Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit** > **Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

(Moulton, **?**)

HELEN SAMUDIO, AS PERSONAL

Index No: 120390/01

REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF NORBERTO SAMUDIO, AND HELEN NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

SAMUDIO, INDIVIDUALLY

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC sued herein as Dana Corporation ("Dana Companies") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Dana Companies with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York drember 23, 2015

-Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. 505an (

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, **!**:)

NYCAL

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 120390/01

HELEN SAMUDIO, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF NORBERTO SAMUDIO, AND HELEN SAMUDIO, INDIVIDUALLY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and ILED

without costs.

Dated: New York, New York December 23, 2015

JAN 14 2016

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. 90500

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavltz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

JAN 06 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50

NYCAL

This Document Relates to:

(Moulton, P.)

PATRICIA A. MESEC AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF TERRY J. MESEC AND PATRICIA

Index No: 126681/02

A. MESEC, INDIVIDUALLY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC sued herein as Dana Corporation ("Dana Companies") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Dana Companies with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 14 2016

Frank Offiz, Esq. Susas C. Bar low, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

JAN 0 6 2316

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PATRICIA A. MESEC AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF TERRY J. MESEC AND PATRICIA A. MESEC, INDIVIDUALLY

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

Index No: 103514/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 14 2016

DUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW (CLK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

JAN 06 2018

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PATRICIA A. MESEC AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF TERRY J. MESEC AND PATRICIA A. MESEC, INDIVIDUALLY

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

(Moulton, P.) Index No: 103514/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are her by dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 14 2016

UNITY OF FRK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz-Esq. 50500 C. Barlow, Esc. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor New York, NY 10016 (212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SUSAN M. WEINER, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GLYNN J. KIRCHNER

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, 1)

Index No: 110105/1998

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 14 2016

UNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ordiz, Esq. Sugar C. Barlay, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavtz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

JAN 06 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

(Moulton, P.)

SUSAN M. WEINER, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GLYNN J. KIRCHNER

Index No: 110105/98

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC sued herein as Dana Corporation ("Dana Companies") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Dana Companies with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies be and the same are hereby dismissation with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 14 2016

JUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

1/8/16



IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SUSAN M. WEINER, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GLYNN J. KIRCHNER

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

(Moulton, P.)

Index No: 110105/1998

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby lismissed with prejudice and without costs.

JAN 14 2016

Dated: New York, New York

UNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEVVK

Frank String, Bog. - Suban C. Barlow, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SHIRLEY J. SMITH -COX AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF PATRICK COX

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

(Moulton, J.) Index No: 190041/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Deumly 232015

WEITZ & LUXENBE

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

JAN 14 2016

New York, NY 10038

(212) 558-5500 / OUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW G.K

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

(Moulton, P)

Index No: 190041/12

SHIRLEY J. SMITH -COX AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF PATRICK COX

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs.

Dated: New York, New York Dumby 23, 2015

JAN 14 2016

OUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NI-WYCLIK

WEITZ & LUXENBI

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

(Moulton, P)

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 120142/01

ANN T. MEZIK, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF RONALD A. MEZIK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 1 4 2016

OUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW (CLK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon, Peter H. Moulton

JAN 3 113

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, ?)

NYCAL

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 120142/01

ANN T. MEZIK, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF RONALD A. **MEZIK**

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York Duember 23, 2015

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, 1.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107404/02

ELSENE A. BARTLETT

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, be and the same are hereby is missed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 14 2016
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

January 4 , 2016 (AR)

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. ADAM RAFFO, ESQ. Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107404/02

ELSENE A. BARTLETT

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

____,2016(AR

JAN 1 4 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S O

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. ADAM RAFTE, EST.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CIRO DEROSA

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, 1.)

Index No: 103657/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Lawary 4 , 2016 DR

JAN 1 4 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. ADAM RANGES. Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10038

(212) 558-5500

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, \$\frac{1}{2}\)

NYCAL

This Document Relates to:

Index No:103657/97

CIRO DEROSA

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC sued herein as Dana Corporation ("Dana Companies") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YOOK

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. ADAM PAFFY & Dudith A. Yavitz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, \mathbf{I}')

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 103657/97

CIRO DEROSA

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

January 4 , 2015 2016 AR

JAN 1 4 2016

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. ADAM RAFE, ESS. Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PAUL PARADISO

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

Index No: 190310/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

January 5, 2016

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE VAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD CHARLES TERRANCE AND PHYLLIS A. TERRANCE Index No.: 122198/99, 108920/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 14 2018

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dry C Ballow (cg.

Richard Charles Terrance and Phyllis A. Terrance

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

2383-26066K

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD CHARLES TERRANCE **AND** PHYLLIS A. TERRANCE

Index No.: 122198/99, 108920/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 1 4 2018

NEW YORK

OUM (BAND Attorney for Plaintiffs

Richard Charles Terrance and Phyllis A. Terrance

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

1235-16879

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122198/99, 108920/00

RICHARD CHARLES TERRANCE AND PHYLLIS A. TERRANCE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

SULMIAN 21, 2015

EW)

Attorney for Plaintiffs"

Richard Charles Terrance and Phyllis A. Terrance

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

JAN = 3 (1.3) 1122-17434

PACE ED MYSUDY CLUCK COLF CAVE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122198/99, 108920/00

RICHARD CHARLES TERRANCE AND PHYLLIS A. TERRANCE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York December 2, 2015

> Matthew D. Sampar, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Richard Charles Terrance and Phyllis A. Terrance

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

324-5087K

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 100502/98,

HAROLD C. NAIRY AND SHARON NAIRY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 14 1016

NEW YORK

Y DLERK'S OFFICE

Duxy C. By low, Cy Attorney for Plaintiffs

Harold C. Nairy and Sharon Nairy

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

<u>1/14/16</u>

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

JAN - \$ 2666

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 100502/98,

HAROLD C. NAIRY AND SHARON NAIRY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7 8 1 4 70 6

Y OLERK'S OFFICE

Dran C. Barlan, Esq.

Harold C. Nairy and Sharon Nairy

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Caitlin Bell, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

1122-3786

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 100502/98,

HAROLD C. NAIRY AND SHARON NAIRY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 14 2016

DUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Susan C. Barlow, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Harold C. Nairy and Sharon Nairy

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

<u>1/14</u>/16

SO ORDERED,

Hon, Peter H. Moulton

JAN 13 015

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton,

↑)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109071/00, 121979/99

CONO A. MASCARELLA

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 14 2016

LIBITY CLERK'S OFFICE

PHAN AWARADO

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Cono A. Mascarella

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Caitlin Bell, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

324-5052AW

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104837/97,

JOSEPH LAMPICKY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 14 2016

NEW YORK

Y OLERK'S OFFICE

Outon (Barlow, Attorney for Plaintiffs

Joseph Lampicky

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kervann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

JAN 13 2016

NYS SURE POTE SO COURT COURT

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104837/97,

JOSEPH LAMPICKY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

LED

JAN 1.4 2016

OUGATE C. DUTCOLD

DUSA C. Barlow, Egg.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Joseph Lampicky

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Caitin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc. McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

4/14/16

1122-19242

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106973/08

AUSTIN C. JOERGER AND THERESA JOERGER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York January 6__, 2016

Susa C. Barlow, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Austin C. Joerger and Theresa Joerger

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryadh M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter M. Moulton

224.0

JAN 13 7016

PAS BUTCH COME COVE

(NO482204-1)

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104745/99,

ANTHONY R. BISULCA

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Anthony R. Bisulca

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerwann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

1235-1024

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104745/99,

ANTHONY R. BISULCA

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JAN 14 2018

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Super C. Barlow, Eng. Attorney for Plaintiffs

Anthony R. Bisulca

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Cardin Bell, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

JAN 1 3 2016



IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 3のくつ

(Moulton, P.)

Alice Golightly, as Executrix for the Estate of John Golightly, and Alice Golightly, Individually,

Index No. 112029/08

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. Smith Water Co.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS TO DEFENDANT
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES**, **INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Heather Janis Gaw, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Peerless Industries, Inc.

Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP

77 Water Street, 21st Floor New York, New York 10005

(212) 232-1300 File No. 1863.15913 Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Alice Golightly, as Executri

Alice Golightly, as Executrix for the Estate of John Golightly, and Alice Golightly, Individually

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

1/14/16

JAN 13 2016

WYS SUPTISHE COURT - CIVIL



IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30 50

(Moulton, P.)

John J. Haugh

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 120465/00

-against-

A.O. Smith Water Co.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS TO DEFENDANT

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.

