Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit** > **Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

		X	NYCAL
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		I.A.S. Part 30' 5 (Moulton, P.)
			Index No. 00-109071
		X	
THIS DOO	CUMENT RELATES TO:		NO OPPOSITION
April 2016 In Extremis case		SUMMARY	
•	CONO AMILO MASCARELLA		JUDGMENT
			MOTION AND ORDER
		X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

1/12, 20186

By: Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By:
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq. Fig.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLD
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company,
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202


(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

FEB **0 3** 2016

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	YORK
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Moulton, P.) Index No. 99-104745
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: November 2015 FIFO case ANTHONY BISCULCA	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelle	ey Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley
Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the	•
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing	plaintiff's complaint against defendant
Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and the	re being no opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-def	endants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the s	ame are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.	
Dated: New York, NY  Javany 14, 2016  By: Matthew T. Mae Intyre  Morther T. Mae Intyre, Esq.  Frank Ortiz Esq.	By:  Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  Renjamin R. Duwer Esq.



Hon. Peter H. Moulton

,

RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

FEB **0 3** 2016

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	X	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Moulton, P.)
	X	Index No. 03-103514 02-126681
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: September 2015 FIFO case TERRY MESEC	X	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Com-	pany, su	ed herein as Patterson-Kelley
Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the abov	e-entitle	ed case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintif	ff's com	plaint against defendant
Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being	g no opp	osition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants	, all clai	ms and cross claims against

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

<u>JUNUTY</u> 14, 2016

By: Matthew T. Mae Intyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.

Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.

NIXON PEABODY LIPG

Attorneys for Defendant, Satterson-Kelley Company

Key Towers at Fountain Pfaza

40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500

40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 5 Buffalo, NY 14202 (716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,

 $\frac{2}{160}$ 

RECEIVED
NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

FEB **0 3** 2016

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW OF NEW YORK	YORK	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	In	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30' 50 (Moulton, P.)  dex No. 01-123950
THIS DOO	CUMENT RELATES TO: 15 FIFO case NORBERTO SAMUDIO	N	O OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT TION AND ORDER
WI	HEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kell	ey Company, sued her	ein as Patterson-Kelley
Division, h	nereby requests summary judgment in	the above-entitled case	e, pursuant to Civil
Practice La	aw and Rules Section 3212, dismissing	g plaintiff's complaint	against defendant
Patterson-l	Kelley Division with prejudice, and the	ere being no opposition	n thereto,
OR	DERED, that upon notice to all co-de	fendants, all claims and	d cross claims against
defendant l	Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the	same are hereby dismi	ssed with prejudice and
without co	sts.		
By: Moderate Street WH Att. 700 New	W York, NY  OULY 14, 2016  Her T. Mac Intyre  THE TOTAL  THE TOTAL	By: Samuel Goldbi Benjamin R. D NIXON PEAB Attorneys for I Patterson-Kelle Key Towers at 40 Fountain Pla Buffalo, NY 14 (716) 853-8100	wyer Est = ODY LEPG =
SO ORDE	RED	9/3/16	NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD
	Hon. Peter H. Moulton E COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW	/ /	FEB <b>0 3</b> 2016
	OF NEW YORK	IOIX	HON, PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE
		X	NYCAL

	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOI OF NEW YORK	RK
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Moulton, P.)  Index No. 01-120142
THIS DOC June 2015 F	UMENT RELATES TO: FIFO case RONALD MEZIK	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
	EREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley C	
Practice Lav	w and Rules Section 3212, dismissing place.	intiff's complaint against defendant

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

Vanitary 14

Mathew T. Mac Intyre

-Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq. 7

Attorneys for Defendant, Patterson-Kelley Company

Key Towers at Fountain Plaza 40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500

Buffalo, NY 14202

(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

FEB 0 3 2016

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Moulton, P.) Index No. 00-107135
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MARCH & 4/4 FIFO  RAYMOND WACHOWICZ	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-en	•
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's of	•
Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no	opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all	claims and cross claims against
defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are her	reby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.	
Frank Ortiz, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Buff	uel Goldblatt, Esq. amin R. Dwyer Esq. ON PEABODY LLP rneys for Defendant, crson-Kelley Company Towers at Fountain Plaza ountain Plaza, Suite 500 alo, NY 14202 ) 853-8100
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

FEB **0 3** 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

14521194.7

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GENE SPINELLI and JOAN SPINELLI.

Plaintiffs.

-against-

A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, Individually and as Successor to Easco Boiler Corp., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

(Hon. Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 190488/2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant TACO, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant TACO, INC. with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant TACO, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: White Plains, New York

bruaru 02

chelle D. Grady, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

TACO, INC

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC

10 Bank Street, Suite 700

White Plains, New York 10606

(914) 949-2909

Jordan Fdx Attorney for Plaintiffs

GENE SPINELLI and JOAN SPINELLI

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP.

546 Nifth Avenue 4th Floor

New York, New York 10036

(212) 681-1575

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

#### **RECEIVED**

112 01 200

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC

COUNTY OF NEW YORK		X	
IN RE NEW YORK ASBESTOS LITIGATION		Y	Index No. 190452/10
This Document Relates To: EFREN CARBONELL, Individually, and Administrator to the Estate of PUBLIO CARBONELL-SOTO, Deceased		71	Honorable Peter H. Moulton
V.	Plaintiffs,		NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.W. CHESTERTON, et. al.			February 2014 FIFO CLUSTER
	Defendants,	X	CHOOTER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, VIAD CORP wrongly sued herein as Viad Corporation ("VIAD"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Viad only without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross-claim against defend Viad only be and the same are dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York New York November 31, 2015.

Jordan Fox, Esq.

Belluck & Fox Attorneys for Plaint/ff

546 Fifth Avenue

4<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Francis A.Montback

MOUND COTTON WOLLAN

& GREENGRASS LLP

Attorneys for Defendant, Viad

One New York Plaza (212- 804-4200

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Mannor

FEB 1 1 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK



# Mary D

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

190452/20

Index No.

•	
EFREN CARBONELL, Individually and as Special Administrator to the Estate of PUBLIO CARBONELL SOTO, Deceased,	
Plaintiff,	
-against-	
A.W. CHESTERTON, INC., et al.,	
Defendant.	
NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT	Γ MOTION AND ORDER
MOUND COTTON WOLLAN & CAttorneys for	GREENGRASS <b>LLP</b>
Defendant Viad Corpor	ration
Office and Post Office Address, Tel  One New York Plaza	
New York, NY 10004-1	
(212) 804-4200	
	Service of a copy of the within is
То:	hereby admitted.

Attorney(s) for

Dated:\_\_\_\_\_\_20\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY NYCAL ASBESTOS LITIGATION, I.A.S. Part 50 THIS DOCUMENTS RELATES TO: INDEX NOS.: 113324/02 & DONALD E. MORTON, JR., 122192/99 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, inc., be and same are hereby dismissed prejudice and without costs. Dated: New York, New York. anualy December 8, 2015 Matthew Tarasoff Timothy M. McCann, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant 700 Broadway Consolidated Edison Company New York, New York 10003 Of New York, Inc. 4 Irving Place New York, New York 10003 SO ORDERED: Hon. Peter # Moulton

Our File No.: S-05850-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
I.A.S. FERT SD
Moulton Por Soffice
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
INDEX NOS.:
100904/03 &
105028/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., by and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York...

November 4, 2018 January

Mtthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.

DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of

MOTION AND ORDER

New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

HON. Peter H Moulton

Our File No.: S-5013-03

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 FEB | 1 ASBESTOS LITIGATION, (Moulton, Y CLERK'S OFFICE THIS DOCUMENTS RELATES TO: INDEX NOS.: 106612/00 & ROOSEVELT GREEN 121992/99 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, inc., be and same are hereby dismissed prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

December 9, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCarin, Esq.

DAVID M. SÁNTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company

Of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

2/3/16

Our File No.: S-05089-00

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 B 1 1 2016 (Moulton C) CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	INDEX NO.: 113335/01
JOSEPH MCKENDRY,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Erik Jacobs, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.

DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company

of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter // Moulton

4/3/16

Our File No.: S-6759-01

TMc:lb 10/22/15

### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

BESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN M. DUNPHY,

Index No. 108174/06

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, 1.)

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. 4

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq. DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED: .

HON. Peter H. Moulton

Our File: #: S-4087-06/

### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARIA ROSE BARRESI, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH BARRESI, deceased,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS *et al.*, Defendants.

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

(Hon. Peter H. Moulton)

FEB 11 2016

Index No.: 190084/2008

COUNTY CLICAGE OF FICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant CROLL-REYNOLDS ENGINEERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant CROLL-REYNOLDS ENGINEERING CO., INC. with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant CROLL-REYNOLDS ENGINEERING CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: White Plains, New York

January 29 , 20

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

CROLL-REYNOLDS ENGINEERING CO., INC.

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC

10 Bank Street, Suite 700

White Plains, New York 10606

(914) 949-2909

Jordan Fox, Ekq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

ESTATE OF JOSEPH BARRESI

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP.

546 Fifth Avenue 4<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10036

(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

FEB 0 2 2016

### **RECEIVED**

JAN 29 2016

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARIA ROSE BARRESI, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH BARRESI, deceased,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

(Hon. Peter H. Moulton) FEB 11

FFR 11 2016

Index No.: 190084/2008

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCT COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCT COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed

with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: White Plains, New York

January 29, 2016

Laura B. Hollman, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC

10 Bank Street, Suite 700

White Plains, New York 10606

(914) 949-2909

Jordan Fox, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

ESTATE OF JOSEPH BARRESI

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP.

546 Fifth Avenue 4<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10036

(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

FEB **0 2** 2016

### **RECEIVED**

JAN 28 2016

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GENE SPINELLI and JOAN SPINELLI,

Plaintiffs.

-against-

A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, Individually and as Successor to Easco Boiler Corp., et al.,

Defendants.

**NYCAL** 

(Hon. Peter H. Moulton)

FEB 11 2016

Index No.: 190488/2019 190488/2019

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed

with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: White Plains, New York

nnuacu

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC

10 Bank Street, Suite 700

White Plains, New York 10606

(914) 949-2909

Jordan For

Attorney før Plaintiffs

GENE SPINELLI and JOAN SPINELLI

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP.

546 Fifth Avenue 4th Floor

New York, New York 10036

(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST 1D

### **RECEIVED**

JAN 28 2016

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

FILED

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton,  $\mathcal{L}$ )

INDEX NO.: 01-113324

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLARIES OFFICE

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM K. RIEGER and CAROL J. RIEGER

against

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** 

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kohler Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kohler Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kohler Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

New York, New York

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ. HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN **DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP** Attorneys for Defendant, Kohler Co.

48 Wall Street, Suite 1100 New York, New York 10005

Matthew T. Mac Intyra FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. Mathew T WEITZ & LUXENBURG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),

William K. Rieger and Carol J. Rieger 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Peter H. Moulton

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street Suite 1100 New York, NY

RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

FEB **0 2** 2016



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NI COUNTY OF NEW YORK	EW YORK		
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITI	IGATION		FEB 11 2016
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: PHYLLIS TERRANCE, as Executrix for the RICHARD CHARLES TERRANCE, and PHTERRANCE Individually			COMPTON SERVICE 108920/00 344 122198/99
WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE In above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practicomplaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., wo opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all condefendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are DATED:	ice Law and Ruith prejudice in o-defendants, a hereby dismis	iles § 3212, this action, Il claims and	dismissing plaintiffs' and there being no
Attorneys for Plaintiff- Susan C. Barlow Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway - 7th floor New York, NY 10003	Sam V. Rugg Attorneys for BMCE Inc. Marshall, Der Coleman & G 105 Maxess Melville, NY	Defendant nnehey, Wa Goggin Road, Suite	·
SO ORDERED,  Hon. Peter H. M	oulton		

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NE	W YORK	CITY ASE	BESTOS L	ITIGATION
-----------	--------	----------	----------	-----------

FFR 11 2816 COUNTY FILE MES OFFICE

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 105425/99

FRANCES M. SCIOLINO, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of SAMUEL SCIOLINO

> NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 23 ,2015

Attorneys for Plaintiff- 2000 C. Barlaw, Exp Jennifer M. Roberts

Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway - 7th floor

New York, NY 10003

Attorneys for Defendant

BMCE Inc.

Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,

Coleman & Goggin

105 Maxess Road, Suite 303

Melville, NY 11747

SO ORDERED.

### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Ν	RE:	<b>NEW YORK</b>	CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	

FEB 11 2816 COUNTY LEEKIS OFFICE

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANN T. MEZIK, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of RONALD A. MEZIK

Index No. 120142/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

December 23

.2015

Weitz & Luxenberg

New York, NY 10003

Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway - 7th floor

Balan Espennifer M. Roberts

Attorneys for Defendant

BMCE Inc.

Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,

Coleman & Goggin

105 Maxess Road, Suite 303

Melville, NY 11747

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

2/2/16/

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS L	ITIGATION FEE 11 2016
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALAN IRGANG, as Administrator for the DORIS IRGANG, and ALAN IRGNAN, In	Index No. 112676/07
above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Pracomplaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same a	Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the actice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' with prejudice in this action, and there being no co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost
Attorneys for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway - 7th floor New York, NY 10003	Sam V. Ruggeri Attorneys for Defendant BMCE Inc. Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin 105 Maxess Road, Suite 303 Melville, NY 11747
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H.	2/2/16 Moulton

RECEIVED
DEC 17 2015
MDWC&G

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE COUNTY OF NEW YORK	E OF NEW YORK
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTO	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: EDWARD G. CUNNINGHAM III, as Estate of EDWARD G. CUNNINGHA	
merger to Borg-Warner Corporation judgment in the above entitled case, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint again action, and there being no opposition ORDERED, that upon notice	orgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. as successor-by- ("Morse TEC"), hereby requests summary , pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, not defendant, Morse TEC, with prejudice in this in thereto, to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice
Matthew T. Muse Intyre  Attorneys for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway - 7th floor New York, NY 10003	Colleen M. Cronin Attorneys for Defendant BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin 105 Maxess Road, Suite 303 Melville, NY 11747
SO ORDERED, Hon. Pete	2 3 16 FEB 0 1 2016

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NE COUNTY OF NEW YORK	EW YORK		
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITI	0.01		
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  EDWARD G. CUNNINGHAM III, as Executor Estate of EDWARD G. CUNNINGHAM	COUNTY INC. SEFICE Index No. 111050/98 or for the 111462/98  NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER		
WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.			
DATED: 127,20  Matthew T. Mae Intyre  Attorneys for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway - 7th floor New York, NY 10003	Colleen M. Cronin Attorneys for Defendant BMCE Inc. Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,		
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. M	Coleman & Goggin 105 Maxess Road, Suite 303 Melville, NY 11747  January 11747  January 11747		

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	YORK
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGAT	TION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ANTHONY R. BISULCA	FE) 11 2816 Index No. <u>194475/99</u> ERIC'S OFFIC 104745/99 YORK
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice L complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with p opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-de	
Weitz & Luxenberg At 700 Broadway - 7th floor Bl New York, NY 10003 Co	am V. Ruggeri ttorneys for Defendant MCE Inc. arshall, Dennehey, Warner, oleman & Goggin 05 Maxess Road, Suite 303 elville, NY 11747
SO ORDERED,  Hon. Peter H. Moult	ON  PER U 1 2016  NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR COUNTY OF NEW YORK	RK
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	)N
This Document Relates To:  MARY WOJCIEWCHOWSKI, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of John L. Wojciewchowski,  Plaintiffs,  -against-  A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,  Defendants.	: NYCAL : I.A.S. Part 50 : (Hon. Peter H. Moulton)
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. h	••
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice I plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defe	CO. with prejudice, and there being no
BELLUCK & FOX, LIP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor New York, NY 10036 (212) 681-1375	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq. KAL GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	= 2/2/16 FEB 0 1 2016

