4400

SO ORDERED, _____

Judge Sherry Klein Hestler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

NYCAL
I. A. S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

FEB 19 2014

Index No.: 12/190392

JOHN ADLER

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant Carlin Combustion Technology, Inc. ("CCT"), requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against CCT, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CCT, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

May 14th, 2013

Carmen V. St. George / *[Signature]*
Carmen V. St. George, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

George W. Marion / *[Signature]*
George W. Marion, Esq.
BULKLEY, RICHARDSON & GELINAS, LLP
1500 Main Street, Suite 2700
P.O. Box 15507
Springfield, MA 01115-5507
Counsel for CCT

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

[Signature]

RECEIVED

JAN 14 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 09-190268

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014

GEORGE CORNISH COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY


1/13/14, 2013

By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 09-190128

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014


FRANK KRAMARITSCH COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
4/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY **FILED**
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FEB 19 2014
-----X

Index No. 09-190117

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARMINE MONGONI


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **FILED**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 08-190040

-----X
FEB 19 2014
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


ANTHONY MAZZELLA COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 01-102033

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

WILLIAM TYNAN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
-----X
NEW YORK-----X

MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

1/14, 2014
By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 01-119701

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014
WALTER KNUDSEN
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

1/12/14, 2013

By:



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By:



Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-106580

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **FILED**

DAVID PREMO FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
4/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 99-122197
00-114412

-----X
FILED
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH SZCZUR

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
4/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sheri S. Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILEDX

Index No. 99-117523

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES MORIARTY

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 10-190154

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FILED

LESTER MORRIS

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 10-190020

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANDREW KUTKO

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6ht Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 09-190411

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

MELVYN MECKEL


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK -----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)
Index No. 09-190337

FILED


-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014
THOMAS KOWALSKI
-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 10-190140


-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014
WILLIAM FIELDS
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 10-190146

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014

PAUL SCULLY COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 07-116746

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014


ROGER FARTHING COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 07-102118

FILED

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND ROWLING

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION **FILED**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-107168


-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014
DONALD WILSON COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK -----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sheri Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 01-111227

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014

ROBERT MELDER


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
2/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 00-108855
00-103309

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


BARTLEY MOONEY


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
4/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED
-----X

Index No. 00-107680


-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014
ARTHUR KEY
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
-----X
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-106691

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **FILED**

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


ARTHUR CLARK FEB 19 2014


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 00-112730

-----X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

THOMAS O'CONNOR


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, NY
1/31/14, 2013

By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
~~Patterson-Kelley Company~~
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-109085

FILED

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


ALAN MARTIN

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14 2013

By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-106758

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **FILED**

JAMES GLEASON

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 00-106459

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014

DANIEL KEYES


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-106439

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FILED

JOHN KRIDLER

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 00-104693

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

BENJAMIN GHOLSON


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK -----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 99-113863


-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014
FRANK CAPECI
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
4/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 98-114998

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **FILED**

FRANCIS ANTICOLI FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 10-190279

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **FILED**

ROBERT FLYNN

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 09-190079

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


DAVID BRUCE
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 08-190115

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

GEORGE BRADY
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION **FILED**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 08-109703


-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014
ARTHUR TILLMAN COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 08-106972

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014


THOMAS FRANZONE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
4/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY **FILED**
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FEB 19 2014

Index No. 08-100081


-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
GABRIEL CINTORINO
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY **FILED**
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-109573


-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
HERBERT RENZ
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6ht Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY **FILED**
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FEB 19 2014

Index No. 02-10700
02-114051


-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
RALPH PAUL
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
4/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-107099

-----X
FEB 19 2014

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD DOEDEMA

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY **FILED**
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FEB 19 2014
-----X

Index No. 00-110522

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS NERI


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **FILED**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-109956

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014 X

GILBERT PFLEGER


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/12/14 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 00-109747

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

GERALD ROOT

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-108383

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014

JOHN ZERO


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6ht Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED
-----X

Index No. 99-121981
00-106397

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

VITO La BARBERA


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-104271

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

TONY CIPOLLA


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 99-120676

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**


THEODORE WILCHER


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **MOTION AND ORDER**
NEW YORK-X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 98-112912

FILED X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD CIMATO

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
4/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 09-190070

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


ALLEN THOROGOOD


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 02-126710
02-110270

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

RONALD KOSIBA


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
4/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-126706
02-110270

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **FILED**

SAL SERENO

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **MOTION AND ORDER**
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION **FILED**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-108101

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014

ROBERT COPE


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG,
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblat, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-107220

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014


RICHARD LAWIDA COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 02-106999
02-111353

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014

JAMES STEPHENSON


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 98-119908

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


CHESTER KENDZIORA COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 855-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 10-190349

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

JOSEPH PISARRO


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK -----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
4/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 10-190011

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FILED

FEB 19 2014

GLADYS MILLER


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 09-190245
02-105717

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

JOSEPH HUNTZ


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 09-190071

-----X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY**

JEROME MEYERS

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

1/13/14, 2013

By: _____

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 02-105876

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHANDLER EMERSON

FEB 19 2014


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-105870
02-108773

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSS RUSSO


FILED
FEB 19 2014
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

FILED

FEB 19 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

Index No. 01-120768

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014

VINCENT O'CONNELL COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-107217

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FILED

FEB 19 2014

PHILIP WALSH


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-105921

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLARD CONKLIN

FILED
FEB 19 2014
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 01-103465


-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
FEB 19 2014
JOHN McALLISTER
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED

Index No. 01-100607

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FEB 19 2014

LAWRENCE FARRELL


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, NY
1/13/14, 2013

By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 19 2014

X COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

Index No. 01-100207

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FEB 19 2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WILLIAM CUNNINGHAM COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, NY

1/13, 2013

By:


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By:


Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
JAN 30 2014
PART 30