Lew T. Mac Intyre

WHEREFORE, defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., be and the same all creeby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Heather Janis Gaw, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Peerless Industries, Inc.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

77 Water Street, 21st Floor

New York, New York 10005

(212) 232-1300 File No. 1863.17101 Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

John J. Haugh

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

JAN 1 3 2016

9107 0 0 kmm

TROSSUS NOTTABITILI ONYBNI



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30 50

(Moulton, P.)

Rafael Hernandez and Eladia E. Hernandez,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 109536/00

-against-

A.O. Smith Water Co.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. JAN 14 1016

Dated: New York, New York

Heather Janis Gaw, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant Peerless Industries, Inc.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

77 Water Street, 21st Floor

New York, New York 10005

(212) 232-1300 File No. 1863.22511

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Rafael Hernandez and Eladia E. Hernandez

then I Mar In

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

1462/98 TION SUMMARY T MOTION AND ORDER ENDANT INDUSTRIES, INC.
1462/98 TION SUMMARY F MOTION AND ORDER ENDANT
1462/98 TION SUMMARY F MOTION AND ORDER ENDANT
TION SUMMARY MOTION AND ORDER ENDANT
TION SUMMARY MOTION AND ORDER ENDANT
T MOTION AND ORDER ENDANT
T MOTION AND ORDER ENDANT
INDUSTRIES, INC.
d cross claims against ismissed with prejudice
ic Intgre
ļ.
.c [[E D
0003
JAN 1 4 2016
JAN 14 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
di q

JAN 13 2018



INSYNG LITICATION SUPPORT

JAN 0 6 2019



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 3050 (Moulton, P.)

James Leo Downing, as Personal Representative for the Estate of James Lee Downing.

Index No. 190244/09

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. Smith Water Products.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 1.14

Dated: New York, New York

Heather Janis Gaw, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Peerless Industries, Inc.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

77 Water Street, 21st Floor

New York, New York 10005

(212) 232-1300

File No. 1863.19462

Attorney for Plaintiffs

James Leo Downing, as Personal Representative for the Estate of James Lee Downing

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

INSYNO LITIGATION SUPPORT

JAN U 6 2016

7 1.00
Index No.: 190 348/11
<u> </u>
NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
I.A.C. Dout 50
I.A.S. Part 50 Hon. Peter H. Moulton Coany hereby requests Summary
pany hereby requests Summary
ee Law and Rules Sections 3212,
sterton Company, with prejudice,
I claims and cross claims against
reby dismissed with prejudice and
hout further notice with the Clerk
tion may be signed in separate
d to be original signatures for all
Relrod, Esq. IcCAMBRIDGE & MAHONEY, LTD. for Defendant sterton Company Avenue, Suite 1100 , New York 10022 7500

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
WILLIAM NORTON and IRENE NORTON,	Index No.: 190134-11
Plaintiffs, -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	I.A.S. Part 30 50 Hon. Peter H. Moulton
WHEREFORE, Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION	, Individually, and as Successor
to York Shipley, Inc. (hereinafter "COMPUDYNE CORPORATION	N"), hereby requests Summary
Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice I	aw and Rules Sections 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant COMPUDYNE CO	ORPORATION, with prejudice,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court. This No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion may be signed in separate counterparts and facsimile or PDF signatures shall be deemed to be original signatures for all purposes of this motion.

Dated: 12/24 New York, New York Matthew T. Mac Int

Matthew McIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

and there being no opposition thereto,

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003. TO SERKS (212) 558-5500

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE

SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant CompuDyne Corporation, Individually, and as Successor to York-Shipley 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, New York 10022 (212) 651-7500

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	•
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
CARMELLA NAIMOLI, Individually, and ANTHONY NAIMOLI as Executor for the Estate of JOSEPH NAIM Plaintiffs,	
-against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	I.A.S. Part 30°5 ≥ Hon. Peter H. Moulton
Defendants.	X
WHEREFORE, Defendant COMPUDYNE CO	
to York Shipley, Inc. (hereinafter "COMPUDYNE C	ORPORATION"), hereby requests Summary
Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C	ivil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant CO	MPUDYNE CORPORATION, with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defe	ndants, all claims and cross claims against
Defendant, COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, be and	the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice
and without costs to either party. This stipulation may b	be filed without further notice with the Clerk of
the Court. This No Opposition Summary Judgment Mot	ion may be signed in separate counterparts and
facsimile or PDF signatures shall be deemed to be origin	nal signatures for all purposes of this motion.
Dated: 12/16 2015 New York, New York M # 7 m 1 +	
Matthew McIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500 SO ORDERED, Hop. Peter H. Maulton	Katrina H. Murphy, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant CompuDyne Corporation, Individually, and as Successor to York-Shipley 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, New York 10022 (212) 651-7500 1/1///6
Hon. Peter H. Moulton	and The Civil

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	N
JOSEPH FOSTER and VICTORIA FOSTER,	X Index No.: 190411/11
Plaintiffs, -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	I.A.S. Part 30 5 O Hon. Peter H. Moulton
Defendants.	X
WHEREFORE, Defendant COMPUDYNI	E CORPORATION, Individually, and as
Successor to York Shipley, Inc. (hereinafter "COMP	UDYNE CORPORATION"), hereby requests
Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursu	ant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections
3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defen	ndant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defe	endants, all claims and cross claims against
Defendant, COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, be and	the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice
and without costs to either party. This stipulation may	be filed without further notice with the Clerk
of the Court. This No Opposition Summary Jud	gment Motion may be signed in separate
counterparts and facsimile or PDF signatures shall	be deemed to be original signatures for all
purposes of this motion.	- 42
Dated: January S, 2016 New York, New York	Jan 14 113
<u>.</u>	COUNTY OLERKS OFFICE NEW YORK
Matthew McIntyre, Esq.	Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.	SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway	SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant
New York, New York 10003	CompuDyne Corporation, Individually,
(212) 558-5500	and as Successor to York-Shipley
	850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
	New York, New York 10022
SO ODDEDED A	(212) 651-7500
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulto	77/6
Fig. 1 out 11. Iviound	JAN - 2 2016
	(400 0 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 107005/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

GORDON HOSFORD,

Plaintiff(s),
- against
WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: January 4, 2016
New York, New York

Matthew T. Max Intyr

Frank Ortiz, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant Weil-McLain 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10022 (212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton

In Re: NEW YOR	K CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION	Index No.: 111229/01
CASIMIR ROGUS	S,	
	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION
	- against -	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
WEIL-MCLAIN,	et al.,	
	Defendants.	

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no

Dated: January 4, 2016
New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

opposition thereto,

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant Weil-McLain 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10022 (212) 651-7500

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190077/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

FRANK MOORE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against
WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: January 4, 2016
New York, New York

Matthew T. Mar Intyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

Weil-McLain

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, NY 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

1/14/16

JAM 4 8 2018

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION Index No.: 190411/11 JOSEPH FOSTER and VICTORIA FOSTER, NO OPPOSITION Plaintiffs. SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION -against-A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. I.A.S. Part 30' 50 Hon. Peter H. Moulton Defendants. WHEREFORE, Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, Individually, and as Successor to York Shipley, Inc. (hereinafter "COMPUDYNE CORPORATION"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court. This No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion may be signed in separate counterparts and facsimile or PDF signatures shall be deemed to be original signatures for all purposes of this motion. JAN 14 7 Dated: January 5, 2016 New York, New York Matthew McIntyre, Esq. Katrina H. Murphy, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE Attorneys for Plaintiff SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. 700 Broadway Attorneys for Defendant New York, New York 10003 CompuDyne Corporation, Individually, (212) 558-5500 and as Successor to York-Shipley 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, New York 10022 (212) 651-7500

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton)

-X

TAMMY BRADISH, as Executrix for the Estate of THOMAS BRADISH,

Index No. 112261/02 105718/02

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al., including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

_____X

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
January 5, 2018

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Tammy Bradish, as Executrix for the Estate of
Thomas Bradish
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285

(===)

SO ORDERED

Hon Peter H. Moulton

Dated: //

JAN 13 2016

JAN 14

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NECOUNTY OF NEW YORK	EW YORK
In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIC	Index No.: 104731/00 GATION
JOSEPH M. DEMAURO,	NO ODDOGUTION
Plaintif	no opposition ff(s), SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
- against -	
AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,	
Defend	dants.
Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursu	RA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary ant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, fendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and
ORDERED, that upon notice to all	l co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and	the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs to either party.	
Dated: Dumly 22, 2015 New York, New York	JAN 14 30.3
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Ov600 C. Barlow, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500	Katrina H. Murphy, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant Aurora Pump Company 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10022 (212) 651-7500
SO ORDERED, Høn. Peter H. Moulton	1/4/16