RECEIVED
FEB 01 2016
K&LGATES

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	1 1
This Document Relates To:	Fr. J. L. T.
GENE SPINELLI and JOAN SPINELLI,	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NYCAL
Plaintiff(s),	I.A.S. Part 50 (Hon. Peter H. Moulton)
-against-	Index No.: 190488/2011
A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, Individually and as Successor to Easco Boiler Corp., et al,	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	V
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. her	**
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice La	w and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE C	O. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend	dants, all claims and cross claims against
Dated: New York, New York	ismissed with prejudice and without costs.
BELLUCK & FOX/LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl. New York, NY 10036 (212) 681-1575  KATONIE ST. N. C. I.	Exten Alford Kneis, Esq.  &L GATES LLP  Etorneys for Defendant  RANE CO.  9 Lexington Avenue  ew York, NY 10022-6030  12) 536-3900
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	-2/2/16 / Constant

RECEIVED
FEB 012016
K&LGATES

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW Y COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGAT	TION FE3 / 2016	
This Document Relates To:	: NYCAL COUNTY CLERK'S UPFICE	
ARNOLD and FRIEDA WALLICK,	: I.A.S. Part 50 : (Hon. Peter H. Moulton)	
Plaintiffs,	: Index No.: 120561-02	
-against-	: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY	
AQUA-CHEM, INC., et al.,	: JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER :	
Defendants.	: :	
	: x	
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO.	. hereby requests summary judgment in the	
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice	Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing	
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANI	E CO. with prejudice, and there being no	
opposition thereto,		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-de	efendants, all claims and cross claims against	
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereb	by, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.	
Dated: New York, New York		
	Ja Kheis	
Jordan C. Fox, Esq. BELLUCK & FOX, LVF	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq. K&L GATES LLP	
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)	Actorneys for Defendant	
546 Fifth Avenue, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10036	CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue	
(212) 681-1575	New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900	

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
FEB 01 2016
K&L GATES

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGAT	ION FEB 1 1 2016
This Document Relates To:  MICHAEL WALSH, as Executor of the Estate of THOMAS WALSH,  Plaintiffs,  -against-  A.C. & S., INC., et al.,  Defendants.	: NYCAL : I.A.S. Part 50 UNTY CLERM'S OFFICE : (Hon. Peter H. Moulton) : : Index No.: 107544-02 : NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY : JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO.	x hereby requests summary judgment in the
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby	CO. with prejudice, and there being not
Dated: Jebrusty 2, 2016 New York, New York  Jordan C. Fox, Esq. BELLUCK & FOX, ILIP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor New York, NY 10036 (212) 681-1575  SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq. K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900

RECEIVED

K&LGATES

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGAT	ION FER 11 2016
This Document Relates To:  PHYLLIS N. SEGAL, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of ELI J. SEGAL,  Plaintiffs,  -against-	: NYCAL COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE : I.A.S. Part 50 : (Hon. Peter H. Moulton) : : Index No.: 113056-06 : : NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY : JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,  Defendants.	:
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-ded defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby Dated:  Dated:  New York, New York  Jordan C. Fox, Expl.  BELLUCK & FOX LLP  Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  546 Fifth Avenue, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor  New York NY 10036 (212) 681-1575	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq. K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton

(13 d 1 2015

A NO LE TROIT

RECEIVED
FEB 012016
K&LGATES

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	First the second
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGA	ATION FEB 11 2016
This Document Relates To:  VICTOR SILVERMAN and ELIZABETH SILVERMAN,  Plaintiffs,  -against-	: NYCAL : I.A.S. Part 50 : (Hon. Peter H. Moulton) : : Index No.: 110901-04 : : NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY : JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,  Defendants.	: : : :
opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-	-defendants, all claims and cross claims agains
•	

RECEIVED
FEB 012016
K&L GATES

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATIO	PEB 11 2016
	-X : NYCAL COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE : I.A.S. Part 50 : (Hon. Peter H. Moulton) : : Index No.: 116577-06
Plaintiffs, -against-	: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY : JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER :
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,	; ; ,
Defendants.	-Y
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. h	11
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice L	aw and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE	CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defe	ndants, all claims and cross claims against
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 546 Fifth Avenue, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10036 (212) 681-1575	Kirster Alford Kneis, Esq. K&L GATES LLP Antorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	= 2 2 16 / FULL 1016

RECEIVED
FEB 012016
K&LGATES

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YO COUNTY OF NEW YORK	The form the second
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	FFR   1 2010
This Document Relates To:  DUANE E. RICHARDSON, as Executor of the Estate of EUGENE E. RICHARDSON,  Plaintiffs,  -against-  A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,	ONxxxxxxxxx
Defendants.	: :
WHEREFORE defendant CRANE CO	hereby requests summary judgment in the
•	endants, all claims and cross claims against
Dated:  Dated:  New York, New York  Jordan C. Fox Esq  BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 546 Fifth Avenue, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor  New York, NY 10036 (212) 681-1575	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq. K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	== 2/2/16 /FEB 9 1 2016

Kergvles

FEB 01 2016

BECEINED

	JRK
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATI	ON FEB 11 2016
This Document Relates To:	x : NYCAL COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE : I.A.S. Part 50
HARRY McDANIEL and MARY MCDANIEL,	: (Hon. Peter H. Moulton)
Plaintiffs,	: Index No.: 108655-03
-against-	: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,	: JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER :
Defendants.	
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE	
opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-def defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby	fendants, all claims and cross claims against

RECEIVED
FEB 012016
K&LGATES


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATI	ON CER 11 2016
This Document Relates To:  MARY M. KING, as Fiduciary of the Estate of JOHN J. KING, and MARY M. KING, Individually,	: NYCAL COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE : I.A.S. Part 50 : (Hon. Peter H. Moulton) : Index No.: 111711-04
Plaintiffs, -against-	<ul> <li>NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY</li> <li>JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</li> <li>:</li> </ul>
AMERICAN STANDARD, et al.,	; ;
Defendants.	X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO.	hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice	Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE	CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-det	fendants, all claims and cross claims against
Dated: Device Vol.  Dated: New York New York  Jordan C. Fox, Esq. BELLUCK & MOX, LLP  Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 546 Fifth Avenue, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10036 (212) 681-1575	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq. K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	2/2/16 F23 0 1 2016

RECEIVED
FEB 012016
K&LGATES

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGAT	res 11
This Document Relates To:  DIANE DEFRANCISI, as Executrix of the Estate of LEONARD DEFRANCISI and DIANE DEFRANCISI, Individually,  Plaintiffs,  -against-  A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,	ION x  : NYCAL COUNTY CLERK : I.A.S. Part 50 : (Hon. Peter H. Moulton) : : Index No.: 110682-06 : : NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY : JUDGMENT MOTION AND OF
Defendants.  WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO.	••
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice	Law and Rules Section 3212, disr
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-de defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby	CO. with prejudice, and there be

RECEIVED
FEB 0 1 2016
K&LGATES

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YO COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATIO	
This Document Relates To:  GINGER M. WOOD, as Executrix of the Estate of	x FEB 1 1 2016 : NYCAL : I.A.S. Part 50 COUNTY CLERK'S OF FICE
HENRY L. WOOD, Deceased,  Plaintiffs,  -against-	<ul> <li>: Index No.: 101027-06</li> <li>: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY</li> <li>: JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</li> </ul>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,  Defendants.	: : :
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. 1	<del></del>
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice l	Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE	CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defe	endants, all claims and cross claims against
BEILUCK & FOX, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 546 Fifth Avenue, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10036 (212) 681-1575	Kirster Alford Kneis, Esq. K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant ORANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	-2/9/14/E301700



, s. (1)

. . .

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YO	)RK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATI	process of the proces
This Document Relates To:	x : NYCAL FEB 1 1 2016
LAWRENCE M. DALLAND, SR.,	: (Hon. Peter H. Moulton) COUNTY CLERK'S OF
Plaintiffs,	: NEW YORK : Index No.: 101028-06
-against-	: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
3M COMPANY, et al.,	: JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER :
Defendants.	; ;
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby	CO. with prejudice, and there being no fendants, all claims and cross claims against
Dated: Tebluary 1, 2016 New York, New York  Jordan C. Fox, Esq. BELLUCK & FOX, LLP	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq. K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

KSF CYC.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION FEB 11 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE This Document Relates To: : NYCAL : I.A.S. Part 50 THOMAS LEAHY and EVELYN LEAHY, (Hon. Peter H. Moulton) Plaintiffs, Index No.: 190162-09 -against-NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER 3M COMPANY, et al., Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

ork, New York

Jordan C. Fox

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10036

(212) 681-**V**575

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.

K&L GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

CRANE CO.

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022-6030

(212) 536-3900

RECEIVED
HEB GAZUM
K&L GAZES

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF N COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
GENE SPINELLI and JOAN SPINELLI,	Index No.:190488/11
Plaintiffs, - against –  A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, Individually an Successor to Easco Boiler Corp., ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan a Copes-Vulcan, et al.,	JUDGMENT Motion
Defendants.	V
"ELECTROLUX") hereby requests sum case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Elbeing no opposition thereto.  ORDERED, that upon notice to all claims against defendant, ELECTROLUX dismissed with prejudice and without costs Dated:  White Flains, New York	pan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter mary judgment in the above-entitled and Rules Section 3212, dismissing LECTROLUX, with prejudice, and there co-defendants, all claims and cross be and the same are hereby s.
Jordan C. Fox, Esq. Belluck & Fox, LLII Attorneys for Plaintiff 546 Fifth Ave., 49 FI New York, New York 10036 (212) 681-1575  So Ordered:  HON. PETER H. MOULTON	CYNTHIA K MESSEMER, ESQ. Hodges Walsh & Messemer LLP Attorneys for Defendant ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan 55 Church Street, Suite Tappan White Plains, NY 1060127 Tel: 914-385-6000

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF N COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
GENE SPINELLI and JOAN SPINELLI,	Index No.:190488/11	
Plaintiffs, - against –	NO ODDOSITION	
A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, Individually an Successor to Easco Boiler Corp., SPIRAX SARCO, INC., et al.,	NO OPPOSITION ad as SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION	
Defendants.	:=======X	
WHEREFORE, Defendant, SPIRAX SARCO, INC. (hereinafter		
"SPIRAX SARCO") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled		
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing		
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, SPIRAX SARCO, with prejudice, and		
there being no opposition thereto.		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross		
claims against defendant, SPIRAX SARCO, be and the same are hereby		
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.		
Dated: White Plains, New York	<del>.</del>	
Jan. 26 1, 2018		
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.	CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.	
Belluck & Fox, L//P	Hodges Walsh & Messemer, LLP Attorneys for Defendant	
546 Fifth Ave., 4 <sup>th</sup> FI	SPIRAX SARCO, INC.	
New York, New York 10036 (212) 681-1575	55 Church Street, Suite 211 White Plains, NY 10601	
(= 12, 20 1 10 10	Tel: 914-385-6000	
So Ordered:	J.S.C. 2/2/16	
HON. PETER H. MOULTON		
	JORK 100	
	Land Mark Market Company	

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

FEB II 201

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Moulton, ♣?)

INDEX NO.: 99-121985

This Document Relates to:

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

MILDRED GALANO, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF CRESCENZO GALANO AND MILDRED GALANO, INDIVIDUALLY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

against

A.C.&S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** 

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kohler Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kohler Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kohler Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 22, 2015 New York, New York

ML2/HA SHAH, ESQ.

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN

**DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP** 

Attorneys for Defendant,

Kohler Co.

48 Wall Street, Suite 1100

New York, New York 10005

PETER TAMBINI, ESQ. DUSAN (...

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),

MILDRED GALANO, as Personal

Representative for the Estate of CRESENZO

GALANO, and MILDRED GALANO,

Individually,

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street Suite 1100 New York, NY

SO ORDERED:



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK -----x NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 IN RE: NEW YORK CITY (Moulton) ASBESTOS LITIGATION FEB 11 2016 This Document Relates to: COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE : Index No.: 105465/99 **NEW YORK** TENORE, VALENTE, : NO OPPOSITION Plaintiff(s), : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION : AND ORDER v. : AS TO DEFENDANT WHITING CORPORATION, et al. : WHITING CORPORATION Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Whiting Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Whiting Corporation with prejudice, and there

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Whiting Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

New York, New York

Matt MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

being no opposition thereto,

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Marissa C. Nardi, Esq.

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Whiting Corporation

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166

D: (212) 294-3527

F: (212) 294-4700

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

2016

. . . .

#### **AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE**

I, Marissa C. Nardi, state that I am an attorney in good standing and admitted to practice in the courts of the State of New York, and that on January 27, 2016, I served a copy of the foregoing Defendant's Notice of No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order and No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order upon the following counsel:

- 1. Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 Attorney for Plaintiff
- 2. All Defense Counsel in the New York City Asbestos Litigation

I hereby affirm the foregoing statements to be true under the penalties of perjury

Dated: January 27, 2016

Marissa C. Nardi, Esq.

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Whiting Corp.

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166

mnardi@winston.com

(212) 294-3527 D: F:

(212) 294-4700

Index No.: 105465/99

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

TENORE, VALENTE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

WHITING CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT WHITING CORPORATION

# **WINSTON & STRAWN LLP**

MARISSA C. NARDI, ESQ.

Attorneys for Defendant Whiting Corporation

Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 200 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10166-4193 (212) 294-6700

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton) FEB 1 1 2016
This Document Relates to:	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
LA DELIA, JAMES,	
Plaintiff(s), : v. :	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHITING CORPORATION, et al. :	AS TO DEFENDANT WHITING CORPORATION
Defendants. :	
WHEREFORE defendant Whiting	Corporation hereby requests summary

judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Whiting Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Whiting Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyr

Dated: Jan 19, 2016

Matt MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Marissa C. Nardi, Esq.

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Whiting Corporation

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166

D: (212) 294-3527

F: (212) 294-4700

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

#### **AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE**

I, Marissa C. Nardi, state that I am an attorney in good standing and admitted to practice in the courts of the State of New York, and that on January 27, 2016, I served a copy of the foregoing Defendant's Notice of No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order and No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order upon the following counsel:

- 1. Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 Attorney for Plaintiff
- 2. All Defense Counsel in the New York City Asbestos Litigation

I hereby affirm the foregoing statements to be true under the penalties of perjury

Dated: January 27, 2016

Marissa C. Nardi, Esq.

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Whiting Corp.

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166

mnardi@winston.com

F: (2)

D:

(212) 294-3527 (212) 294-4700 Index No.: 121776/97

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

**COUNTY OF NEW YORK** 

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

LA DELIA, JAMES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

WHITING CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT WHITING CORPORATION

### **WINSTON & STRAWN LLP**

MARISSA C. NARDI, ESQ.

Attorneys for Defendant Whiting Corporation

Office and Post Office Address, Telephone
200 PARK AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10166-4193
(212) 294-6700

NY:1785236.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK -----x NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Moulton) FEB 11 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK This Document Relates to: : Index No.: 104954/99 BRADSHAW, JOHN JOSEPH, Plaintiff(s), : NO OPPOSITION : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION v. : AND ORDER : AS TO DEFENDANT : WHITING CORPORATION WHITING CORPORATION, et al. Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Whiting Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Whiting Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Whiting Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

New York, New York

Dated: Jan 16, 2016

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Matt MacIntyre, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG** 

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Marissa C. Nardi, Esq.

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Whiting Corporation

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166

D: (212) 294-3527

F: (212) 294-4700

SO ORDERED,

#### **AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE**

I, Marissa C. Nardi, state that I am an attorney in good standing and admitted to practice in the courts of the State of New York, and that on January 27, 2016, I served a copy of the foregoing Defendant's Notice of No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order and No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order upon the following counsel:

- Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
  Weitz & Luxenberg
  700 Broadway
  New York, NY 10003
  Attorney for Plaintiff
- 2. All Defense Counsel in the New York City Asbestos Litigation

I hereby affirm the foregoing statements to be true under the penalties of perjury

Dated: January 27, 2016

Marissa C. Nardi, Esq.

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Whiting Corp.

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166

mnardi@winston.com

D: (212) 294-3527

F: (212) 294-4700

Index No.: 104954/99

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

BRADSHAW, JOHN JOSEPH, Plaintiff(s),

-against-

WHITING CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT WHITING CORPORATION

## WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

MARISSA C. NARDI, ESQ.

Attorneys for Defendant Whiting Corporation

Office and Post Office Address, Telephone
200 PARK AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10166-4193
(212) 294-6700

X:CLB/55457./legal/NOSJM/november2015 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YO COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
JOSEPH LUCENT and DOLORES LUCENT,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY	
Plaintiff(s),	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
- against -	Index No.: 109348/11	
A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.;	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 ≤ ⊃	
Defendants.	·	
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BRO	OKS, INC. sued herein as "Aqua Chem, Inc.	
hereby request summary judgment in the above-entit	led case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and	
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS,		
INC. sued herein as "Aqua Chem, Inc. with prejudice	e, and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend	ants, all claims and cross claims against	
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC., sued herein	as "Aqua Chem, Inc. be and the same are	
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.		
Attorney for Plaintiffs Locks Law Firm/Morgan & Morgan 747 Third Avenue New York, New York 10017	Suzanne Habardier, Esq. Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 313-3600	

X:FBW/44583./legal/NOSJM/OCTOBER2015			
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK			
JOSEPH A. IANNUZZELLI,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY		
Plaintiff(s),	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER		
- against -	Index No.: 120397/01		
A.C. & S. INC., et al.;	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50		
Defendants.	I.A.B. I alt 30		
WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER W	ORKS, INC. hereby request summary		
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Pra	ctice Law and Rules Section 3212,		
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULT	ON BOILER WORKS INC. with		
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,			
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with			
Attorney for Plaintiffs Weitz & Luxenberg Barry 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 New	rneys for: Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.  y McTiernan & Moore ctor Street, 14 <sup>th</sup> Floor York, New York 10006 ) 313-3600		
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter Moulton	FEB 11 2016 NEW YORK OF FICE		

DUR57097/dismissals/nosim/aug 2010	Case Case Case
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	FEB 1 1 2016
ROBERT PLITSCH,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY'S OFFICE JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORK ORDER
Plaintiff,	
- against -	Index No.: 109367-99
DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 50
Defendants.	
X	

judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant *DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY* with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

WHEREFORE, defendant, DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY hereby request summary

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant *DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY*, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Early Lucarelli & Meisenkothen 360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, NY 10017

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.

Attorneys for Durox Equipment Company

Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED.

Hen Peter Moulton

DUR57268/DISMISSALS/NOSJM		
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR COUNTY OF NEW YORK	FEB 11 2016	
DOMINIC ESPOSITO,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY RK'S OF FICE JUDGMENT MOTION AND YORK ORDER	
Plaintiff, - against -	Index No.: 109603-99	
A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, et al.;  Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50	
Defendants.	-X	
WHEREFORE, defendant, DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY hereby request summary		
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,		
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY with		
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against		
defendant DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY, be a	and the same are hereby dismissed with	
prejudice and without costs.		
Attorneys for Plaintiff  Early Lucarelli & Meisenkothen  360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor  New York, NY 10017	Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq. Attorneys for <i>Durox Equipment Company</i> Barry McTiernan & Moore Rector Street, 14 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10006 212) 313-3600	

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter Moulton

DUR57269/dismissals/nosim/aug2005		
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	2014	
FRANK APPELL,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
Plaintiff,		
- against -	Index No.: 108526-99	
DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50	
Defendants.		
X		
WHEREFORE, defendant, DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY hereby request summary		

in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant *DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY* with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant *DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY*, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Brian Early, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Early Lucarelli & Meisenthekothen 360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10017

Suzaime M. Halbardier, Esq.

Attorneys for Durox Equipment Company

Barry McTiernan & Moore

2 Rector Street, 14th Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon Peter Moulton

DURSZ467/dismissals/NOSJM	
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	EEB 11 2016
FRANK PERRELLI,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY OFFICE JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Plaintiff,	
- against -	Index No.: 119993-00
DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50
Defendants.	I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant, *DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY* hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant *DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY* with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant *DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY*, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Brian Eary, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff

Early Lucarelli & Meisenkothen 360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10017

Suzanno M. Halbardier, Esq.

Attorneys for Durox Equipment Company

Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hop Peter Moulton

DUR57124/dismissals/nosim/aug2005	
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOU COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
STEPHEN SPODNIK,	NO OPPOSITION SUMM JUDGMENT MOTION AI ORDER
Plaintiff, - against -	Index No.: 109394-99
DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY,  Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 3050 X
WHEREFORE, defendant, DUROX EQUIPA	MENT COMPANY hereby request s
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civi	l Practice Law and Rules Section 32
diameterine ulciusissel communicat acciues descurdant DE	UDAY FAUDMENT CAMPANY

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Brian Early, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Early Lucarelli & Meisenkothen 360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, NY 10017

Suzame M. Halbardier, Esq.

Attorneys for Durox Equipment Company

Barry McTiernan & Moore

2 Rector Street, 14th Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED

ND NEW YORK

summary

212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DUROX EQUIPMENT COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
JOHN J. CURLEY,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
Plaintiff(s),	ORDER
- against -	Index No.: 104830/02 & 120398/0
A.C. & S. INC., et al.;	NYCAL
Defendants.	I.A.S. Part 50
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil F dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FUI prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendant defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and prejudice and without costs.	LTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with
Matthew T. Mac Intyre	

Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003

Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter Moulton

X: FBW/39212/dismissals/nosjm/august 2015			
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER  Index No.: 190066/08 109 247-40		
RALPH A. MINERVINO and ANN P. ROBINSON As Co-Executors for the Estate of JOSEPH L. MINERVINO, Plaintiff(s),			
- against -			
A.C. & S. INC., et al.;  Defendants. X	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50		
WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary			
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Pra	actice Law and Rules Section 3212,		
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with			
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,			
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against			
defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the	ne same are hereby dismissed with		
prejudice and without costs.			
Matthew T. Mar Intyre			
Attorney for Plaintiffs Weitz & Luxenberg Plaintiffs Weitz & Luxenberg Remark	on Feld, Esq.  orneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.  ry McTiernan & Moore  ector Street, 14 <sup>th</sup> Floor  v York, New York 10006  2) 313-3600		
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter Moulton	FEB 1 2016 NEW YORK OFF		

X:CLB/58520/dismissals/nosjm/august 2015 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK RALPH A. MINERVINO and ANN P. ROBINSON NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY As Co-Executors for the Estate of JOSEPH L. JUDGMENT MOTION AND MINERVINO. **ORDER** Plaintiff(s), Index No.: 109247/00 - against -A.C. & S. INC., et al.; NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. Matthew T. Mue Intyre
Frank Ortiz, Esq. MacHone T. Mac Fortyre Shawnette Fluitt, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. Barry McTiernan & Moore Weitz & Luxenberg 2 Rector Street, 14th Flood 700 Broadway New York, New York 10906 New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter Moulton

(212) 313-3600

O OPPOSITION SUMMARY UDGMENT MOTION AND RDER
dex No.: 123950/01 & 120390/03
YCAL A.S. Part 50

judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew T. Mar Int

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Mc & thew

Attorney for Plaintiffs Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Macatyre Brian Feld, Esq.

Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.

Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter Moulton

X:CLB/58889/DISMISSALS/NOSJM		
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
HELEN SAMUDIO, as Personal representative for the Estate of NORBERTO SAMUDIO and HELEN SAMUDIO, Individually,  Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
- against -	Index No.: 123950/01 & 120390/01	
A.C.&S. INC., et al.;  Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50	
X		
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS	, INC. hereby request summary	
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practical	ctice Law and Rules Section 3212,	
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEA	VER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice,	
and there being no opposition thereto,		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against		
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and		
without costs.		
•		
Matthew T. Mac Satyre		
Weitz & Luxenberg  700 Broadway  New York, New York 10003  Barry  2 Rec  New	mette Fluitt, Esq. meys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. McTiernan & Moore ctor Street, 14 <sup>th</sup> Floor York, New York 10006	
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter Moulton 1/29/16.	FEB I JOIL	

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GAIL HERLIHY, individually and as Executrix of the Estate of ARTHUR HERLIHY.

plaintiff,

-against-

A.F. SUPPLY CORP., et al.,

defendants.

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30' 50 (Heitler, J.)


Index No.: 190149/2011

NO OPPOSITION PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC., individually and as successor to Utica Boilers, Inc., Utica Radiator Corporation, Dunkirk Boilers, Pennco, Inc. and Olsen Technology Inc., hereby requests partial summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. for the Utica Boilers, Inc. and Utica Radiator Corporation product lines only with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. for the Utica Boilers, Inc. and Utica Radiator Corporation product lines only are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs,

FURTHER ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. for the Dunkirk Boilers, Pennco, Inc. and Olsen Technology



RE: GAIL HERLIHY, individually and as Executrix of the Estate of ARTHUR HERLIHY NYCAL Index No.: 190149/2011

Inc. product lines are not subject to this no opposition partial summary judgment motion and remain in the above entitled case.

Andrew Grous, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff

Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A. 110 Williams Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10038

(212) 267-3091

٠,

Joseph Digregorio, Esq.

Atterneys for Defendant Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Ion. Sherry Klein Heitler

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

FEB II 10H4
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
HEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_X

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALI NASOUTI AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF JAHANGIR DEHKORDI,

Index No.: 11-190147

Plaintiff(s),

1 14111111(3)

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

ABB, INC. (sued as successor-in-interest to ITE IMPERIAL CO f/k/a ITE CIRCUIT BREAKER COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, GENERAL CABLE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, GENERAL CABLE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL CABLE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

1/22/10

in a y

Mark Bibro, Esq. Brian Earl

The Early Law Firm, LLC Attorneys for plaintiff(s)

360 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10017

(212) 986-2233

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq. Pascarella DiVita, PLLC

Attorney for Defendant

General Cable Technologies Corporation

2137 Route 35, Suite 290

Holmdel, New Jersey 07733

(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED

Judge Peter H. Moulton

1/29/16

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		FEB 1 1 2016
ALI NASOUTI AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF JAHANGIR DEHKORDI,	Index No.: 11-190147	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
Plaintiff(s), -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUM JUDGMENT MOTION ORDER	
ABB, INC. (sued as successor-in-interest to ITE IMPERIAL CO f/k/a ITE CIRCUIT BREAKER COMPANY, et al.		
Defendants.		
WHEREFORE, defendant, ALCAN PRODUC	IS CORPORATION hereb	y requests summary
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil F	Practice Law and Rules Sect	tion 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendants, ALCAN PRODU	UCTS CORPORATION with	h prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereo,		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendan	ts, all claims and cross clai	ms against defendant
ALCAN PRODUCTS CORPORATION be and the same	are hereby dismissed with p	prejudice and without
Dated: 1 ZZ/IU  New York, New York		
Mark Bibro, Esq. Brian Early The Early Law Firm, LLC Attorneys for plaintiff(s) 360 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10017	Pascarella, Es Pascarella DiVita, PL Attorney for Defendar ALCAN PRODUCTS 2137 Route 35, Suite	LC nt CORPORATION

Holmdel, New Jersey 07733 (732) 837-9019

(212) 986-2233

Judge Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 11 2016

CYNTHIA MAGILL, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATRIC TO THE ESTATE OF OTIS CORTHELL,

Index No.: 190214-09

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK** 

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

John P. Guinan, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP Attorneys for plaintiff(s) 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, New York 10022 (212) 605-6200

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq. Pascarella DiVita, PLLC Attorney for Defendant TRANE US, INC. 2137 Route 35, Suite 290 Holmdel, New Jersey 07733 (732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

Judge Peter H. Moulton

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **HEW YORK** 

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

GENNARO AZZARONE, as Administrator of the Estate of NICOLA AZZARONE, deceased,

Index No.: 190137-10

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

ALLIS CHALMERS CORPORATION PRODUCT LIABILITY TRUST, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

New York

John P. Guinan, Esq. Levy Konigsberg, LLP Attorneys for plaintiff(s) 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, New York 10022 (212) 605-6200

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq. Pascarella DiVita, PLLC Attorney for Defendant TRANE US, INC. 2137 Route 35, Suite 290 Holmdel, New Jersey 07733 (732) 837-9019

	i
X: FBW/33304./dismissals/nosim/SEPTEMBER2015	
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	' FEB   1 2016
WILLIAM EDWARD DOUGHTY,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARYS OFFICE
Plaintiff(s),	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORK ORDER
- against -	Index No.: 113057/98 & 106818/99
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.;	NYCAL
Defendants.	I.A.S. Part 30 SD
WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER V judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Prodismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the prejudice and without costs.	actice Law and Rules Section 3212,  FON BOILER WORKS, INC. with  s, all claims and cross claims against
	liam Cooney, Esq

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc. Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Heitler, J.)

JOAN A. GILROY, as Administratrix for the Estate of FRANCIS C. GILROY, and JOAN A. GILROY, Individually,

Index No.: 07/101591

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WWDDDDD 1-5--1--- WDDDDD CDD

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

h LLP

endant

ENERATION LLC

FEB 1 1 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Peter Tambini, Esq. NEW YORK WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

(212) 732-2000

New York, NY 10005

SO ORDERED,

John J. Fan: Cullen ind

Attornev

NATIONAL

44 Wall St

HON. PETER H. MOULTON



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION EDWARD WIERBACK, Index No.: 190425/11 Plaintiff, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY - against -JUDGMENT MOTION MANNINGTON MILLS, INC., et al., I.A.S. Part 50 Hon. Peter H. Moulton Defendants. WHEREFORE, Defendant **MANNINGTON** MILLS, INC. (hereinafter "MANNINGTON MILLS"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, MANNINGTON MILLS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

Dated: JANUARY 22, 2016

without costs to either party.