SUPREME COURT OF THE ST. COUNTY OF NEW YORK			
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBI	ESTOS LITIGAT	ION	Index No.: 190150/10
LEO REGINALD AKERS,		X	
-against-	Plaintiff,		NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AFTON PUMPS INC., et al.,			
	Defendants.	X	I.A.S. Part 50 Hon. Peter H. Moulton
WHEREFORE, Defend		2 %	ny hereby requests Summary
Judgment in the above-entitled c	ease, pursuant to	Civil Practice	Law and Rules Sections 3212
dismissing plaintiff's complaint a	against Defendan	t A.W. Cheste	erton Company, with prejudice
and there being no opposition the	reto,		
ORDERED, that upon n	otice to all co-de	fendants, all	claims and cross claims against
Defendant, A.W. Chesterton Com	npany, be and the	same are here	by dismissed with prejudice and
without costs to either party. This	s stipulation may	be filed with	out further notice with the Clerk
of the Court. This No Opposit	ion Summary Ju	dgment Moti	on may be signed in separate
counterparts and facsimile or PD	OF signatures sha	ll be deemed	to be original signatures for al
purposes of this motion.			
Dated:O¹/11/2016 New York, New York Janet Walsh, Esq. LOCKS LAW FIRM, PLLC Attorneys for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue, 11 th Floor New York, New York 10022 (212) 838-3333	Hon. Peter H. Mo	Madina Axe SEGAL Mc SINGER & Attorneys for A.W. Cheste 850 Third A New York, N (212) 651-75	CAMBRIDGE MAHONEY, LTD. Defendant orton Company venue, Suite 1100 New York 10022

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	YORK
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	x NYCAL
LEO REGINALD AKERS,	x Index No. 190150/2010
Plaintiff, -against- AFTON PUMPS, INC., et al., including WESTERN AUTO SUPPLY COMPA	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER NY,
Defendants.	
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint ag and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-det defendant Western Auto be and the same are here Dated: New York, New York	gainst defendant Western Auto with prejudice, fendants, all claims and cross claims against eby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.
January 11 2018	MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
LAW LOCKS FIRM, PLLC	
Janet C. Walsh, Esq. 800 Third Avenue, 11 th Floor New York, New York 10022 Attorneys for Plaintiff Leo Reginald Akers	David P. Schaffer, Esq. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 Attorneys for Defendant Western Auto Supply Company.
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	Dated: 1/14/16 WYS SUPER TO COUNTY CO

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY NYCAL ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. PART 50 (Moulton, ?) INDEX NO. 190150/2010 PAUL R. AKERS, as Administrator for the Estate of LEO REGINALD AKERS, deceased, : (Locks Law Firm, PLLC/ November 2015 FIFO Cluster) Plaintiff(s), -against-NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AFTON PUMPS INC., et al., FOR PARKER-HANNIFIN Defendants. CORPORATION

WHEREFORE, defendant Parker-Hannifin Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Parker-Hannifin Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Parker-Hannifin Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November , 2015

Janot C. Walsh, Esq.

Locks Law Firm, PLLC

800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor AN 14 2003

New York, New York 10022

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) UNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Leo Reginald Akers, deceased

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.

Troutman Sanders LLP

875 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10022

212-704-6000

Attorneys for Defendant

Parker-Hannifin Corporation

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATI	ON
This Document Relates To:	X
JOSEPH A. IANNUZZELLI, Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Hon. Peter H. Moulton)
-against-	Index No.: 120397/2001
ABB, INC. as successor in interest to ITE CIRCUIT BREAKERS, INC., et al,	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO.	hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice	Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE	CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-def	endants, all claims and cross claims against
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500	

DEC \$ 0 2015

DEC 2 2 2015

JAN 1 3 2016

JAN 14 :- .

NTY CLERKS OFFICE NEW YORK

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

536.20411/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

KARL JURSON, Deceased,

Plaintiff.

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL

IAS PART 50

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

75 Index No.: 132987/93

*0*34772/92 108082/06

(November 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: /2/24//5
East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. Mar Intyre

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ. WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESD.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Homorable Peter H. Moulton

JAN 1 3 2016

536.16025/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL IAS PART 50

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 119377/00

107328/01

100771/03

JOSEPH TOTO,

Plaintiff,

(November 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,

-against-

et al.,

NO OPPOSTION

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/21/15

East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff (

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

FLINN &

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350

P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED.

Honorable Peter H. Moulton

J/M 14

JAN **1 3** 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

536.09776/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

GEORGE E. MILLER.

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 120430/01 100306/02

(November 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/16/15
East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

JAN 14 203

COUNTY OFFICE NEW YORK

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, SEQ.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Peter H. Moulton /13/16.

JAN **1 3** 2016

HON, PETER H. MOULTON

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

536.16080/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

This document relates to:

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

IAS PART 50

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

768

Index No.: 125678/99

NYCAL

107312/00

(November 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

ALBERT MARINO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

AND ORDER

NO OPPOSTION

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Cohveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/16/15

East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

New York, New York 10003 JAN 14 20.3

STEPHEN F. PALSAMO, ESQ.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, P.O. Box 438
Ract W

SO ORDERED.

Honorable Peter H. Moulton //3/16.

JAN 1 3 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

536.08989/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

RONALD A. SINATRA, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 105405/99

(November 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/24/15East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. Max Intgra

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

JAN 14 203

STEPHEN F. BAZSAMO, ESO.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

JAN 1 3 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

536.09940/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

EUGENE M. KOLACKI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL

IAS PART 50

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 107806/99

(November 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs

DATED: 12/21/15

East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESO. WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

JAN 14 2013

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO,

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350

P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED.

JAN 1 3 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

536.14985/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

GLENN L. BURROWS, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 108355/00 110353/00

(November 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/21/15 DATED:

East Hanover, New Jersey

MATTHEW MACINTYRE & ESQ

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

New York, New York 1000 1 14 20.3 TOWNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO,

GARRITY, FRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

1/13/16

SO ORDERED,

Homorable Peter H. Moulton

JAN 1 3 2016

536.21773/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

CHARLES BAGGIANO, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 106480/93

132167/93 100387/08

(June 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Fractice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESO.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350

P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

536.07466/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

JAN **1 3** 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 115075/98

NYCAL

RALPH N. BORELLI,

108709/01

Plaintiff,

(June 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

-against-

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,

AND ORDER

et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation who and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs

DATED: 12/16/15

East Hanover, New Jersey

JAN 14 28:3

Matthew T. Mac Intyre MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESO.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPPEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.

GARRITY, GXAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350

F.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

JAN 1 3 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

536.13065/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

JAMES WILLIAM CARR, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 111089/02

(June 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/16/15 DATED:

East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. Max Int MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESO.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway ·

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESO.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350

P.O. Box 438

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE ast Hanover, New Jersey 07936

NEW YORK

JAN 14 28.3

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Peter H. Moulton

NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD RECEIVED

JAN 1 3 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

536.12337/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

RALPH A. MENENDEZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 107208/00

125766/99 107208/00

(June 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/16/15

East Hanover, New Jersey

JAN 1 4 2016

Matthew T. Mas Intyre TUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ. WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ. GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 F.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Peter H. Moulton

JAN 1 3 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

536.12675/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

JOHN P. PATTERSON, SR., Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
IAS PART 50
(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

(June 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

JAN 14 2015

DATED: 12/16/15
East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthe of Man Intere

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ. GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GARO & FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Peter H. Moulton

_]/13/14

JAN 1 3 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

536.07705/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

JOSEPH P. SWIADOWSKY, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 115633/01 111218/01

(June 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without sosts.