New York, New York

David Chandler, Esq. KARST & von OISTE, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 576 Fifth Avenue, Suite 706

New York, NY 10036

(212) 764-3900

Kevin W. Turbert, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant Mannington Mills, Inc.

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, New York 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE S' COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASE	BESTOS LITIGAT	ION Index No.: 190150/10
LEO REGINALD AKERS,	Plaintiff,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-		MOTION AND ORDER
AFTON PUMPS INC., et al.,		
	Defendants.	I.A.S. Part 50 Hon. Peter H. Moulton
WHEREFORE, Defen		erton Company hereby requests Summary
Judgment in the above-entitled	case, pursuant to	Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint	t against Defendan	t A.W. Chesterton Company, with prejudice,
and there being no opposition th	ereto,	
ORDERED, that upon	notice to all co-de	fendants, all claims and cross claims against
Defendant, A.W. Chesterton Co	mpany, be and the	same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs to either party. T	his stipulation may	be filed without further notice with the Clerk
of the Court. This No Oppos	ition Summary Ju	adgment Motion may be signed in separate
counterparts and facsimile or P	DF signatures shall	ll be demented be design languatures for all
purposes of this motion.		FEB 1 1 2016
Dated: Ol/II/ 2016 New York, New York		COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
A		M. Veretrag
Janet Walsh, Esq.		Madina Axelrod, Esq.
LOCKS LAW FIRM, PLLC Attorneys for Plaintiff		SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
800 Third Avenue, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor		Attorneys for Defendant
New York, New York 10022		A.W. Chesterton Company
(212) 838-3333		850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
		New York, New York 10022 (212) 651-7500
SO ORDERED,	2	1/29/16
BO OKDERED,	Hon. Peter H. Mo	ulton /

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR COUNTY OF NEW YORK	,
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	N Index No.: 1 <b>69</b> 348/11
JOSEPH LUCENT and DOLORES LUCENT,	
Plaintiff, -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY., et al.,	I A G D 50
Defendants.	I.A.S. Part 50  Hon. Peter H. Moulton
WHEREFORE, Defendant A.W. Chesterto	on Company hereby requests Summary
Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civ	ril Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant A	.W. Chesterton Company, with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defen	dants, all claims and cross claims against
Defendant, A.W. Chesterton Company, be and the san	ne are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs to either party. This stipulation may be	filed without further notice with the Clerk
of the Court. This No Opposition Summary Judge	ment Motion may be signed in separate
counterparts and facsimile or PDF signatures shall b	e deemed to be original signatures for all
purposes of this motion.	
Dated: 0 / 11 / 2016 FEB 1 1 New York, New York	2010
COUNTY CLER	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Jane Walsh, Esq. M	adina Axelrod, Esq.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	EGAL McCAMBRIDGE INGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
800 Third Avenue, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	torneys for Defendant
	.W. Chesterton Company 50 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
	ew York, New York 10022
(2	12) 651-7500
SO ORDERED,	1/24/16 1000
Hon. Peter H. Moulto	n / /
	1 1 1 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
	201 <b>3</b>

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK Index No.: 113102/98 In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION CHRISTIAN MERRILL, Plaintiff(s), NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT **MOTION** - against -FILED WEIL-MCLAIN, et al., Defendants. FEB 1 1 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN here the Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party. New York, New York Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. Suscen C. Scorlow Jennifer L SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. 700 Broadway Attorneys for Defendant New York, NY 10003 Weil-McLain 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 (212) 558-5500 New York, NY 10022 (212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION	Index No.: 190508/11
HENRY J. ROKOSZAK,	
Plaintiff(s), - against -	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,	•
Defendants.	- Commence of the Commence of
plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McL opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby to either party.	dants, all claims and cross claims agains
Dated: YNUARY 13, 2016 New York, New York	FEB 1 1 2016
Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500  Attorney Weil-Mo 850 Th New York (212) 65	McCAMBRIDGE R & MAHONEY, LTD. ys for Defendant cLain ird Avenue, Suite 1100 ork, NY 10022
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	14/16

SUPREME COURT OF THE STACOUNTY OF NEW YORK	TE OF NEW YORK	
In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBEST	OS LITIGATION	Index No.: 125770/99
RAYMOND MICHAEL WACHO	WICZ,	
- against -	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,		
	Defendants.	
opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon no	tice to all co-defen	dants, all claims and cross claims against dismissed with prejudice and without costs
Dated: January 12, 2016 New York, New York  Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. Susan WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500  SO ORDERED,	c. Barlow Jennifer SEGAL SINGE	McCAMBRIDGE R & MAHONEY, LTD. Average for Defendant ELain Ard Avenue, Suite 1100  FIRST 11 2016
Ilon. Peter H.	Moulton	1110

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB II 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CAROLE CLARKE, Individually and SUSAN CLARKE as Executrix for the Estate of GERARD M. CLARKE

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Index No: 107334/2008

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND **ORDER** 

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New, York, New York

-Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. Phan Alvarado Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW Y COUNTY OF NEW YORK	ORK
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGAT	TION FEB 1 1 2016
This Document Relates To:	: COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE : NEW YORK
Individually,	: NYCAL : I.A.S. Part 30 50 : (Hon. Peter H. Moulton)
-against-	: Index No(s).: 190014-12
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,  Defendants.	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant SCHNEIDE	R ELECTRIC USA, INC., formerly known as
Square D Company, (hereinafter "SQUARE D"),	hereby requests summary judgment in the above-
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and R	ules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint
against defendant SQUARE D with prejudice, and	there being no opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-	-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant SQUARE D be, and the same are hereby	y, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.
Dated: Jan 19 2016 New York, New York  Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500	Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  K&L GATES LLP  Attorneys for Defendant  SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.,  (f/k/a SQUARE D COMPANY)  599 Lexington Avenue  New York, NY 10022-6030  (212) 536-3900
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	1/29/16   MICHANIED   MAN 37 2016

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	general and an experience of the second and the sec
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIG.	ATION ECR 11 701L
This Document Relates To:	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
WILLIAM T. CRUGER and ALEXIS CRUGER,	: NYCAL : I.A.S. Part 50 : (Hon. Peter H. Moulton)
Plaintiff(s),	: Index No.: 190237-11
-against-	: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,	: JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER :
WHEREFORE, defendant SCHNEIDE	ER ELECTRIC USA, INC., formerly known as
Square D Company, (hereinafter "SQUARE I	O"), hereby requests summary judgment in the

Square D Company, (hereinafter "SQUARE D"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant SQUARE D with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SQUARE D be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Two 19 2016
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Angela DiGiglio, Esq.

K&L GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.,

(f/k/a SQUARE D COMPANY)

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022-6030

(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FFB 11 2016

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK** 

This Document Relates To:

MARGREE WOODS, as Executrix for the Estate of ELLIS WOODS, and MARGREE WOODS, Individually,

Index No.: 112524-98

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.

**Defendants** 

WHEREFORE, defendant Marine Transport Lines, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Marine Transport Lines, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Marine Transport Lines, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Thomas M. Canevari, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Marine Transport Lines FREEHILL, HOGAN & MAHAR LLP

80 Pine Street New York, NY 10005-1759

(212) 381-3017

Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5791

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10003

SO ORDERED. Hon, Peter H, Moulton



536.15424/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 11 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

GIOVANNI COLONNA and ASSUNTA COLONNA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 127887/02 102153/03

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

SUSAN C. BARLOW, ESO

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESO.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

Monorable Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

SUPREMI COUNTY	E COURT OF THE STATE OF OF NEW YORK	F NEW YORK	) I	
		EFR LL	201h	NYCAL
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	COUNTY CLERIN	S OFFICE	I.A.S. Part 30 5 0 (Moulton, P.)
				ex No. 00-106451
THIS DOO November	CUMENT RELATES TO: 2015 FIFO case JACK KING		N0 <u>M07</u>	O OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT TION AND ORDER
WI	HEREFORE, defendant Patters	on-Kelley Compan	y, sued here	ein as Patterson-Kelley
Division, l	nereby requests summary judgr	ment in the above-e	ntitled case,	, pursuant to Civil
Practice L	aw and Rules Section 3212, dis	smissing plaintiff's	complaint a	gainst defendant
Patterson-	Kelley Division with prejudice	, and there being no	opposition	thereto,
OF	RDERED, that upon notice to a	ll co-defendants, al	l claims and	cross claims against
defendant	Patterson-Kelley Division, be a	and the same are he	ereby dismis	sed with prejudice and
without co	ests.			
By: M	ew York, NY  , 2015  then T. Mue Intyr  ank Ortiz, Esq. EITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.	Ben	nuel Goldbla jamin R. Dv	wyer Esq.
700 Ne (21	torneys for Plaintiff O Broadway, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor w York, NY 10003 2) 558-5500	Patt Key 40 F Bufi (716		y Company Fountain Plaza za, Suite 500 202
SO ORDE	RED. /		7110114	2

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COUNTY	COURT OF THE STATE OF NOT NEW YORK	EW YORK	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	COUNTY CLERI('S OFFICE A.S. Far (Moulton, NEW YORK Index No. 09-	t 30 50 .P.)
THIS DOC November	EUMENT RELATES TO: 2015 FIFO case WILLIAM KELLER	NO OPPOSI SUMMA JUDGME <u>MOTION AND</u>	RY ENT
WH	IEREFORE, defendant Patterson-	Kelley Company, sued herein as Patte	rson-Kelley
Division, h	ereby requests summary judgmer	t in the above-entitled case, pursuant t	o Civil
Practice La	w and Rules Section 3212, dismi	ssing plaintiff's complaint against defe	ndant
Patterson-k	Kelley Division with prejudice, an	d there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against			
defendant I	Patterson-Kelley Division, be and	the same are hereby dismissed with pr	ejudice and
without cos	ets.		
Dated: Nev	w York, NY		
Frai WE Atto 700 Nev	nk Ortiz, Esq. EITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Drneys for Plaintiff Broadway, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor W York, NY 10003 2) 558-5500	By: Samuel Goldblatt, Esq. Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq. NIXON PEABODY LLP Attorneys for Defendant, Patterson-Kelley Company Key Towers at Fountain Pl 40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 56 Buffalo, NY 14202 (716) 853-8100	aza
SO ORDEI		@/10/16	
	Hon. Peter H. Moulton	/ /	

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF COUNTY OF NEW YORK	FNEWYORK
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL  I.A.S. Part 30' 50  NEW YORK  Index No. 01-119234
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: September 2015 FIFO case ROBERT DUFFY	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
WHEREFORE, defendant Patters	son-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley
Division, hereby requests summary judgr	ment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dis	smissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant
Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice	, and there being no opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to a	ll co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be a	and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.	
Dated: New York, NY	
Frank Ortiz, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500	By: Samuel Goldblatt, Esq. Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq. NIXON PEABODY LLP Attorneys for Defendant, Patterson-Kelley Company Key Towers at Fountain Plaza 40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500 Buffalo, NY 14202 (716) 853-8100
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulto	$\frac{1}{10}$

COUNTY O	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	Control Control	
		EB-11 2016 NYC	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY <sub>COUNT</sub> ASBESTOS LITIGATION	Y CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK (Moulto	nrt 30 50 n, P.)
		Index No. 0	0-112359
THIS DOCU September 20 R	IMENT RELATES TO: 015 FIFO case ICHARD MORRIS	NO OPPOS SUMM JUDGM <u>MOTION AN</u>	ARY IENT
WHE	EREFORE, defendant Patterson-Ko	elley Company, sued herein as Patt	erson-Kelley
Division, her	eby requests summary judgment i	n the above-entitled case, pursuant	to Civil
Practice Law	and Rules Section 3212, dismissi	ng plaintiff's complaint against de	fendant
Patterson-Ke	elley Division with prejudice, and	here being no opposition thereto,	
ORD	ERED, that upon notice to all co-c	lefendants, all claims and cross cla	ims against
defendant Pa	tterson-Kelley Division, be and th	e same are hereby dismissed with	prejudice and
without costs	5.		
Dated: New By: Matt	York, NY, 2015  Lew T. Mue Intyre	By: Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.	<u></u>
WEIT Attor 700 E New	COrtiz, Esq. TZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. neys for Plaintiff Broadway, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor York, NY 10003 558-5500	Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq. NIXON PEABODY LLP Attorneys for Defendant, Patterson-Kelley Compar Key Towers at Fountain 1 40 Fountain Plaza, Suite Buffalo, NY 14202 (716) 853-8100	ıy Plaza
SO ORDERI	ED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	<del></del>	

COLINITY OF	OURT OF THE STATE OF NEW NEW YORK		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY CLERK'S ASBESTOS LITTERTION	bX OFFICE \(	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30' 50 (Moulton, P.) dex No. 97-104235
THIS DOCUL September 20 W	MENT RELATES TO: 15 FIFO case ILLIAM E. OGREK, JR.	N <u>MO</u> 3	O OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT FION AND ORDER
WHE	REFORE, defendant Patterson-Ke	lley Company, sued here	ein as Patterson-Kelley
Division, here	eby requests summary judgment in	the above-entitled case	, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law	and Rules Section 3212, dismissing	g plaintiff's complaint a	against defendant
Patterson-Kel	ley Division with prejudice, and the	nere being no opposition	thereto,
ORDE	ERED, that upon notice to all co-de	efendants, all claims and	l cross claims against
defendant Pat	terson-Kelley Division, be and the	same are hereby dismis	ssed with prejudice and
without costs.			
By: Mattle Frank WEIT Attorn 700 Bi New Y	York, NY  2015  York, NY  Mac Intyre  Ortiz, Esq.  Z & LUXENBERG, P.C.  eys for Plaintiff  roadway, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor  York, NY 10003  558-5500	By: Samuel Goldbla Benjamin R. Do NIXON PEABO Attorneys for D Patterson-Kelle Key Towers at 1 40 Fountain Pla Buffalo, NY 14 (716) 853-8100	yyer Esq. ODY LLP refendant, y Company Fountain Plaza rea, Suite 500
SO ORDERE	D, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	Q/10/16	

	COURT OF THE STATE OF OF NEW YORK	NEW YORK	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	FEB 1.1 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFF NEW YORK	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Moulton, P.)
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION		Index No. 02-126937 03-105600
THIS DOC September	UMENT RELATES TO: 2015 FIFO case ANTHONY PALLONE		NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WH	EREFORE, defendant Patterson	n-Kelley Company, succ	d herein as Patterson-Kelley
Division, he	ereby requests summary judgme	ent in the above-entitled	case, pursuant to Civil
Practice La	w and Rules Section 3212, disn	nissing plaintiff's compl	aint against defendant
Patterson-K	Celley Division with prejudice, a	and there being no oppos	sition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against			
defendant P	Patterson-Kelley Division, be an	d the same are hereby d	ismissed with prejudice and
without cos	ets.		
Dated: Nev	w York, NY		^
Fran WE Atto 700 Nev	nk Ortiz, Esq. ITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. orneys for Plaintiff Broadway, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor v York, NY 10003 2) 558-5500	Benjamin : NIXON PI Attorneys Patterson-I Key Towe	
SO ORDEF	RED, Mon. Peter H. Moulton	2/10/	16

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	ORK A
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY COUNTY CO	(Moulton, P.)  Index No. 97-119411
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: September 2015 FIFO case PAUL BROWN	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelle	y Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley
Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the	ne above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing	plaintiff's complaint against defendant
Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and ther	re being no opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defe	endants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the sa	ame are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.	
Dated: New York, NY	By:  Samuel Goldblatt, Esq. Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq. NIXON PEABODY LLP Attorneys for Defendant, Patterson-Kelley Company Key Towers at Fountain Plaza 40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500 Buffalo, NY 14202 (716) 853-8100

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF N OF NEW YORK	EW YORK	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFIC	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30' 50 (Moulton, P.)
THIS DOO June 2015	CUMENT RELATES TO: FIFO case NICHOLAS MANGINELLI	<u>M(</u>	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT DTION AND ORDER
	HEREFORE, defendant Patterson-		·
Division, i	nereby requests summary judgmen	in the above-entitled cas	se, pursuant to Civil
Practice La	aw and Rules Section 3212, dismis	ssing plaintiff's complain	t against defendant
Patterson-	Kelley Division with prejudice, and	d there being no opposition	on thereto,
OR	DERED, that upon notice to all co	o-defendants, all claims a	nd cross claims against
defendant	Patterson-Kelley Division, be and	the same are hereby dism	nissed with prejudice and
without co	sts.		
By: Market By: Fra	w York, NY	•	Dwyer Esq. BODY LLP Defendant, ley Company It Fountain Plaza Plaza, Suite 500
SO ORDE	RED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	2)10	156

	COURT OF THE STATE OF NE' F NEW YORK	W YORK				
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30  (Moulton, P.) CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK  Index No. 98-113105			
THIS DOCU	MENT RELATES TO: る〜15 チバー LPHONSE R. LITZ	 <u>N</u>	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT IOTION AND ORDER			
	REFORE, defendant Patterson-Kereby requests summary judgment i	* * *	·			
Practice Law	and Rules Section 3212, dismissi	ng plaintiff's complai	nt against defendant			
Patterson-Ke	lley Division with prejudice, and	there being no opposi	tion thereto,			
ORD	ERED, that upon notice to all co-c	defendants, all claims	and cross claims against			
defendant Pa	tterson-Kelley Division, be and th	e same are hereby dis	missed with prejudice and			
without costs	3.					
Frank WEIT Attor 700 B New	York, NY  24 15 , 2016  Ortiz, Esq.  TZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  neys for Plaintiff  Broadway, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor  York, NY 10003  558-5500	NIXON PEA Attorneys for Patterson-Ko Key Towers	. Dwyer Esq. ABODY LLP or Defendant, elley Company at Fountain Plaza Plaza, Suite 500 14202			
SO ORDERI	ED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	2/10/16	SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton			

This Document Applies to: SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MARY M. KING, as Fiduciary of the Estate of JOHN J. KING, and MARY M. KING, individually,

FEB 11 2016

- . <del>-</del>

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

vs.

NYCAL NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. Index No.: 111711/2004

GENUINE PARTS COMPANY, et al.,

Plaintiff.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Genuine Parts Company** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against **Genuine Parts Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Genuine Parts Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

BELLUCK & ROX/LLP

Jordan C. Fox, Es

Attorneys for Plaintiffs | 546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10036

Dated: Buffalo, New York

(2016

BARCLAY DAMON, LLP

Peter S. Marlette, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant Genuine Parts Company

The Avant Building, Suite 1200

200 Delaware Avenue Buffalo NY 14202-2150

SO ORDERED:

Hon Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

2/9/16

Doc #2029577.1 RECEIVED NYS SUPREMÉ CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

FEB **08** 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

FEB 1-1 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

GEORGE MASTROIANNI, as Executor of the Estate of FRANK CHARLES MASTROIANNI,

Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Index No. 100405/2008

vs.

GENUINE PARTS COMPANY, et al,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Genuine Parts Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Genuine Parts Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Genuine Parts Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

, 201**6** 

BELLUCK & TOK, LLP

Jordan C. Fox, Esq. Attorneys for Plantiff

546 Fifth Avenue 4th Floor

New York, New York 10036

Telephone: (212) 681-1575

Dated: Buffalo, New York

(els. 1, 2016

BARCLAY DAMON, LLP

Peter S. Marlette, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant Genuine Parts Company

The Avant Building, Suite 1200

200 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

Telephone: (716) 856-5500

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

7/1/16

RECEIVED
NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

FEB **08** 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Doc #1749654.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT In Re First Judicial District Asbestos Litigation FFR 11 2016 This Document Applies to: COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK VICTOR SILVERMAN, Plaintiff, **NYCAL** NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY vs. JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. Index No.: 110901/2004 A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, Defendant Genuine Parts Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212. dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Genuine Parts Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against \* Genuine Parts Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. Dated: New Yorl ew York Dated: Buffalo, New York BELLUCK & FOX. BARCLA **X** DAMON, LLP Jordan C. Fox, Esa. Meidi Brauer Ruchah Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant, Genuine Parts 546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor Company New York, NY 10036 The Avant Building, Suite 1200 200 Delaware Avenue Buffalo NY 14202-2150 RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD SO ORDERED: Hon Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE



IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY	NYCAL	FE8 11	201b
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)	MTY CLERK	('S OFFICI
This Document Relates to:	, , ,	10111 50	, , , ,

JOSEPH MCKENDRY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

INDEX NO.: 113335/01

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC ("Burnham LLC"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Burnham LLC without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Erik Jacobs, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Andrew F. Bain, Esq.

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Burnham LLC

88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS	LITIGATION
-------------------------------	------------

FEB 11 2016 Index No.: 6/13290/89

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

This Document Relates To:

MARTY PERRONE,

NO OPPOSITION

SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).

Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 10, 2015

New York, New York

Dana Northeraft, Esq. Matthew MacIntyre

Weitz & Luxenberg, PC Attorneys for plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Timothy Coughlan, Esq.

FORMAN WATKINS KRUTZ

& TARDY, LLP Haron Harvel Bradley & Anderson UC

Attorneys for Defendant

VELAN VALVE CORP.

328 Newman Springs Road

Red Bank, NJ 07701 (<del>732) 852-4400</del>

732-945-5530

SO ORDERED.

Judge Peter H. Moulton

FEB **0 3** 2016

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

MARGREE WOODS, as Executrix for the Estate of ELLIS WOODS, and MARGREE WOODS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

Index No.: 112524-98

vs.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.

#### **Defendants**

WHEREFORE, defendant Marine Transport Lines, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Marine Transport Lines, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Marine Transport Lines, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Thomas M. Canevari, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Marine Transport Lines

FREEHILL, HOGAN & MAHAR LLP

80 Pine Street

New York, NY 10005-1759

(212) 381-3017

izanne Ratcliffe, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5791

LAW OFFICES OF WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 BROADWAY

NEW YORK, NY 10003

SO ORDERED

IN RE:	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: NYCAL :	
PHYLLIS	N. SEGAL, Individually and as Executrix ate of ELI J. SEGAL,	-X: Index No. 113056/06 FEB 11 2816	
	Plaintiff(s),	: NO OPPOSITION NEW YORK : SUMMARY JUDGMENT : MOTION AND ORDER	
	-against-	: MOTION AND ORDER	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,		<ul><li>Hon. Peter H. Moulton,</li><li>IAS Part 50</li></ul>	
	Defendants.	: : ·	
WH summary j	IEREFORE, defendant The Goodyear Ti udgment in the above-entitled case, pursu against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Con	re & Rubber Company hereby requests ant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'	
	thereto, it is hereby:		
OR	DERED, that upon notice to all co-defenda	nts, all claims and cross claims against The	
Goodyear '	Tire & Rubber Company be and the same	are hereby dismissed with prejudice and	
without cos	sts.		
Dated: Nev	w York New York		

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:

Jordan Fox, Esq.

546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor New York, New York 10036

(212) 681-1575

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

Attorneys for Defendant The Goodyear Tire

& Rubber Company

264 West 40th Street

New York, New York 10018

2/5//b,

(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

FEB 3 8 2016

INSTRUMENTS ON THE CIVIL

	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW	V YORK	
IN RE:	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: NYCAL :	FN 2 1 143 CTU Table 7 2 1 4 carp 1 to care
PHILOMAE Administrate	S. DONOVAN, Individually and ix for the Estate of JOHN J. DONO Plaintiff(s), -against-	as : Index No. 109913/07 OVAN, : : NO OPPOSITION ( : SUMMARY JUDGMEN : MOTION AND ORDER : : Hon. Peter H. Moulton,	-
	Defendants.  REFORE defendant The Goods	: : :	ehv requests
complaint as opposition the ORD Goodyear T without cost Dated: New	gainst The Goodyear Tire & Rubbereto, it is hereby: ERED, that upon notice to all codire & Rubber Company be and the	e, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing ber Company with prejudice, and the defendants, all claims and cross claims are same are hereby dismissed with p	ere being no
546 Fifth A	Plaintiffs on Fox, Esq. venue, 4th Floor	Attorneys for Defendant The Goodye & Rubber Company  By: Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.  264 West 40th Street New York, New York 10018	ear Tire
(212) 681-1:	·		

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

FEB U 3 2016

ANS SUM PASSO
SUMT CAVAL

	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR OF NEW YORK	K The state of the	
	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL FEB 1 \ 2016	
SHELLEY Estate of D	M. CARROLL as Executrix for the ONALD E. MCCORMICK SR., and MCCORMICK, Individually,	ODOWN HEM YORK	
	Plaintiff(s), -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :  Defendants. :  X		Hon. Peter H. Moulton, IAS Part 50	
WH	IEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific	LLC hereby requests summary	
judgment i	in the above-entitled case, pursuant to	CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's	
complaint	against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejud	ice, and there being no opposition	
thereto, it is	s hereby:		
OR	DERED, that upon notice to all co-defer	idants, all claims and cross claims	
against Ge	orgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are h	ereby dismissed with prejudice and	
without cos	sts.		

Dated: New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By:

Marther MacIntyre, Esq. CMIDD (CIQUIN)

( ()

264 West 40th Street

By: 0

700 Broadway New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

New York, New York 10018 (212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: \( \alpha \)

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

FEB 0 3 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK CITY NYCAL FFR 11 2016 ASBESTOS LITIGATION COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE HELEN FALKENMEYER, as Executrix for the Index No.: 190116/2010 Estate of WILLIAM FALKENMEYER, and HELEN FALKENMEYER, Individually, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiffs. SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER -against-A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Hon. Peter H. Moulton, IAS Part 50 Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition

Dated: New York, New York

January 20, 2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

thereto, it is hereby:

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: Andrew J. Mundo, Esq.

264 West 40th Street

New York, New York 10018

(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:

Hon, Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)



This Document Relates to:

CAROL WEGENER
AND
CHARLES M. WEGENER

Index No.: 121595/98,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 11 2016

## NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Carol Wegener and Charles M. Wegener

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)



NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106580/02,

FEB 11 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DONALD A. SUCESE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Donald A. Sucese
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004 (212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon Peter H Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

DONALD A. SUCESE

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)



This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106580/02.

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs Donald A. Sucese WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

324-6853AQ

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105478/99,

LEE M. SHORT AND ANN M. SHORTS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

January 23, 2016

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Lee M. Short and Ann M. Shorts

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Caitlin Bell, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105478/99,

LEE M. SHORT AND ANN M. SHORTS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Lee M. Short and Ann M. Shorts Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kervann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY **ASBESTOS LITIGATION** 

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105478/99,

LEE M. SHORT AND ANN M. SHORTS

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY** JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Attorney for Plainti

Lee M. Short and Ann M. Shorts

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

WALTER E. SHENK AND MARY SHENK Index No.: 109676/99, 104383/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 12/30, 2015

MIYW MICHE

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Walter E. Shenk and Mary Shenk

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016

1285-233460

PART 50 NYS SUPRE 45 COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

WALTER E. SHENK AND MARY SHENK Index No.: 109676/99, 104383/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 12/30, 2015

JUSTA WILL

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Walter E. Shenk and Mary Shenk

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Cattle Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108538/02, 121099/01

DAVID A. WENZ

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs David A. Wenz

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 1000

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Høn. Peter H. Moulton

OFFICE

FEB 0 3 2015

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

DAVID A. WENZ

Index No.: 108538/02, 121099/01 FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY NEW YORK JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Inte

Attorney for Plaintiffs

David A. Wenz

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

EB 0 3 2010

NYS SUPREME COUR

324-6332U

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)



This Document Relates to:

RICHARD PHILIP AND ELAINE PHILIP Index No.: 102537/99,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

FEB 11 2016

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Richard Philip and Elaine Philip
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kerryan M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004 (212) 509-3456

2/5/16

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.) to the past of the

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL J. MURPHY AND ROSE MARY MURPHY Index No.: 104903/01,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 11 2016

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Mostly is T. Mac Intyre Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs

Daniel J. Murphy and Rose Mary Murphy

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Keryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL J. MURPHY AND ROSE MARY MURPHY Index No.: 104903/01,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE HEW YORK

FEB 11 2016

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JULY 14, 2016

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Macthew T. Mac Inty a
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Daniel J. Murphy and Rose Mary Murphy

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

0 2 324-5816

NYS SUDREME COURT - CIN

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

PAUL MOYER **AND** MARJORIE MOYER Index No.: 109471/00, 122187/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY** JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dispressed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FEB 1 1 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK** 

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Mathew T. MacIntyre Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs

Paul Moyer and Marjorie Moyer WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kervann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109471/00, 122187/99

PAUL MOYER **AND** MARJORIE MOYER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FEB 1 1 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Maximum T. MacIntine Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Paul Moyer and Marjorie Moyer

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

324-5070K

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 121982/99, 107864/00

LOUIS A. LIPA, JR. AND DIANA LIPA

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York/New York

FEB 1 1 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Louis A. Lipa, Jr. and Diana Lipa

Matthew T Ma Int

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

-Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016 324-5082AS

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

VICTOR LIBERATORE

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)



This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111105/00, 108351/00

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK** 

#### NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York/New

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs Victor Liberatore WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500

Karyann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004


(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)



This Document Relates to:

VICTOR LIBERATORE

Index No.: 111105/00, 108351/00

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

FEB 11 2016

#### NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mee Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs Victor Liberatore Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500 Nicole Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co. McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004 (212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED

Hon Peter H Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016 324-5097AI

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190488/11

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

GENE SPINELLI AND JOAN SPINELLI

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>

WHEREFORE, defendant, Hamilton Sunstrand Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Hamilton Sunstrand Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Hamilton Sunstrand Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New

New/York, New York

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff

Gene Spinelli and Joan Spinelli

546 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10036

(212) 558-5500

Erin N. Miter, Esq.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendants

Hamilton Sunstrand Corporation

80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 2018

692-0059

{N0466686-1}

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190488/11 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

GENE SPINELLI AND JOAN SPINELLI

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Pecora Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Pecora Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Pecora Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York

October

BELLUCK & FOX

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Gene Spinelli and Joan Spinelli

546 Fifth Averue

New York, New York 10036

(212) 558-5500

Erin N. Miter, Esq.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendants

Pecora Corporation

80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL LIA.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

. / 1 1

FEB 1 1 2016

Index No.: 190488/11

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

GENE SPINELLI AND JOAN SPINELLI

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP //
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Gene Spinelli and Joan Spinelli

546 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10036

(212) 558-5500

Maria J. Ciccia, Esq.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendants The Fairbanks Company

80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 9 3 2018

504-0893

{N0466671-1}

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

JACK KING AND JOSEPHINE KING Index No.: 106451/00, 121987/99

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

# NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/30/\_\_\_\_, 2015

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jack King and Josephine King
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Tishman Liquidating Corp. McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004 (212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