DATED: 12/16/15

-East Hanover, New Jersey

JAN 14 2013

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESO. WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESO. GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Peter H. Mouitor

	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW OF NEW YORK	YORK		
			NYC	`
		Λ		Part 30 50
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY		(Mou	
IIV K.E.	ASBESTOS LITIGATION		(Mou	itori)
	ASDESTOS ETTICATION		Index No. (02 108760
		Y	March 20	
THIS DOC	UMENT RELATES TO: DANIEL A. PRZEPIORA	ED	NO OPPO	OSITION
	JAN 14	2013 X	JUDGI MOTION A	
	COUNTY CLERK NEW YO	'S OFFICE		
WH	EREFORE, defendant Daimler T	rucks North	America LLC,	sued herein as
"Freightline	er Corporation" ("DTNA"), hereby i	requests summa	ry judgment in t	he above-entitled
case, pursua	ant to Civil Practice Law and Rule	s Section 3212,	dismissing pla	intiff's complaint
against defe	endant DTNA with prejudice, and the	ere being no opp	osition thereto,	
ORI	DERED, that upon notice to all co	-defendants, all	claims and cro	ss claims against
defendant D	OTNA, be and the same are hereby d	ismissed with pr	ejudice and with	nout costs.
Dated:	$\frac{12}{2}$, 2015			
Ву:	then T Mac Intyre	ву: <u> </u>	ur Du	,
	tthew T. MacIntyre lk Orti z, Esq.	Z. Joseph	J. Ortego, Esq.	
	ITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.	-	Borruso, Esq.	
	rneys for Plaintiff		PEABODY LI	р
	Broadway, 6 th Floor		ys for Defendan	
	V York, NY 10003		er Trucks North	
	2) 558-5500		Freightliner Co	
(212	-,		ho Quadrangle,	*
			NY 11753-272	
			32-7500	
		1/13/		
SO ORDER	Hon. Peter H. Moulton		9	
		,		

	COURT OF THE STATE OF S OF NEW YORK	NEW Y	ORK						
				X		NYO			
						I.A.S.	Part 30	150	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY					(Mou	lton)		
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION				T	I NI	00 100	240	
				v		lex No.			
	JMENT RELATES TO:			A		1arch 20	99-108 115 FIE		
		A N	20° 32.00		1,	iaion 20	,13 1 11	0	
ľ	MELVIN KALACHMAN		-	Land B	N	O OPPO	OSITI	ON	
	N.					SUMN	IARY		
		JAN 1	4 2016			JUDG	MENT		
				X		TION A	ND O	<u>RDER</u>	
WH	EREFORE, defendant Daiml	NTY CLEI NL VV \ ler Truc	RKS O YORK oks N	orth	: America	LLC,	sued	herein	as
"Freightline	r Corporation" ("DTNA"), her	eby requ	uests s	umma	ıry judgn	nent in 1	the abo	ve-enti	tled
case, pursua	ant to Civil Practice Law and	Rules S	Section	3212	, dismis	sing pla	intiff's	compl	aint
against defe	ndant DTNA with prejudice, as	nd there	being	no op	position	thereto,			
ORI	DERED, that upon notice to a	ill co-de	fendan	its, al	claims	and cro	ss clai	ms aga	inst
defendant D	TNA, be and the same are here	eby dism	issed v	with p	rejudice	and wit	hout co	sts.	
Dated:	[2] 국 ⁹ , 2015								
Mcut Fran WEI Atto 700 New	Hew MacIntyre of thew MacIntyre of thew MacIntyre of the Ortiz, Esq. TTZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Trineys for Plaintiff Broadway, 6th Floor York, NY 10003 1) 558-5500		Jo S N A A S S J	oseph lanto l NIXON lttorne Daiml s/h/a 0 Jeri ericho	J. Orteg Borruso, N PEAB eys for D er Truck 'Freight cho Qua o, NY 11	Esq. ODY LI efendan s North liner Co drangle, 753-272	t, Amerio rporati Suite (ion"	

_1/13/16

SO ORDERED,

	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YO F NEW YORK	RK	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Heitler, S.) Index No. 09-190181 February 2015 FIFO
THIS DOCU	JMENT RELATES TO: OMENIC NASSO		NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
"Freightliner case, pursua against defendent D' defendant D' Dated: By:	. The wayse	ests summary ction 3212, deing no oppose andants, all classed with prej By: Luc Santo Bor NIXON F Attorneys Daimler s/h/a "Fr 50 Jeriche	judgment in the above-entitled lismissing plaintiff's complaint sition thereto, laims and cross claims against udice and without costs. Ortego, Esq. rruso, Esq. PEABODY LLP for Defendant, Trucks North America LLC reightliner Corporation" to Quadrangle, Suite 300 NY 11753-2728
SO ORDER	ED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	13/16-	

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK			
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 36 5 0 (Heitler, S.) Index No. 01-119776		
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	-A reducity 2013 FIFO		
JOSEPH F. KLEIN	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER -X		
WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North "Freightliner Corporation" ("DTNA"), hereby requests summ			
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 32	12, dismissing plaintiff's complaint		
against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no	opposition thereto,		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against			
defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.			
Dated: 12 17 15, 2015			
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway, 6 th Floor New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 JAN 14 20:5 Santa NIX Attor Attor Santa NIX TO Broadway, 6 th Floor New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Santa NIX Attor Santa NIX TO BRES Santa NIX Attor Santa NIX TO BRES Santa NIX Attor Santa NIX Attor Santa NIX Attor Santa NIX TO BRES Dai Santa Santa NIX Attor Attor Santa NIX Attor Attor Santa NIX Attor NIX Attor Santa NIX Attor NIX Attor Santa NIX Attor NIX Attor Santa NIX Attor Santa NIX Attor Santa NIX Attor NIX Attor Santa Santa Santa NIX Attor Santa Santa	ph J. Ortego, Esq. o Borruso, Esq. ON PEABODY LLP rneys for Defendant, mler Trucks North America LLC a "Freightliner Corporation" ericho Quadrangle, Suite 300 sho, NY 11753-2728 b) 832-7500		

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR COUNTY OF NEW YORK			
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50		
This Document Relates to:	(ividuiton, 4.)		
TOCEDILE KLEDI	Index No. 119776/01 111232/01		
JOSEPH F. KLEIN	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER FEBRUARY 2015 FIFO		
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV			
REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summa	ary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant		
to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant,			
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC	C. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with		
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,			
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-de	fendants, all claims and cross-claims against		
defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION	N CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,		
INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejud	dice and without costs.		
Dated: New York, New York 121715, 2015			
Matthew T. Mac Intyre	1		
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.G. Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway	JAMES EDWARDS AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS Attorneys for Defendant TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION		
New York, NY 10003 JAN 14 2015	CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.		
ROUNTY CLERK'S OFFIC NOW YORK	New York, New York 10038 (212) 513-7788		
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	JAN 06 2016		

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

ÛU555411 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK WILLIAM A. STOCKHAUSEN and ANGELA STOCKHAUSEN, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff(s), MOTION AND ORDER Index No.: 190062/2011 -against-A.C. &. S. INC., et al., NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 50 Defendant(s),

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew Macintyre, Zsq.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Weitz & Luxenberg

JAN 14 2015

700 Broadway

New York, New York LOODS CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

X:CLB/57811./legal/NOSJM/JUNE2015 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY ALICIA CALGIOVANNI, as Administratrix for the JUDGMENT MOTION AND Estate of NICHOLAS A. MANGINELLI, Plaintiff(s). ORDER Index No.: 109020/00 - against -NYCAL A.C. & S. INC., et al.; LA.S. Part 50 Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. Matthew T. Mac Intyre NEW YORK Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew T. MacInty re Shawnette Fluitt, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. Weitz & Luxenberg Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14th Floor 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 New York, New York 10006 (212) 313-3600 SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter Moulton

X:CLB/57768./legal/NOSJM/june2015	
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
JORDAN JAMES BUTLER,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
Plaintiff(s),	ORDER
- against -	Index No.: 114079/02
A.C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50
X	
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS	, INC. hereby request summary
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practical	ctice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEA	VER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants,	all claims and cross claims against
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC., be and the same	are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.	
Tank Ortiz, Esq. Mathew T. Mac Intyle Shaw Attorney for Plaintiffs Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 New York, New York 10003	Am
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter Moulton	JAN 07 2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
WILLIAM NORTON and IRENE NORTON,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
Plaintiff(s),	ORDER ORDER
- against -	Index No.: 190225/09
A.C.&S. INC., et al.;	NYCAL
Defendants.	I.A.S. Part 30 SO

WHEREFORE, defendants ECR International f/k/a Dunkirk Radiator Corporation hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ECR International f/k/a Dunkirk Radiator Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ECR International f/k/a Dunkirk Radiator Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

JAN 1 4 2015

Ambre Brandis, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

JUST CLERK'S OFFICE JOSEPH Digregorio, Esq.

Attorneys for ECR International f/k/a

Dunkirk Radiator Corporation Barry McTiernan & Moore

2 Rector Street, 14th Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon Sherry Klein-Heitler

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

Jan 07 2016

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
Х	NYCAL
IN RE: New York City Asbestos Litigation	Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.
JOHN JOSEPH BRADSHAW,	
	Index No.: 104954/99
Plaintiffs,	
-against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	JUDGMENT MOTION
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO, et al.,	TAC D 60
Defendants.	IAS Part 50
Defendants.	
WHEREFORE, defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS	, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and R	Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC	with prejudice, and there being no opposition
thereto	

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew T. Mic Intyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway New York, New York (212) 558-5500 Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter Moulton

116

JANIA

NEW YORK OFFICE

X:FBW/34274./dismissals/nosjm/november2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

SHARRON DEYETTE, Individually and as Executrix For the Estate of RAYMOND DEYETTE, Plaintiff(s),

Defendants.

- against -

A.C.&S. INC., et al.;

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 118139/98

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 36 50

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with FILED prejudice and without costs.

JAN 14 2018

Matthew T. Mue latyre COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Sagar Chadha, Esq. Matthew T. Mac Intere Dyan Kleinman, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.

Barry McTiernan & Moore

2 Rector Street, 14th Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter Moulton

X:CLB/60585./dismissals/nosjm/november2015	
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
SHARRON DEYETTE, Individually and as Executrix For the Estate of RAYMOND DEYETTE, Plaintiff(s),	
- against -	Index No.: 118139/98
A.C.&S. INC., et al.;	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 50
Defendants.	X
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROO	KS, INC. hereby request summary
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil I	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLI	EAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendar	nts, all claims and cross claims against
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the sar without costs.	me are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
JAN 14 2015	
Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 New York, New York 10003	Dyn Cli
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter Moulton	RECEIVEDI

X:FBW/44304./legal/NOSJM/JUNE2015	
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
ANN T. MEZIK, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of RONALD A. MEZIK,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Plaintiff(s),	Older
- against -	Index No.: 120142/01
A.C. & S. INC., et al.;	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 36 50
Defendants.	1.A.S. 1 art yo

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

JAN 14 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg

New York, New York 10003

Brian Feld, Esq.

Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.

Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14th Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

700 Broadway

Hon. Peter Moulton

1/8/16

JAN 07 2316

MYS RUSE PAST 52 107 COVII

X:CLB/59895./legal/NOSJM/JUNE2015 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
ANN T. MEZIK, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of RONALD A. MEZIK,	
Plaintiff(s),	ORDER
- against -	Index No.: 120142/01
A.C. & S. INC., et al.;	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 3650
Defendants.	
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROC judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CL and there being no opposition thereto,	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, EAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defenda	nts, all claims and cross claims against
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC., be and the sa without costs.	me are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
Attorney for Plaintiffs Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 A A B C N N N N N N N N N N N N	hawnette Fluitt, Esq. ttorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. arry McTiernan & Moore Rector Street, 14 th Floor ew York, New York 10006 212) 313-3600
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter Moulton	JAN 07 2316

X:CLB/58625./legal/NOSJM	
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
LORRAINE CLAUSEN, Individually and as Pers Representative for the Estate of ROBERT CLAUS Plaintiff(s),	onal NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
- against -	Index No.: 113869/99
A.C. & S. INC., et al.; Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 SO
WHEREFORE, defendants <i>CLEAVER-B</i>	ROOKS, INC. hereby request summary
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C	Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants	s CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,	
	endants, all claims and cross claims against
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. Abeland	ge same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs. JAN 14	2015
Peter Tambini, Esq. Susan C. Balow, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	Shawnette Rluitt, Esq. Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14 th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 313-3600
SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler	1/8/16 JAN 07 2616
HON. PETER H. MOULTON	NYS SCHOOL COM

X:FBW/39200./dismissals/nosjm/august 2015			
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK			
SANDRA W. CAPOGNA, Individually and as Executrix Of ARMOND J. CAPOGNA,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER		
Plaintiff(s),	ORDER		
- against -	Index No.: 104329/00 & 125799/99		
A.C. & S. INC., et al.; Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50		
WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary			
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,			
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with			
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,			
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against			
defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the	-		
prejudice and without costs.			
144/15 8 14C			
Attorney for Plaintiffs Weitz & Luxenberg Barry 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 New	n Feld, Esq. rneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc. y McTiernan & Moore ctor Street, 14 th Floor York, New York 10006) 313-3600		
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter Moulton	LED NIA 75		

DUNTY CLERKS (S. FROE NEW YORK)

JAN 14 2016

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

MARGREE WOODS, as Executrix for the Estate of ELLIS WOODS, and MARGREE WOODS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK**

Index No.: 112524-98

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants

WHEREFORE, defendant Marine Transport Lines, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Marine Transport Lines, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Marine Transport Lines, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Thomas M. Canevari, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Marine Transport Lines

FREEHILL, HOGAN & MAHAR LLP

80 Pine Street

New York, NY 10005-1759

(212) 381-3017

Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5791

SO ORDERED.

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10003

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JAN **14** 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SHIRLEY MCCORMICK as the Proposed **Executrix for the Estate of DONALD** MCCORMICK SR. and SHIRLEY MCCORMICK, Individually

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, 1.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK**

Index No: 190195/2009

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

December 16, 2015

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Lisa Nathanson Busch, Esq. McH-1400 T

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. MCCIMYEDARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JAN **14** 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY **ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

This Document Relates to:

SHIRLEY MCCORMICK as the Proposed Executrix for the Estate of DONALD MCCORMICK SR. and SHIRLEY MCCORMICK, Individually

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, ♣)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK**

Index No: 190195/2009

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

December 16, 2015

Matthew T. Mac Intyre Lisa Nathanson Busch, Esq. MCHYCW T.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Mac Intere DARGER ERRÄNTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 36 50 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	Index No.: 105974-00 121985-99
MILDRED GALANO, as Personal Representative for the Estate of CRESCENZO GALANO, and MUDRED GALANO, Individually, Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	KEELER/DORR-
Defendant.	OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice

and without costs.

Dated: September 2, 2014

Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212)558-5500

Russell S. Jamison, Esq. MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.

Attorneys for Defendant

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER

COMPANY

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501 Harrison, New York 10528

(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitlon



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORKX	NYCAL	
In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATIONX	I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P)	
This document relates to:	Index No.:	108355/2000 110353/2000
JANICE E POTTER as Executrix for the Estate of DEENN L. BURROWS,		
Plaintif GOV	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AN	'n
-against-	ORDER AS T DEFENDANT	O
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, COLOR Defendants.	KEELER-DORR- OLIVER BOILER	
Defendants.	<u>COMPANY</u>	

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 3, 2015 Harrison, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Irina Hovhannisyan, Esq. MARIN GOODMAN, LLP Attorneys for Defendant

KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER **COMPANY**

500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501 Harrison, New York 10528

(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Monorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C

1/8/16

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 € 0 (Moulton, ?)
This document relates to:	Index No.: 190224/2010
CINDY A HEUER, as Executrix for the Estate of HARRY A. STOCKER, Individually,	NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiffs,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
-against-	ORDER AS TO
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	DEFENDANT <u>KEELER/DORR-OLIVER</u> BOILER COMPANY
Defendant.	BOILER COMPANY
, and the same of	

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 2,2015 Harrison, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Harry A. Stocker

700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Irina Hovhannisyan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER

COMPANY

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501 Harrison, New York 10528 (212) 661-1151

NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORKX	NYCAL _
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATIONX	I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Moulton, P.)
This document relates to:	Index No.: 105718/02 112292/02
PAUL J. WELLER, as Executor for the Estate of BOYD N. WELLER,	
Plaintiffs,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
-against-	ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
Defendant.	DOLLAR COMPANY

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 30, 2015 Harrison, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERS PAttorneys for Plaintiff

Boyd N. Weller 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

JAN 1 4 2016

Irina Hovhannisyan, Esq. MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.

Attorneys for Defendant

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER

COMPANY

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501 Harrison, New York 10528

(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

JAN 08 255

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE X NEW YORK Y CAC I.A.S. Part 20 5 0 (Moulton, R)
This document relates to:	Index No.: 190232/2010
ANNIE SMYTH, as Administratrix for the Estate of SMYTH, and ANNIE SMYTH, Individually,	THOMAS NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
Plaintiffs,	JUDGMENT MOTION AND
-against-	ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, e	
Defendant.	

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 30, 2015 Harrison, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Thomas Smyth 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Irina Hovhannisyan, Esq. MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.