2010

2010

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL 2383-26069AL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)



This Document Relates to:

JACK KING AND JOSEPHINE KING Index No.: 106451/00, 121987/99

FEB | 1 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/30/ ,2015

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jack King and Josephine King

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Korryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

2/5/16

FEB 0 3 2016

PART 50 'S O'LPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

JACK KING **AND** JOSEPHINE KING Index No.: 106451/00, 121987/99

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

#### **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY** JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/30/ ,2015

Justin Wrin Attorney for Plaintiffs Jack King and Josephine King WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

attin Bell, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant Courter & Company, Inc. MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

2/5/16

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190033/09,

FEB II LUID

WILLIAM V. KELLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Attorney for Plaintiffs
William V. Keller

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kermann M. Look, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Tishman Liquidating Corp. McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT CR

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190033/09,

FEB 11 ZOLD

WILLIAM V. KELLER

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Attorney for Plaintiffs
William V. Keller

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

ED 0 2 2010

PART 50 'S SUPREME COURT - CIVIL 235-22773

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)



This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190033/09,

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

WILLIAM V. KELLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Attorney for Plaintiffs
William V. Keller

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Caitlin Bell, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

2/5/16

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

1122-23156

FEB **0 3** 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190033/09,

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

WILLIAM V. KELLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Attorney for Plaintiffs
William V. Keller

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

2/5/16

324-9225

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)



This Document Relates to:

CARL FANTAUZZO
AND
BEATRICE FANTAUZZO

Index No.: 109708/02, 105876/02 FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew I Mac Intgre

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Carl Fantauzzo and Beatrice Fantauzzo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Gook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004 (212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

CARL FANTAUZZO
AND
BEATRICE FANTAUZZO

Index No.: 109708/02,

FEB 11 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T Me Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Carl Fantauzzo and Beatrice Fantauzzo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016

324-6706Z

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

WILLIAM CUMMINS

AND
NETTIE THERESA CUMMINS

Index No.: 122173/98,

FEB II 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMAR¥EW YORK JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Jawany 15, 2016

Attorney for Plaintiffs

William Cummins and Nettie T. Cummins

Matthew T. Mac Intyr

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerry on M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

2/5//6

1235-19850

FEB 0 3 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM CUMMINS **AND** NETTIE THERESA CUMMINS Index No.: 122173/98.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB II ZOIL

#### NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212. dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Attorney for Plaintiffs

William Cummins and Nettie T. Cummins

Matthew T. Mare Inty

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)



This Document Relates to:

LAVERN F. BROOKS AND MARY BROOKS Index No.: 119807/97,

FEB I 1 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mar Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Lavern F. Brooks and Mary Brooks
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

324-4152

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE BRERETON, SR.

Index No.: 105267/05, FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs George Brereton, Sr. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500 Kerwann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

2/5/16

SO ORDERED,

-Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB **0 3** 2016

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105267/05,

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

GEORGE BRERETON, SR.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs George Brereton, Sr. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500 Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016 324-8422 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

LESLIE C. BOTTGER AND BARBARA BOTTGER Index No.: 107004/02,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB II 2016

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/25/ ,2016

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Leslie C. Bottger and Barbara Bottger

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerr ann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon Peter H Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016 1235-9222

NYS SUPREME COURT - CRITICAL PROPERTY COURT - CRITICAL PROPERTY COURT - CRITICAL PROPERTY - CRITICAL P

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

LESLIE C. BOTTGER **AND** BARBARA BOTTGER Index No.: 107004/02,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY** JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Leslie C. Bottger and Barbara Bottger

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esa.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Hon, Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

RONALD P. AYEN AND SUSAN AYEN Index No.: 118415/99,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 11 2016

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Mouther T. Mac Intyrt, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Ronald P. Ayen and Susan Ayen

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kervann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 7016

NYS SUPREME COURT GIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

RONALD P. AYEN AND SUSAN AYEN Index No.: 118415/99,

OUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

January 14, 2016

Marthan T. Mac Intyre, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Ronald P. Ayen and Susan Ayen

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016

PART 50

NYS SUPREME COURT

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

MARK ADAMS AND SARAH ADAMS Index No.: 122171/98,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Mark Adams and Sarah Adams

Matthew T. Max loty

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB **0 3** 2016

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIMP35 3121

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

MARK ADAMS AND SARAH ADAMS Index No.: 122171/98,

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mar Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mark Adams and Sarah Adams
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co. McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVII 324-4948

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE NEW YORK CITY **NYCAL** ASBESTOS LITIGATION AUDREY RUDA, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate Index No. 103903/2008 of Hugh McMunn and JACK RUDA, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiffs, SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER -V.-A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including KINNEY VACUUM COMPANY, Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Kinney Vacuum Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the

WHEREFORE, defendant Kinney Vacuum Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Kinney Vacuum Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kinney Vacuum Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

enwary 0/1 \, 2016

BELLUCK & FOX

Jordan Fox, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Estate of Jack Ruda, et al. 546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10036

(212) 681-1575

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Grace De Mario, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant

Kinney Vacuum Company 150 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, New York 10038

(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED.

Hon, Peter H. Moulton

Dated:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK		VEW YORK
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NEW YORK  TEB 1 2016  NYCAL  NYCAL  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  NEW YORK
GENE SPI	NELLI and JOAN SPINELLI,	Index No. 190488/2011
	Plaintiffs,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	-against-	MOTION AND ORDER
Easco Boile	MOND & SONS, Individually a er Corp., <i>et al.</i> , .A. SEXAUER, INC.,	nd as Successor to
	Defendants	<b>3.</b>
dismissing opposition OR J.A. Sexaue	plaintiff's complaint against defe thereto, DERED, that upon notice to all	se, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, endant J.A. Sexauer with prejudice, and there being no co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant smissed with prejudice and without costs.
	February 1, 2011	MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Jordan Fox Attorneys f	Esq.  Fire Paintiff  Alli, Agl.  Evenue, 4th Floor  NY 10036	David P. Schaffer, Esq.  Attorneys for Defendant  J.A. Sexauer, Inc.  150 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, New York 10038  (212) 791-0285
SO ORDER	RED. Hon. Peter H. Moulton	Dated: 2/5/16

The same of the sa

	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	YORKx		FEB 11 2016
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		NYCAL	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
CARMELO	DURAN and SEBESBRINDA M. D	URAN,	Index No. 1900	9/2008
A O CLUT	Plaintiffs,		NO OPPOSITI SUMMARY JU MOTION AND	JDGMENT
	H WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., in ACUUM COMPANY,	ncluding		
	Defendants.			
above-entitle complaint a thereto,	HEREFORE, defendant Kinney Vacuuled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Langainst defendant Kinney Vacuum Condense DERED, that upon notice to all co-defeaum Company be and the same are here	w and Rules Seconpany with preju	etion 3212, dismiss udice, and there be tms and cross clain	sing plaintiffs' sing no opposition as against defendant
Jordan Fox, Attorneys for Carmelo D. 546 Fifth A	Esq. or Plaintiffs uran, et al venue, 4 Floor New York 10036	Grace De N Attorneys fo Kinney Vac 150 Broadw	or Defendant cuum Company vay, Suite 600 New York 10038	LC
SO ORDER	Hon. Peter H. Moulton	Dated:	15/16	

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW	YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	

FEB 11 2016

INI	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{E}$	
110	K F.	

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** 

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NANCY ROURKE, as Executrix of the Estate of Hugh McMunn and DOROTHY MCMUNN, Individually,

-V.-

Index No. 100406/2008

Plaintiffs,

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NO OPPOSITION

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including KINNEY VACUUM COMPANY,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Kinney Vacuum Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Kinney Vacuum Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kinney Vacuum Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Jordan Fox, Esq. /

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Estate of Hugh McMunn, et al. 546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor

New York, New York 10036

(212) 681-1575

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Grace De Mario, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant
Kinney Vacuum Company

150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038

(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated

2/5/16.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YO COUNTY OF NEW YORK				
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL			
PHILOMAE S. DONOVAN, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of John J. Donovan,	x Index No: 109913/2007			
Plaintiffs, -against-  A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., including SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.,  Defendants.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER  FILED  FEB 1 1 2016  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK			
WHEREFORE, defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co. (incorrectly sued herein as Sears, Roebuck and Company) (hereinafter "Sears") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Sears with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Sears be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.				
Fordan Fox, Esq.  BELLUCK & FOX, LIP  646 Fifth Avenue, 4 Floor New York, New York 10036  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  John J. Donovan, et al.  212) 681-1575	David P. Schaffer, Esq.  MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC 150 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, New York 10038  Attorneys for Defendant  Sears, Roebuck and Co. (212) 791-0285			

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

DATED: 2/5/16

t		
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL	FEB I I 2016
GENE SPINELLI and JOAN SPINELLI,	Index No: 19048	NZO NEW YORK
Plaintiffs,		
-against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUMOTION AND	DGMENT
A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, Individually and as Successor to Easco Boiler Corp., <i>et al.</i> , including SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.,	MOTION AND	ORDER
Defendants.		
x		
WHEREFORE, defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co. (income	rrectly sued herein	as Sears, Roebuck
and Company) (hereinafter "Sears") hereby request summary judge	gment in the above-	-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissin	g plaintiff's compla	aint against
defendant Sears with prejudice, and there being no opposition the	reto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all clai	ms and cross claim	s against defendant

Sears be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

Jordan Fox, Esq.

BELLUCK\& FOX/LLP

546 Fifth Avenue, Ah Floor

New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Gene Spinelli

(212) 681-1575

David P. Schaffer, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

150 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, New York 10038 Attorneys for Defendant

Sears, Roebuck and Co.

(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

201

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NE OF NEW YORK			
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	x	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton)	FEB I 1 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
WILLIAM	I F. DWYER,		Index No. 1169	
	Plainti S., INC. et al., including, MORSE-D TIONAL, INC.		NO OPPOSITI SUMMARY JU MOTION AND	UDGMENT
	Defend	lants,		
		x		
WHE	REFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel,	Inc. (incorrec	tly named herein a	as "Morse-Diesel
International,	Inc."), hereby requests summary jud	lgment in the	above-entitled cas	se, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law	and Rules Section 3212, dismissing	plaintiff's co	mplaint against de	fendant Morse Diesel,
Inc., with pre	judice, and there being no opposition	n thereto,		
ORDE	ERED, that upon notice to all co-def	endants, all cl	aims and cross-cla	nims against defendant
Morse Diesel	, Inc., be and the same are hereby dis	smissed with	prejudice and with	nout costs.
	York, New York 13, 20 <b>16</b>		<del>//</del> /)	
Quan	1 C. Barber		5	17-000000
WEITZ & L.	<del>cintyre</del> , Esq. Susan C Barou UXENBERG, PC.		hetta, Esq. / <b>&amp; BRADLEY,</b> 1	LLC
Attorneys for	· ·		for Defendant	
William F. D	-	Morse Die		

SO ORDERED Hon. Peter H. Moulton

New York, New York 10003

700 Broadway

(212) 558-5500

Dated: 2/5/16

(212) 791-0285

150 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, New York 10038

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK OF NEW YORK	
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton)
	DLUND, as Executor for the Estate of DICK, and PHYLLIS ODLUND, Individually,	Index No. 101533/05
A O SMIT	Plaintiffs, TH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
	MORSE DIESEL, INC.  Defendants,	FILED FEB 11 2016

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc, hereby requests summary judghtent WORK above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1 20, 2015 2016

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. Suscer C. Box 1000

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Craig Odlund, as Executor for the Estate of
Dick Odlund, and Phyllis Odlund, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated

2/5/16

NEW YORK CITY IN RE ASBESTOS LITIGATION This Document Relates to:

Index No. 106451/00, 121987/99

JACK KING and JOSEPHINE KING

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

**NYCAL** 

I.A.S. Part 50

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as "Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation," hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and FILED without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

12 30 / ,2015

Matthew Machityre, Esq. Justin Wate WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC

700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) (212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FEB 1 1 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 Attorneys for Defendant (212) 791-0285

Dated: 2/5/16

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	YORK
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton)  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
CINDY A. HEUER as Executrix for the Estate o HARRY A. STOCKER, Individually,	
Plaintiffs A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendar	nts,
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Ruagainst defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendance Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismonth of the Dated: New York, New York	e, and there being no opposition thereto, dants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. Suscence Graviow WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC. Attorneys for Plaintiffs Cindy A. Heuer as Executrix for the Estate of Harry A. Stocker, Individually 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500	Rosario Chetta, Esq.  MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  Attorneys for Defendant  Morse Diesel, Inc.  150 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, New York 10038  (212) 791-0285
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	Dated: 2/5/16

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW OF NEW YORK	YORK ——x	
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton)	FEB   1 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
SALVATO	DRE T. CALANDRINO,	Index No. 19043	
	Plaintiff, TH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., MORSE DIESEL, INC.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUIN MOTION AND	DGMENT
	Defendan	its,	
entitled case, pagainst defend ORDE Morse Diesel,	REFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Incursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rulant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice RED, that upon notice to all co-defendinc, be and the same are hereby dism	les Section 3212, dismissing p e, and there being no opposition dants, all claims and cross-clai	laintiff's complaint  thereto,  ms against defendant
Matthew Mac WEITZ & L Attorneys for Salvatore T. C 700 Broadway	UXENBERG, PC. Plaintiff Calandrino y ew York 10003	Rosario Chetta, Esq.  MALABY & BRADLEY, L.  Attorneys for Defendant  Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600  New York, New York 10038  (212) 791-0285	LC
SO ORDERE	D. Hon. Peter H. Moulton	Dated: 2/5/16	<u>.</u>

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK -X **IN RE** NEW YORK CITY NYCAL ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton) PAUL J. WELLER as the Executor for the Estate of Index No. 112292/02 BOYD N. WELLER, 105718/02 Plaintiffs, NO OPPOSITION A.C. and S., INC. et al., including, MORSE DIESEL, SUMMARY JUDGMENT INC. MOTION AND ORDER Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the aboveentitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew MaeIntyre, Esq. Suscan C. Bouriou

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Paul J. Weller as the Executor for the Estate of

Boyd N. Weller

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038

(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated:  $\frac{\partial}{5}/16$ 



CRESCENZO GALANO and MILDRED GALANO,

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 5つ

(Moulton, P.)

FEB 11

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 121985/99, 105974/00

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc., et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES**, **INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Jesse Rodgers, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Péerless Industries, Inc.

Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Jan 20, 2016

77 Water Street, 21st Floor

New York, New York 10005

(212) 232-1300

File No. 1863.20281

SO ORDERED

MacIntyre, Esq. Susce Attorney for Plaintiffs

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

# SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION



NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 5つ (Moulton, P.)

FEB I I ZONO
NUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RICHARD CHARLES TERRANCE and PHYLLIS TERRANCE,

Plaintiffs.

Index No. 108920/00

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc., et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES**, **INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Heather Janis Gaw, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Peerless Industries, Inc.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

77 Water Street, 21st Floor

New York, New York 10005

(212) 232-1300

File No. 1863.25627

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. SUSCIN C. S

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

4843-2737-2586.1

INSYNG LITIGATION SUPPORT

JAN 28 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 Moulton, P.