Attorneys for Defendant

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER

COMPANY

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501 Harrison, New York 10528

(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

i/8/16

JAN 96 2016

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE (COUNTY OF NEW YORK	OF NEW YORK	NIVCAL
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	COUNTY 2016	A.S. Part 30 50 (Moulton, P)
This document relates to:	COUNTY X 2016 NEW YORK OFFICE A SHATERNIK,	Index No.: 112689/00 122194/99
THEODORE SHATERNIK and LYDIA	A SHATERNIK,	
		NO OPPOSITION
		SUMMARY
Plaintit	ffs,	JUDGMENT
		MOTION AND
-against-		ORDER AS TO
		DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER CO	OMPANY, et al.	KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
		BOILER COMPANY
Defend	lant.	
	X	

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 50, 2015 Harrison, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff Theodore Shaternik 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Irina Hovhannisyan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528

(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

JAN 06 2016

FART 50 NYS SUPRI 18 C DUF - CIVIL I

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 ₲0 (Moulton, p)
This document relates to:	Index No.: 100554/01,
WILLIAM SCHMIDT and ROSEMARY CHMIDT,	119491/96, 113281/97, 111063/98,
Plaintiffs, JAN 14 2016	112962/99. NO OPPOSITION
-against-	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
NEW YORK KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
	KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
Defendant.	

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 20, 2015 Harrison, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
William Schmidt
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.

Attorneys for Defendant

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501

Harrison, New York 10528

Irina Hovhannisyan, Esq.

(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

1/8/16

JAN 06 2016

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF N COUNTY OF NEW YORK	NeyFork (A) = D	NYCAL
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	VAIV 14 2010	I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Moulton, P)
This document relates to: CRAIG ODLUND, as Executor for the	NEW YOUR OFFICE	Index No.: 122688/93 122460/93
CRAIG ODLUND, as Executor for the	Estate of DICK	101533/05
E. ODLUND, and PHYLLIS ODLUND	, Individually,	
	·	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
Plaintiffs,		JUDGMENT MOTION AND
-against-		ORDER AS TO
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMI	PANY, et al.	DEFENDANT KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
Defendant.		

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 30, 2015 Harrison, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Dick E. Odlund
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Irina Hovhannisyan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528

(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

JAN 26 2913

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK NYCAL I.A.S. Part 3050 In Re NEW YORK COUNTY (Moulton, \mathcal{D}) ASBESTOS LITIGATION COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE This document relates to: Index No.: 105874/02 109937/02 BRUCE N. FLANIGAN and MARY FLANIGAN NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiffs, MOTION AND ORDER AS TO -against-DEFENDANT KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al. KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY Defendant.

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 30, 2015 Harrison, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorneys for Plaintiff Bruce N. Flanigan 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Irina Hovhannisyan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Monorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

JAN 06 2016



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JAN 14 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, **?**)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN BAUER,

Index No. 190375/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Pimothy M. McCahn, Est. DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

HON. Peter J. Moulton

JAN 06 2016

PART 50

PART 50

CAVE SLOWER - CAVIL

Our File #: S-4153-10

JAN 14 2016

SUPREME COURT	OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR	K
COUNTY OF NEW	YORK	

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NYCAL NEW YORK
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, 19)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190077/10

FRANK MOORE,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

HON. Peter J Moulton

JAN 06 2016

PART 50

NYS SUPPRESS COURT - COVIL

Our File #: S-4052-10

JAN 1 4 2016

SUPREME COURT	OF T	HE STA	TE OF	NEW	YORK
COUNTY OF NEW	YOR	K			

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NYCAL

NEW YORK

I.A.S. Part 50

(Moulton, \(\)?)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190088/10

RONALD J. UNDERDOWN,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq. DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

HON. Peter H Moulton

JAN **06** 2016

PART 50 EMPLE IC COURT - CIVIL

S-4055-10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JAN 14 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 CLERK'S OFFICE (Moulton, I.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190224/10

HARRY A. STOCKER,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCanzi, Esq. DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

HON. Peter M. Moulton

JAN 06 2016

JAN 14 2016

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NYCAL NEW YORK
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, ₱)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190092/12

WILLIAM E. HANNA,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York. New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann/Esq. DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

HON. Peter H Moulton

JAN **06** 2016

PART 50 FINIAE COURT - CIVIL

Our File #: S-4012-12



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NYCAL NEW YORK I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, ₱.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 107005/02

GORDON HOSFORD,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq. DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

HON. Peter H. Moulton

Our File #: S-8249-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: LEONARD C. HOUCK, NAM 14 20/6 NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, 17) Index No. 190324/10 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New/York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. 6

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq. DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

HON. Peter H. Moulton

Our File #: S-4139-10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, Index No. 119491/96 and 100534/01 WILLIAM SCHMIDT, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCarn, Esq. DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

HON. Peter Moulton

JAN 06 2016

Our File #: S-5099-96

TMM:cg 08/04/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION.

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, \mathbf{P} .)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 109020/00

NICHOLAS MANGINELLI.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
-August 4, 2015 Lecentre 7, 30/

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq. DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company

of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H Moulton

JAN 06 2016

Our File No.: S-5173-00

TMM:cg 10/22/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION. NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

(Moulton,).) INDEX NO.

105405/99

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD A. SINATRA

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitle case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 22, 2015

Matthew T. Max Intere

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M/Mc ann, Esq. DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598


SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H Moulton

Our File No.: S-5917-99

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATI COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTO	OS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Moulton, ♠)
This Document Relates to:	FILE D JAN 14 2016	Index No.: 190355/10
MARC ROSE	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MARCH 2015 FIFO
WHEREFORE, defendant, T	'ISHMAN REALTY & CONS'	TRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV
REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby	y requests summary judgment	in the above-entitled case, pursuant
to Civil Practice Law and Rules S	ection 3212, dismissing plain	tiff's complaint against defendant,
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUC	CTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV	REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., with
prejudice, and there being no oppositi	ion thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon not	ice to all co-defendants, all	claims and cross-claims against
defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & O	CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.	n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC., be and the same are hereby dis	missed with prejudice and with	out costs.
Dated: New York, New York 12/7, 2015		
Matthew T. Mac In	tyre \	
FRANK ORTIZ Mathaus T, MG WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	AHMUTY, Attorneys fo	DEMERS & McMANUS
	199 Water S	New York 10038

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HOWARD ROTHMAN

SUMMARY JUDGMENT

NO OPPOSITION

SUMMARY JUDGMENT

MOTION AND ORDER

MARCH 2015 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 12 17 , 2015

FRANK ORTIZ Matthew T. MacIntyre FS. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS

AHMOTY, DEMERS & McMANUS

Attorneys for Defendant

TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION

CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,

INC.

199 Water Street

New York, New York 10038

(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton


RECEIVED

JAN 06 2016

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK				
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		NYCAL (Moulton, P)		
This Document Relates to: DANIEL A. PRZEPIORA		Index No.: 105870/02 & 108769/02		
JAN 14 20	016	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MARCH 2015 FIFO		
NEW YORK WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REAL		UCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV		
REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summa	ary judgment in	the above-entitled case, pursuant		
to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dist	missing plaintiff	"s complaint against defendant,		
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC	. n/k/a TTV RE	EALTY HOLDINGS, INC., with		
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,				
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-de	fendants, all c	laims and cross-claims against		
defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,				
INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with preju	dice and withou	t costs.		
Dated: New York, New York 12/7, 2015				
Mthen T. Mie Intyre FRANK ORTHZ Matthew T. MacIntyre, Fesq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	JAMES EDWA AHMUTY, DE Attorneys for E TISHMAN RE	EMERS & McMANUS Defendant ALTY & CONSTRUCTION /a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, et w York 10038		
SO ORDERED.	1/8/16			

Hon. Peter H. Moulton



		X	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBEST	OS LITIGATION	N	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P)
This Document Relates to:	, 2	4 2016	Index No. 122408/97
WILLIAM SLAGHT	COUNTY CLE	ERK'S OFFICE YORK	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER FEBRUARY 2015 FIFO
WHEREFORE, defendant, 7	TISHMAN REA	LTY & CONST	RUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV
REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereb	y requests sumn	nary judgment ir	n the above-entitled case, pursuant
to Civil Practice Law and Rules S	Section 3212, di	smissing plainti	ff's complaint against defendant,
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRU	CTION CO., IN	IC. n/k/a TTV I	REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with
prejudice, and there being no opposit	tion thereto,		
ORDERED, that upon no	tice to all co-d	lefendants, all	claims and cross-claims against
defendant, TISHMAN REALTY &	CONSTRUCTIO	ON CO., INC. n	/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC. be and the same are hereby dis-	missed with preju	udice and withou	nt costs.
Dated: New York, New York 12/7/15, 2015 Matthew T. Mae Int Matthew T. MacIntyre	- ne		
Matthew T. MacIntyre WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.	, Esq.	JAMES EDW	ARDS DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Plaintiffs		Attorneys for	Defendant
700 Broadway New York, NY 10003			EALTY & CONSTRUCTION /k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
		INC. 199 Water St	
			lew York 10038
		1 .	RECEIVED

TMM:cg 10/22/15 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, \mathbf{P} .)

> NDEX NO. 113363/99

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD AHEARN

JAN 14 2016 COUNTY CLEHK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitle case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York December 7, 201)

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.

DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company

of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter \mathcal{H} Moulton

Our File No.: S-6549-99

TMM:cg 10/22/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN FEEHAN

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, ₱)

INDEX NO. 120785/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitle case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 22, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.

DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company

of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter I Moulton

JAN 06 2016

NYS SUPREME COURT - CO

Our File No.: S-5361-97

TMM:cg 10/22/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KARL JURSON

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, ₽)

INDEX NO. 108082/06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitle case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

New York, New York
October 22, 2015
December 7, 20/5 Dated: New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

Mittle Mula

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCanh, Esq.

DAVID M. SANTÓRO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company

of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H Moulton

Our File No.: S-4172-06

TMM:cg 10/22/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TOWN TO THE THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TOWN TO THE THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TOWN TO THE THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO THE THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TOWN TO THE THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO

EUGENE M. KOLACKI

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, 1?)

INDEX NO. 107806/99

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitle case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 22, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.

DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H Moulton

Our File No.: S-6042-99

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 Moulton, 1.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM F. DWYER,

Index No. 116943/99

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCarin, Esq.

DAVID M. SANTORO/ESO.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

HON. Peter 1/ Moulton

JAN **06** 2016

Our File: #: S-6971-99

MYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, ?)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THEODORE SHATERNIK,

Index No. 112689/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.

DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

HON. Peter H.Moulton

JAN 06 2016

MAR SPOSEME CONCL - CIAN

Our File #: S-4481-00

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, **₽**.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATE ON THE STORY CLERK'S OFFICE

Index No. 190439/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq./

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq. DAVID M. SANTØRO/ESO.

Attorney for Defendant,

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

HON. Peter H. Moulton

Our File #: S-4163-10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH L. BILLITTIER, SR.,

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

(Moulton, P.)

Index No. 113049/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.

DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

HON. Peter H Moulton

JAN 06 2016

PART 50 NYS BUDREHE COURT - CIVIL

Our File #: S-6583-99

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAI
This Document Relates to:	(Moulton, ? .)
ALVIN L. BASCOMB COUNTY CLT	Index No. 105780/02 120394/01
NEW YORK	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REAL	TY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV
REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summa	ary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant
to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dist	missing plaintiff's complaint against defendant,
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC	C. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-de	fendants, all claims and cross-claims against
defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION	N CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejuc	lice and without costs.
Dated: New York, New York 12 17, 2015	
mill me It	\$
FRANK ORTIZ Meditions Mac Trityre, Eig. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	JAMES EDWARDS AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS Attorneys for Defendant TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. 199 Water Street New York, New York 10038 (212) 513-7788
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	18/16 RECEIVED JAN 06 2016

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL (Moulton, **P**.) This Document Relates to: Index No. JAN 14 2016 WILFRED L. HINES JR. 11984**7/**98 116038/06 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK** NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER **MARCH 2015 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/7, 2015

FRANK ORTIZ Maithew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS

AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS

Attorneys for Defendant

TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION

CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,

INC.

199 Water Street

New York, New York 10038

(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED

Hon, Peter H. Moulton

JAN 06 2016

PART 50

HVS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTO	OS LITIGATION	NYCAL
This Document Relates to:	A L	(Moulton, ! ?)
	JAN 1 4 2016	Index No. 119850/98
	NEW YORK	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MARCH 2015 FIFO
WHEREFORE, defendant, T	ISHMAN REALTY & CONST	TRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV
REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby	y requests summary judgment i	n the above-entitled case, pursuant
to Civil Practice Law and Rules Se	ection 3212, dismissing plaint	iff's complaint against defendant,
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUC	CTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV	REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with
prejudice, and there being no opposition	on thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon noti	ice to all co-defendants, all	claims and cross-claims against
defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & C	CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. 1	n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC. be and the same are hereby disn	nissed with prejudice and witho	ut costs.
Dated: New York, New York 12/7, 2015		
Mith Me Interpretation of the Mark ORTH Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark	AHMUTY, Attorneys for TISHMAN F CO., INC. n INC. 199 Water St	DEMERS & McMANUS r Defendant REALTY & CONSTRUCTION /k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, treet
	(212) 513-77	New York 10038 88

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton

JAN 06 2016

PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBEST		NYCAL
This Document Relates to:	x	(Moulton, ₽ .) Index No.
PAUL M. HUTNICK JR.	JAN 1 4 2016	111226/01 122549/01
<u></u>	COUNTY CLEAK'S OFFICE NEW YORK	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MARCH 2015 FIFO
WHEREFORE, defendant,	TISHMAN REALTY & CONST	RUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV
REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., here	by requests summary judgment in	the above-entitled case, pursuant
to Civil Practice Law and Rules	Section 3212, dismissing plainti	ff's complaint against defendant,
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTR	UCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV I	REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with
prejudice and there being no oppos	ition thereto.	

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/7, 2015

FRANK ORTIZ Mathew MacIntyre, WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS

AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS

Attorneys for Defendant

TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION

CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,

INC.

199 Water Street

New York, New York 10038

(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

18/16

JAN 06 2016

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** (Moulton, \mathbf{P}) Index No.: This Document Relates to: 108198/99 & 190240/09 MELVINE KALACHMAN JAN 1 4 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER **MARCH 2015 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. and TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. and TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. and TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac

, 2015

FRANK ORTIZ Mathew T. MacJuly C. IST. JAMES EDWARDS

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS

Attorneys for Defendant

TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION

CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. and TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION

CORPORATION OF NEW YORK

199 Water Street

New York, New York 10038

(212) 513-7788

JAN **06** 2016

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STA		
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBES	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	NYCAL (Moulton, ? .)
This Document Relates to:		Index No.: 113105/98
ALPHONSE R. LITZ	JAN 1 4 2016	NO OPPOSITION
	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MARCH 2015 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 12/7, 2015

FRANK ORTIZ MOUTHOW T. Mac Inty 2 , Ex

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS

AHMOTY, DEMERS & McMANUS

Attorney's for Defendant

TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION

CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,

INC.

199 Water Street

New York, New York 10038

(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

48/16

RECEIVED
JAN 0 6 2016

PART 50
S SLOREME COURT - CIVIL

	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICENEW-YORKX	MOTION AND ORDER MARCH 2015 FIFO
GERALD T. MC CORMICK	JAN 14 2016	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
This Document Relates to:		Index No.: 105872/02 & 108222/02
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBEST	OS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Moulton, P.)
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	2011/21/10111	

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

/217 _____, 2015

FRANK ORTIZ Matthew T. Mac Intyle, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS

AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS

Attorneys for Defendant

TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION

CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.

100.32

199 Water Street

New York, New York 10038

(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon, Peter H. Moulton

JAN 06 2016

PART 50

NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STAT COUNTY OF NEW YORK				
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBEST	OS LITIGATION	NYCAI I.A.S. I	Part 50	
This Document Relates to:			o.	
JOHN RICHARD SAWYER	JAN 14 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OF NEW YORK X	FICE SUMM MOTIO	POSITION ARY JUDGMENT ON AND ORDER JARY 2015 FIFO	
WHEREFORE, defendant, 7	TISHMAN REALTY &	construction	V CO., INC. n/k/a TTV	
REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereb	y requests summary ju	dgment in the above	e-entitled case, pursuant	
to Civil Practice Law and Rules S	Section 3212, dismissing	ng plaintiff's comp	laint against defendant,	
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRU	CTION CO., INC. n/k	z/a TTV REALTY	HOLDINGS, INC. with	
prejudice, and there being no opposit	ion thereto,			
ORDERED, that upon not	tice to all co-defenda	ants, all claims ar	nd cross-claims against	
defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,				
INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.				
Dated: New York, New York 12/7, 2015				
Matthew T. Mac Int	que \			
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	AH Atto TIS CO INC 199 Nev	., INC. n/k/a TTV l	t t CONSTRUCTION REALTY HOLDINGS,	
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H	H. Moulton	1,6	RECEIVED JAN 0 6 2016	