This Document relates to:

Index No. 123950/01

HELEN SAMUDIO, as Personal Representative for the Estate of NORBERTO SAMUDIO, and HELEN SAMUDIO, Individually,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FEB 1 1 2016

Dated: New York, New York

X

Jan 20,2016

Rebekah Lee, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant

Peerless Industries, Inc.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005

212.232.1300

File No. 1863.22463

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. Suscar

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Helen Samudio, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Norberto Samudio, and Helen

Samudio, Individually

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

4818-4328-9643.1

RECEIVED BY LEWIS BRISBOIS CIESCARD A SMITH LLP

AN 26 2018

NEW YORK CITY IN RE:

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P)



This Document relates to:

BARBARA CONNOLLY, as Personal Representative of the Heirs and Estate of ROBERT L. SIMMS. Deceased.

Plaintiff.

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190386/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT GRAYBAR **ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.** 

WHEREFORE, defendant, GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed wi prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Graybar Electric Company, Inc..

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

77 Water Street, 21st Floor

New York, New York 10005

(212) 232-1300 File No. 33249-8203

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

FEB 1 1 2016

Douglas von Oiste, Esq. Durid A. Chandler

Attorney for Plaintiff

Barbara Connolly, as Personal Representative of the Heirs and Estate of Robert L. Simms, Deceased


KARST & VON OISTE LLP

576 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 401

New York, New York 10036 (212) 764-3900

SO ORDERED

ECEIVEL LEWIS BRISBOIN BISGAARD & SMITH! JUL 20 201





IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 3050 (Moulton, P.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190329/09

RODGER DALE McCLELLAN and SHIRLEY LEE McCLELLAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without

costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Heather Janis Gaw, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Peerless Industries, Inc.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

77 Water Street, 21st Floor

New York, New York 10005

212.232.1300 File No. 1863.20905

212.682.1575

Jordan Fo

RODGER DALE McCLELLAN and SHIRLEY LEE McCLELLAN.

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor

New York, NY 10036

FEB 1 1 2016

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

**INSYNG LITIGATION SUPPORT** 

Street of the first of the second

FEB 02 2016



IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Moulton, P.)



FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

WILLIAM V. KELLER,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 190033/09

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER CORP., et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES**, **INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Heather Janis Gaw, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant **Peerless Industries, Inc.** 

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

77 Water Street, 21st Floor

New York, New York 10005

(212) 232-1300 File No. 1863.17079

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. Ju

Attorney for Plaintiffs Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

2/5/16

RECEIVED BY
LEWIS BRISBONS
BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
FEB 01 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

**ASBESTOS LITIGATION** 

I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, 

♣.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 118971/03

D'AMICO, ANDREW

W

ZEX 140.. 11097 1703

against

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

**WESTFIELD PLUMBING & HEATING** 

WHEREFORE, defendant, Westfield Plumbing & Heating, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Westfield Plumbing & Heating, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Westfield Plumbing & Heating, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2-1- 1/c

KEVIN BERRY, ESQ., ESQ.

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.

HONORABLE PETER MOULTON

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),

Andrew D'Amico

110 William Street, 26th Floor, New York, New

York 10038-3927

211223

SO ORDERED: LED

New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

MONICA KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.

Westfield Plumbing & Heating

**DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP** 

Attorneys for Defendant,

40 Paterson Street

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN

FFB 1 1 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

OAGLAND, LONGO, ORAN, DUNST DOUKAS, LLP Itorneys at Law

3 Wail Street uite 1100 ew York, NY

Tan Can Can

**NEW YORK CITY NYCAL** IN RE: FEB 11 2016 ASBESTOS LITIGATION COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE This Document Relates To: BARBARA WENZ, as Administratrix for the NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO FORD Estate of DAVID A. WENZ **MOTOR COMPANY** Plaintiff(s) VS. A.S. and S. Inc. (ARMSTRONG INDEX Nos.: 108538/02 CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., 121099/01 Defendant(s). :

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/30/ ,2015

By: Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Justin With

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Counsel for: BARBARA WENZ, as

Administratrix for the Estate of DAVID A.

WENZ

By: Oded Burger, Esq.

AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &

DEUTSCH, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

Ford Motor Company

600 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10016

T: 212-593-6700

F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:

HON PETER H MOLII TON

{01738505.DOCX}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE COUNTY OF NEW YORK	TE OF NEW YORK		
In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION		Index No.: 101607/07	
GEORGE REIS,		,	
	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
- against -		MOTION	
WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,			
	Defendants.		
above-entitled case, pursuant to plaintiff's complaint against De opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon no	Civil Practice Law fendant Weil-McLain	and Rules Section 3212, dismissing with prejudice, and there being no ats, all claims and cross claims against	
•	he same are hereby disr	missed with prejudice and without costs	
to either party		FILE	
Dated: O2/0/2, 2016 New York, New York	<b>5</b>	FEB 1 1 2016	
	2	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFI	
Jordan C. Fox, Flyg.  BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  Attorneys for Plaintiff  546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  New York, NY 10036	SEGAL M SINGER &	Careathers, Esq. cCAMBRIDGE a MAHONEY, LTD. or Defendant	
(212) 681-1575		Avenue, Suite 1100 NY 10022	
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H.	S/S/	FEB 0 3 2016	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL	

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGAT	Index No.: 101607/07
GEORGE A. REIS,	NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiff(s)	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
- against -	
AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,	
Defendant	s.
WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA	PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary
Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant	to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defend	lant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and
there being no opposition thereto,	
<b>ORDERED</b> , that upon notice to all co Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the without costs to either party.	-defendants, all claims and cross claims against e same are hereby dismisse with p ejud and
Without costs to either party.	FEB 1 1 2016
Dated: Q//Q/, 2016 New York, New York	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
Jordan C. Fox, Est.	TANA MARVAUU Tsha S. Lakbani, Esq.
BELLUCK & ROX, LLP SI	EGAL McCAMBRIDGE
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	INGER & MAHONEY, LTD. ttorneys for Defendant
\ /	urora Pump Company
N	50 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 ew York, NY 10022 .12) 651-7500
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	2/5/16 RECEIVENT
	FEB 0 3 2016

PART 50
SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 118966/06

RICHARD RISO, as Executrix of the Estate of FRANK RISO, Individually,

I.A.S. Part 36 50 Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Plaintiff

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant COEN COMPANY, INC., ("Coen") incorrectly sued herein as "Coen Company, Individually and as Successor to Coen Manufacturing Corp," hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Coen with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Coen be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to

either party

Dated: New Yor

V York New York

Jordan C. Fox, Esq

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, New York 10036

(888) 808-0428

FEB 1 1 2016

Andrew W Dean, ECOUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE SEGAL MCCAMBRIDGE NEW YORK

SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant COEN COMPANY INC. 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C

FEB 0 3 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

5602953

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK Index No.: 190488/2011 In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30 万0 Hon. Peter H. Moulton GENE SPINELLI and JOAN SPINELLI, Plaintiffs. NO OPPOSITION - against -SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER A. L. EASTMOND & SONS, Individually and as Successor to Easco Boiler Corp., et al., FILED Defendants. FEB 1 1 2016 WHEREFORE, Defendant COEN COMPANY, INC., ("Coen: Mineous entry succession of the company of the herein as "Coen Company, Individually and as Successor to Coen Manufacturing Corp," hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Coen with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, **ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Coen be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party. Dated: New Andrew W.Dean, Esq. Jordan C. Fox, E BELLUCK & F SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Pla 546 Fifth Avenue Attorneys for Defendant New York, New York 10036 COEN COMPANY INC. $(888) 808-042^{4}$ 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10022 (212) 651-7500

Hon, Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

FEB 0 3 2016

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SO ORDERED,

In Re: NEW YORK CITYAS	BESTOS LITIGATION	Index No.: 101607/07
GEORGE REIS,		
	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION
- again	st -	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,		
	Defendants.	
WHEREFORE, De	fendant WEIL-MCLAIN he	reby requests Summary Judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursua	ent to Civil Practice Law	and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint agains	st Defendant Weil-McLair	n with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	•	
ORDERED, that up	on notice to all co-defenda	ints, all claims and cross claims against

Dated:

to either party.

Jordan Cl Fox

BELLUCK/& XOX, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff

546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor

New York, NY 10036

(212) 681-1575

Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

Weil-McLain

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, NY 10022

FEB 1 1 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK** 

(212) 651-7500

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SO ORDERED,

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

VICTOR A. TODD,

**NO OPPOSITION** SUMMARY JUDGMENT **MOTION** 

Index No.: 109984/08

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, including GARDNER DENVER, INC., et al,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton Part 50

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs

Dated:

New York

FEB 1 1 2016

Jordan Fox Esq. BELLUCK\& FO

Attorneys for Plaintiff

546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Flogrounty CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK** New York, New York 10036

(212) 681-1575

Kevin W. Turbert, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &

MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant, Gardner Denver, Inc.

850 Third Avenue, Suite 400

New York, New York 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	Contra of the Co
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	FEB I i ZOI6
RICHARD RISO, as Executor of the Estate of FRANK V. RISO and ROSE RISO, Individually	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK Index No.: 118966/06
Plaintiffs, -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.	I.A.S. Part 30 50 Hon. Peter H. Moulton
Defendants.	110111 1 0001 111 1110 011011
WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER	R, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER")
hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled	case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint again	ast Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,	

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either

party.

Dated:/New York, New

Jordan Fox, Hsq. | Belluck & Fox, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 546 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10036

(212) 651-1575

Kevin W. Turbert, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER

& MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, New York 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
TO NEW Y

WHEREFORE, Defendant **GREENE**, **TWEED & CO**. **INC**. ("Greene Tweed") incorrectly named herein as "Greene, Tweed & Co, LLP, Individually and as Successor to Palmetto Packings hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GREENE, TWEED, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GREENE, TWEED, be and the same are her by displaced with prejudice and without costs to either

party.

Dated: New York, New

02/01/2016

Jordan Fox, Esq.

BELLUCK & FOX, LI

Attorneys for Plaintiff

546 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10036

(212) 651-1575

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER

& MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, New York 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE COUNTY OF NEW YORK	OF NEW YORK		
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	X	FEB I 1 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE	
GENE SPINELLI and JOAN SPINELLI,		NEW YORK Index No.: 190488/11	
-against-	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, et. al.		I.A.S. Part 50 Hon. Peter H. Moulton	
	Defendants.		
WHEREFORE, Defendant G	ARDNER DENVI	ER, INC. ("GARDNER DENVER"),	

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. ("GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either

party.

Dated: New Y

ew York, New York

02/01/2016

Jordan Fox, Esq. Delluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 651-1575

Kevin W. Turbert, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER

& MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, New York 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hen. Peter H. Moulton'

-- 0 0 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	FEB 11 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK	
GENE SPINELLI and JOAN SPINELLI,	NEW YORK Index No.: 190488/11	
Plaintiff(s), -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, et. al.  Defendants.	I.A.S. Part 50 Hon. Peter H. Moulton	

WHEREFORE, Defendant POWER FLAME, INC. ("POWER FLAME"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant POWER FLAME, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, POWER FLAME, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either

party.

Dated: New York, 1

Jordan Fox Esq.

Belluck & Fox, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff

546 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10036

(212) 651-1575

Kevin W. Turbert, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER

& MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, New York 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Adjust 1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATIO	N
GENE SPINELLI and JOAN SPINELLI,	X Index No.: 190488/2011
Plaintiffs, -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, Individually and as Successor to Easco Boiler Corp., et al.	I.A.S. Part 50 Hon. Peter H. Moulton
Defendants.	<b>1</b> 7
WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUI	
Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C	Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Al	JORORA PUMP COMPANY, with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defe	endants, all claims and cross claims against
Defendant, AURORA PUMP COMPANY, be and t	he same are hereby dismissed with prejudice
and without costs to either party. This stipulation may	y be filed without further notice with the Clerk
of the Court. This No Opposition Summary Juc	Igment Motion may be signed in separate
counterparts and facsimile or PDF signatures shall	and the second s
purposes of this motion.	FILED
Dated: 0/29 / 2016	FEB 1 1 2016
New York, New York	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Jordan C. Jox, Esq.	Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP	SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 546 Fifth Avenue, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor	Attorneys for Defendant
New York, New York 10036	Aurora Pump Company
(212) 681-1575	850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
	New York, New York 10022
_	(212) 651-7500
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moult	on 2/S/14 RECEIVED
	FEB <b>0 3</b> 2016
	NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE COUNTY OF NEW YORK	OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	Х	X 1
JOSEPH BARRESI and MARIA ROS		Index No.: 190084/08
-against-	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS C	O., et al.	FILED
	Defendants.	FEB 1 1 2016
WHEREFORE, Defendant C		COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE TER, INC. NEW TENER "GARDNER
DENVER"), hereby requests Summar	ry Judgment in the	above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Sections 3:	212, dismissing pl	aintiffs' complaint against Defendant
GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice	, and there being no	opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice	to all co-defendant	ts, all claims and cross claims against
Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be	e and the same are	hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs to either party.		
Jordan Fox, Esq. BELLUCK & FOX LLP 546 5th Ave, 4th Floor New York, NY 10036	SEGAI MAHO Attorne	W. Turbert, Esq. W. McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & ONEY, LTD. Eys for Defendant
(212) 681-1575	New Yo	ird Avenue, Suite 1100 ork, NY 10022 51-7500

SO ORDERED,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	DRK
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	FEB 1 1 2016 x  Index Nonth 12016
AUDREY RUDA, Individually and as Executri The Estate of JACK RUDA, deceased.	x of /03903/08
Plaintif -against-	ff(s), NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.  Defenda	
LEONARD") hereby requests Summary Judgr	WARD LEONARD ELECTRIC CO. ("WARD ment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil against Defendant WARD corposition thereto."
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co	o-defendants, all claims and cross claims against same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
Dated: <u>02/02</u> , 2016 New York, New York	
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  Attorneys for Plantiff 546 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, Floor  New York, New York 10036  SI  Ar  Ar  Ar  Ar  Ar  Ar  Ar  Ar  Ar  A	EGAL McCAMBRIDGE NGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  Indrew W. Dean, Esq. Interpretation of the property of
CO ORDERED	2/5/16

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD T. PALMER and ELEANOR PALMER,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

I.A.S. Part 50 NEW YORK (HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 109280/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York. New York

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Gioyanni/Regina,\Esq.

WATER\$, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York. New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon Peter H Moulton A.I.S.C.

FEB **0 3** 2016

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190296/2011

**EDWARD BORST and PATRCIA BORST,** 

NO OPPOSITION

SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

**ORDER** 

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Plaintiff,

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs.

Dated:

February 2, 2011

Jordan Fox, Es

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Attorneys for plaintiff(s)

546 Fifth Ave., 4th Floor

New York, New York 10036

(212) 681-1575

FILED

FEB 1 1 2016

COLINTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Timothy Coughlan, Esq.

FORMAN WATKINS KRUTZ MARON MARVEL

& TARDY, LLP BRADLEY & ANDERSON LLC

Attorneys for Defendant

328 Newman Springs Road

Red Bank, NJ 07701

(732) 8<del>52 440</del>0 945-5530

SO ORDERED,

Judge Peter H. Moulton

FEB 0 3 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT A STREET

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Т	his	Documen	t R	elates	To:
_	1112	росищен			T 0.

PEGGY JANE SMITH, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of DANA "GENE" SMITH,

Plaintiff,

Index No.: 190211/2014

NO OPPOSITEON ORK
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-

84 LUMBER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs

Dated: /

New York New Yor

Jordan Fox, Es

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Attorneys for plaintiff(s)

546 Fifth Ave., 4th Floor

New York, New York 10036

(212) 681-1575

Timothy Coughlan, Esq.

FORMAN WATKINS KRUTZ MARON MARVEL

& TARDY, LLP BRADEY & ANDERSON UC

Attorneys for Defendant

328 Newman Springs Road

Red Bank, NJ 07701

(732) 852-4400- 945-\$536

SO ORDERED.

Judge Peter H. Moulton

2/5//6

FEB **0 3** 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

CONO AMILO MASCARELLA,

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

-against
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

9/30/15

New York, New York

Phan Alvarado, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, PC Attorneys for plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Timothy Coughlan, Esq.

FORMAN WATKINS KRUTZ

& TARDY, LEP March Marvel Bridley & Andersonlic

Attorneys for Defendant VELAN VALVE CORP.

328 Newman Springs Road

Red Bank, NJ 07701

132-

SO ORDERED, \_

Judge Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED

FEB **0 3** 2016

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VINCENT BOYLE and ELLEN BOYLE,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 116423/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

NOV 24, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG. P.C.

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Giovanni Regina, ‡sq.

WATERS, MICPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon Peter H Moulton A LSC

FEB 0 3 7016

SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NORBERT KACZ and AUDREY KACZ,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

TO LESS CONTRACTOR

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 106709/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

*1*0√ 24 , 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Głóvanni Regina) Esq.

WATERS, MCPHERSON, MCNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon Peter H Moulton A.I.S.C.

FEB 0 3 2016

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARY T. GOODBAR, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of MILTON GOODBAR.

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 190009/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

NOV 24 , 2015

Matthew T. Mae Intyre Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Giovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S.C.

FEB # 3 2016

S SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSEMARIE DeMAURO, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH DeMAURO, and ROSEMARIE DeMAURO, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

**NYCAL** 

I.A.S. Part 50

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 104731/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

NOV 24, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Matthew J. Mar In

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Giovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S.C

FEB 0 3 2016

PART 50 TYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JIM HNISDO, as the Proposed Executor for the Estate of HOWARD HNISDO.

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

FEB II ZOLL

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 117232/07

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

NOV 24 , 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Matthew J. Mar J.

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500 Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Giovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon, Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S.C

FEB 0 3 2016

S SUPREME COURT C

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN R. DRAPER and GENEVIEVE DRAPER,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

FEB II ZOIb

**NYCAL** 

I.A.S. Part 50

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 190116/08

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

NOV 24 , 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10003

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500 Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Giovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, MCPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon Peter H Moulton A ISC

FEB 9 3 2016

PART 50 YS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EILEEN REILLY, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of WALTER REILLY.

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

FILED

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE (HON. PETER H. MOULTONRA.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 100917/08

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Matthew T. Mar latgre

NOV 24 . 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Ølovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S.C.

FEB V 3 2016

S SUPREME COURT - CIVII

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES BAGGIANO and LOUISE BAGGIANO,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

(12) (m) (m) (m)

FEB 11 2016

**NYCAL** 

I.A.S. Part 50

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NOS.: 100387/08

132167/93

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

NOV 24 , 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Matthew I Mas

700 Broadway, 6th Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Gigvanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, MCPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S.C

FEB 0 3 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVII

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCES MAZZA, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of RAYMOND MAZZA,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 100916/08

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

NOV 24 ,2015

Matthew T. Mac In.
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Giovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, MCPHERSON, MCNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon, Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S.C.

FEB 0 3 2016

'S SUPREME COURT : CO

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM E. JOYCE and MARIE JOYCE,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 190172/09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

NOV 24 , 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Mittle T Mac

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Giovanni Regina, Esp.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon Potor H Moulton A I S.d.

FEB 0 3 2016

MYS SUPREME COURT CIVIL

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH NAIMOLI and CARMELA NAIMOLI,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

**NYCAL** 

I.A.S. Part 50

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, M.J.S.O.)

INDEX NO.: 190405/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

2015

Matthew T. Mue Intyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Giovanni Regina) Esq.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S/C

FEB 0 3 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT

IN RE NEW YORK CITY **ASBESTOS LITIGATION** THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**ROBERT BURNS KOBLER and** FINNI G. KOBLER,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE (HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 121894/98

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Giowanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton. A.J

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**HENRY MIKA and ESTHER MIKA,** 

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

I.A.S. Part 50 NEW YORK (HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 100029/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

December 16, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

Mether T. Mar Is

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Gióvanni Reģina, **E**sq.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadwa

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S.C

FEB 0 3 2010

NYS SUPREME COURT - CT

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIE J. HAMILTON.

Plaintiff,

vs.

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 106178/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

December 16, 2015

Matthew T. Mae Intyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Gjovanni Regina, Asq.

WATERS, MOPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S.C.

B V 3 2016

SART SE SENS COURT - CIVIL

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PRISCILLA KOENIG, as Executrix for the Estate of LESLIE FABER, and PRISCILLA KOENIG, as Executrix for the Estate of MARGARET L. FABER,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTROING CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE (HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.V.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 109988/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Occember 16, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Govanni Regirja, Esq.

WATERS, MCPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S.C

FEB 0 3 2016

SUPREME COURT - Char

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARY ANN McKENDRY, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH C. McKENDRY,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

FILED

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 113335/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

12 29 . 2015

Erik Jacobs, Esq

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Glovanni Regina Esq.

WATERS, MCPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon Peter H Moulton A 190

FEB **0 3** 2016

YYS SUPREME COURT - CIVII

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCIS GOES and SENTA GOES,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

FILED

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NOS.: 190413/11

100904/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

January 5

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

, 201**5** 

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Giovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, MCPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon, Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S.C

FEB 0 3 2016

FILED

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LINDA MOSSOW, as Executrix for the Estate of LYLE V. MOSSOW,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRATING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 112280/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Øjovanni/Regina, Esq.

WATERS, MOPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hom. Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S.C.

FEB 0 3 2016

YS SUPREME COURT - CIV

IN RE NEW YORK CITY **ASBESTOS LITIGATION** THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMIE ELAINE FELICIA, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES E. McCABE. and JAMIE ELAINE FELICIA and STEPHANIE TOBIN, as Co-Executrices for the Estate of SHIRLEY A. McCABE,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRATING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE I.A.S. Part 50

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 113073/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York. New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq./ Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Attornevs for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Régina, Esq.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY D. HOLKA and BARBARA A. HOLKA,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRATING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.U.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 114300/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S.C.

PEB 0 3 2016

NIS SUPREME SO

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND BAKER,

Plaintiff,

VS.

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRATING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 111414/98

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10003

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, MCPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279


(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

How Peter H. Moulton A. I.S.C.

FEB 0 3 2016

NYS SUPREME SO



IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES C. DEAN, as Executor for the Estate of KARIN R. DEAN,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 101521/05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq./

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon Peter H Moulton A ISC

FEB 0 3 2010

IN RE NEW YORK CITY **ASBESTOS LITIGATION** THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL PHILIP ANZALONE, as Executor for the Estate of PHILIP R. ANZALONE, and ADELE ANZALONE. Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRATING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE I.A.S. Part 50

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 127106/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway, 6th Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Gigvanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHRISTIAN MERRILL and JUNE MERRILL.

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

AC AND S, INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRATING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

Total Committee Control

FEB 11 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 113102/98

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Ese.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Ølovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

on Peter H Moulton A.I.S/C

FEB V 3 2016

PART 50

PART 50

COURT - CI

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NORAH CURNYN, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH CURNYN,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

FEB 11 2016

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

(HON. PETER H. MOULTON, A.J.S.C.)

INDEX NO.: 190462/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Riley Power Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Riley Power Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esol. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, MCPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendant Riley Power Inc.

233 Broadway

New York, New York 10279

(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon Peter H. Moulton, A.J.S.C.

FEB 0 3 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF	ENEW YORK	
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	FEB_LL x <sup>2016</sup>	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton)
This Document Relates To:	x	Index No: 190287/10
Leopold Assenzio		NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
	X	
WHEREFORE, defendant <b>CONWED CORPORATION</b> , hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant <b>CONWED CORPORATION</b> with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,		
ORDERED, that upon notice to defendant CONWED CORPORATION and without costs.		
Dated: 1 5 16		
	$\Lambda$	
Chris Romanelli, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC Attorney for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	EDELMAN Attorney for CONWED (150 East 42 <sup>nd</sup>	LSER, MOSKOWITZ, & DICKER LLP Defendant CORPORATION

SO ORDERED, Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

File No. 07415.00106

JAN 2 2 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEV	W YORK	
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	X	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	t ties to start	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	FEB II ZOIL	I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, <b>f</b> ?)
This Document Relates To:	NEW YORK	Index No: 109071/00
		NO OPPOSITION
Cono Amilo Mascarella		SUMMARY
		JUDGMENT
		MOTION AND
	X	ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CLYDE UNION**, **INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

12/22/2015

Phan Alvarado, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorney for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Virginia Squitieri, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Attorney for Defendant

CLYDE UNION, INC.

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street

New York, New York 10017

212-490-3000

File No. 07723.00164

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton 1/26/16

RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

JAN 2 2 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

JOHN A. WIDING and JOSEPHINE WIDING.

Index No. 190507-11

Plaintiffs.

- against -

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., COPES-VULCAN, INC., et al..

Defendants.

========X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, COPES-VULCAN, INC. (hereinafter "COPES-VULCAN") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, COPES-VULCAN, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **COPES-VULCAN**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

White Plains, New York

JUNUO/U 13, 2016

Charles Ferguson, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg, Re

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.

Hodges Walsh & Messemer LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,

Individually and as successor to Tappan

and Copes-Vulcan

55 Church Street, Suite 211

White Plains, NY 10601

Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered:

J.S.C.

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 2/205717

EDWARD T. PALMER AND ELEANOR PALMER,

Index No.: 109280/00

Plaintiff,

- against -

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NYCAL I.A.S Part 50 Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

Syzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kathleen Feroleto, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC

10 Bank Street

White Plains, New York 10606

(914) 949-2909

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

BK SOFFICE .

TO VERNING Y

EEB 11 SAID

X:FBW/39207/DISMISSALS/june201	<u>15</u>	
COUNTY OF NEW YOR	THE STATE OF NEW YORK RK	
JAMES N. WALTERS,		NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER ORDER
- against -		Index No.: 113158/00 & 122199/99
A.C.&S. INC., et al.;		NYCAL
		I.A.S. Part 50
	Defendants.	

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Brian Feld, Esq.
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

NOOL MAN SOUTH OF THE MAN SOUTH OF THE MAN SOUTH OF THE MOUNT OF THE MAN SOUTH OF THE MOUNT OF

X:FBW/54228./dismissals/nosjm/august 2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FRANCOISE BRESSEL, As Administratrix for the Estate NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY 2016 OF HERMAN H. BRESSEL and FRANCOISE BRESSEL, JUDGMENT MOTION CANDA'S OFFICE Individually,

ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

Index No.: 190112/10

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.;

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 50

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 Brian Feld, Esq. Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc. Barry McTiernan & Moore

2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter Moulton

X:CLB/59902/DISMISSALS/june2015  SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORKX	FEB 11 2016
JAMES N. WALTERS,  Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY HUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
- against -	Index No.: 113158/00 & 122199/99
A.C.&S. INC., et al.;  Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary	

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew T. Mac Sortyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Brian Feld, Esq.

Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc.

Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Won Peter Moulton

1/14/16

RECEIVED
JAN 14 2016

PART 50 NYS SUPECTIVE COURT - CIVIL

MARY ANN MC KENDRY, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH C. MC KENDRY,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 113335/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S Part 50
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

WHEREFORE, Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

FEB 1 1 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE MEW YORK

Erik Jacobs, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

A.O. Smith Water Products Company

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC

10 Bank Street

White Plains, New York 10606

(914) 949-2909

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

120/2016

RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

JAN 2 0 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

{S0017726.1}

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 50

FEB 11 701h

This Document Relates to:

(Moulton, P.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Index No: 109348/2011

JOSEPH LUCENT AND DOLORES **LUCENT** 

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Vanet C. Walsh, Esq.

**LOCKS LAW FIRM** Attorneys for Plaintiffs

800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor

New York, NY 10022

(212) 838-3333

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

1/20/16

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 FEB 1 1 2016 (Moulton, COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
FRANK PRIZZI and ROBERTA PRIZZI,  Plaintiffs,	Index No. 120389/01 100004/02
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including, MORSE-DIESEL INTERNATIONAL, INC.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants,	
International, Inc."), hereby requests summary judgment in the Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' concern, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with Dated: New York, New York	claims and cross-claims against defendant
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  Frank Prizzi and Roberta Prizzi  700 Broadway  MALAB  Attorneys  Morse D  150 Broad	Chetta, Esq. BY & BRADLEY, LLC s for Defendant biesel, Inc. adway, Suite 600 rk, New York 10038 1-0285
SO ORDERED, Dated: Dated:	1/20/16 / JAN 20 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 Moulden P

Index No.: 116360/02

FEB I 1 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MEW YORK

This document relates to:

DIANE DIXON, EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF LAWRENCE M. DEWALL AND DIANE DIXON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.

Defendant(s).

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Glenro, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Glenro, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Glenro, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Alysa Koloms, Esq.

MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C. Attorneys for Defendants

Glenro, Inc.

80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC Attorneys for Plaintiff

Estate of Lawrence Dewall

360 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10017

(212) 986-2233

SOORDERED

1/32/1

0805-0001

JAN 20 2016

FILED

		lles les les
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE COUNTY OF NEW YORK	OF NEW YORK	FEB 11 2016
In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION		Index No.: 105718/02 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
THOMAS BRADISH,		
	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
- against -		MOTION
WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,		
	Defendants.	
WHEREFORE Defendant	WEIL-MCLAIN herel	w requests Summary Judgment in th

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: January 4, 2016
New York, New York

Matthew I Mare Intyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.

Weil-McLain 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10022 (212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 1/22/14

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATI	E OF NEW YORK	_
In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTO	S LITIGATION	Index No.: 190085/09
PATRICK LEIGHTON,		
- against -	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
		MOTION
WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,		
	Defendants.	
above-entitled case, pursuant to opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice	Civil Practice Law ndant Weil-McLain  ce to all co-defendar same are hereby dist  Jennifer L. SEGAL M SINGER & Attorneys f Weil-McLa	Budner, Esq.  CCAMBRIDGE  AMAHONEY, LTD.  or Defendant  Avenue, Suite 1100  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. M	(212) 651-7	NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, **\**.)

FILED

This Document relates to:

LISA M. SCHRADER, as Administratrix for the Estate of BART M. MICELI, and JOAN MICELI, Individually,

Index No. 100057/99 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

FEB 11 2016

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER AS TO

**DEFENDANT** 

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/16/15

Stephen Babinecz, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Peerless Industries, Inc.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

77 Water Street, 21st Floor

New York, New York 10005

212.232.1300

File No. 1863.6858

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Dana Northeraft, Esq. Maithew T. Mac Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiff

Lisa M. Schrader, as Administratrix for the Estate of Bart M. Miceli, and Joan Miceli, Individually WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

212.558.5500

SO ORDERED.

1120/16 Hon. Peter M Moulton