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STAT COUNTY OF NEW YORK	E OF NEW YORK	NYCAL COUNTY CLERGE
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGA	;	NYCAL COUNTY CLEFIX'S OFFICE Index No.: 111064/98:
WILLIAM SLAGHT and NANCY S	SLAGHT, :	Index No.: 111064/98; 122408/97
-against- A.W. CHESTERTON CO., et al., Defend	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Hon. Peter H. Moulton, IAS Part 50
Canada Inc. hereby request summary 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complain Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice	judgment in the about against The Goode, and there being note to all co-defender Company and Good	lyear Tire & Rubber Company and o opposition thereto, it is hereby: ants, all claims and cross claims
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff By: Mthat T Mac line Frank Ortiz, Esq.	Attorneys for Rubber Con	ASKAL EMERY LLP or Defendants The Goodyear Tire & npany and Goodyear Canada Inc. UUUU rence G. Lee, Esq.
Marthew T. Mac Torte 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500	264 West 4	New York 10018
SO ORDERED: Hon. Peter H.	Moulton, J.S.C.	DEC 23 2015 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL



JAN 14 2016

536.09363/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK**

NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

DAVID R. HIGGINS and VERONICA HIGGINS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 107737/99

101139/07

(May 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV, 24th 2015 East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

FILED JAN 14 2016

536.12661/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

WILLIAM E. HANNA, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL NEW YORK

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 190092/12

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24 th 2015
East Hanover, New Jersey

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

536.24021/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JAN 1 4 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

LEONARD C. HOUCK, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

IAS PART 50 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE (Honorable Peter H. MONEY ORK

Index No.: 190324/10

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24 7, 2017 East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350

P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,



JAN 14 2016

536.22729/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

MORRIS GOLDMINTZ, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
IAS PART 50
(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 190024/09

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NO 24th, 2015 East Hanover, New Jersey

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

JAN 1 4 2016

536.11810/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

GORDON HOSFORD, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 107005/02

116228/02

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND CRDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24th 2017 East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, BSD.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

JAN 14 2016

536.23755/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

IAS PART 50

NYCAL

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190077/10

FRANK MOORE, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

-against-

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,

AND ORDER

et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24th 2015 East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BAZSAMO, ESO.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

JAN 1 4 2016

536.19045/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

DICK E. ODLUND, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,

et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 122688/93

122460/93 101533/05

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24th, 2015

East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350

P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

JAN 1 4 2016

536.20485/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

IAS PART 50

NYCAL

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 103379/93

132766/93

ANDREW PAUL, Deceased,

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,

109974/06

Plaintiff,

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

-against-

et al.,

NO OPPOSTION

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24 th , 2015

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

East Hanover, New Jersey

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESO

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350

P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

JAN 1 4 2016

536.07707/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

CASIMIR ROGUS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 119614/01

111229/01

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24th 2015 East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. Mac Intyra WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

536.13572/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JAN 1 4 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

THEODORE SHATERNIK,

Plaintiff,

-aqainst-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL **COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE** IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 112698/00

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOU 24th, 2015 East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

GARRITY, GRAMAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936



JAN 1 4 2016

536.10067/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

CHARLES A. SMITH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION. et al.,

Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

NYCAL IAS PART 50

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 110681/00

122195/99 190230/10

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24th, 2015 East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

JAN 1 4 2016

536.23963/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

HARRY A. STOCKER, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
IAS PART 50
(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 190224/10

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24th, 2015 East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. Mar In

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Peter H. Moulton

)

JAN 1 4 2016

536.23202/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

WILSON A. TERRILLION,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 190271/09

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24, 2015 East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESO. GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438 East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

JAN 14 2016

536.23760/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

RONALD J. UNDERDOWN, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
IAS PART 50
(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 190088/10

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24th, 2015 East Hanover, New Jersey

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESO

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

JAN 14 2016

536.24090/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

JOHN BAUER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
IAS PART 50
(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 190375/#0

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV , 24th , 2015

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

East Hanover, New Jersey

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ. WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESS.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

JAN 1 4 2016

536.11183/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

JOSEPH L. BILITTIER, SR., Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
IAS PART 50
(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 113049/99

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24th, 2017 East Hanover, New Jersey

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ. WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAMAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438 East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

i 8 //6
Honorable Peter H. Moulton

JAN 14 2016

536.24176/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

IAS PART 50

NYCAL

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190439/10

SALVATORE T. CALANDRINO,

110.011 110... 150105, 10

Plaintiff,

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

-against-

NO OPPOSTION

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

AND ORDER

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24th, 2015 East Hanover, New Jersey

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, SSQ.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,



JAN 14 2016

536.22907/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

RAPHAEL ARONE, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 190107/09

(June 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BAZSAMO, ESQ.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

JAN 1 4 2016

536.10680/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 116943/99

WILLIAM F. DWYER,

Plaintiff,

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

-against-

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,

et al.,

AND ORDER

NYCAL

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24th 2015 East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ES

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

536.11160/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

EDWARD J. AHEARN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL NE IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 113363/99

(November 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/14/15

East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. Mas Intyre

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ. WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, SSQ.

GARRITY, CRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

JAN 14 2016

536.12424/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

BOYD N. WELLER, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 105718/02

112292/02

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24 th , 2015 East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

536.12069/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

BRUCE N. FLANIGAN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL **NEW YORK** IAS PART 50

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 105874/02

109937/02

(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION

SUMMARY CUDGMENT MOTION

AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NOV 24th 2015

East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350

P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,



JAN 1 4 2016

536.15683/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

WILLIAM C. ESPOSITO, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
IAS PART 50
(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 100234/03 116291/04

110271/04

(June 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/14/15
East Hanover, New Jersey

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ. WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESO

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,



JAN 1 4 2016

536.06384/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

JOSEPH T. SANDER.

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 111047/98

113287/98 190391/11

(June 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/16/15

East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, GARRITY, GRANAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

MARGREE WOODS, as Executrix for the Estate of ELLIS WOODS, and MARGREE WOODS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 112524-98

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.

Defendants

WHEREFORE, defendant Marine Transport Lines, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Marine Transport Lines, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Marine Transport Lines, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Thomas M. Canevari, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant Marine Transport Lines

FREEHILL, HOGAN & MAHAR LLP

80 Pine Street

New York, NY 10005-1759

(212) 381-3017

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5791

SO ORDERED,

LAW OFFICES WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10003

JAN 14 2016

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, **?**)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 109071/00

CONO AMILO MASCARELLA.

against

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT **MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C.AND S. INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY)

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kohler Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kohler Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kohler Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/1/15

New York, New York

MUSHA SHAH, ESO:

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN

DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant,

Kohler Co.

48 Wall Street, Suite 1100

New York, New York 10005

PHAN ALVARADO, ESQ. **WEITZ & LUXENBERG** Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),

CONO AMILO MASCARELLA.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street **Suite 1100** New York, NY

RECEIVED JAN 05 2016 PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	FILED	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGAT	ION JAN 1.4 2010	
This Document Relates To:	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE	
PATRICIA A. MESEC as Executrix for the Estate of TERRY J. MESEC and PATRICIA A. MESEC, Individually, Plaintiff(s),	MEN YORK	
-against-	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,	ORDER	
Defendants.		
	on behalf of named defendants Crane Co. and	
Weinman Pump & Supply Co., ("CRANE CO.") hereby requests summary judgment in the	
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing		
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no		
opposition thereto,		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-de	fendants, all claims and cross claims against	
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby	y, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.	
Dated: December 22, 2015		
New York, New York Duxm C. Barby	Kirşten Alford Kneis, Esq.	
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500	K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900	
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton		

JAN 1 4 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

JAMES LEO DOWNING, as Personal Representative for the Estate of JAMES LEE DOWNING.

Plaintiff(s),

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50

(Hon. Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 190244/2009

-against-

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/16/15 New York, New York

Matthew T. Max Intyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Mathew f. MacIntyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.

K&Z GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

CRANE CO.

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022-6030

(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JAN 1 4 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

This Document Relates To:

MICHELLE E. GOULD as Executrix for the Estate of LEONARD C. HOUCK and PATRICIA L. HOUCK, individually,

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 2050 (Hon, Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s),

Index No.: 190324/2010

-against-

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

David J. Barry, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.

K&I/GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

CRANE CO.

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022-6030

(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	FILED	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		
This Document Relates To:	NEW YORK	
WILLIAM K. RIEGER and CAROL J. RIEGER,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50	
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Peter H. Moulton)	
-against-	Index No.: 113324/2001	
A.C. AND S. INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
Defendants.		
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. her		

above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/715
New York, New York

Matthew T. Mue Intyre Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew F. Hac Tutyre

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 595-3000

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq. K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton

JAN 1 4 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

ELSENE A. BARTLETT,

Plaintiff(s),

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Hon. Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 107404/2002

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/7/15
New York New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew T. Mac Inty Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.

K&L GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant,

CRANE CO.

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022-6030

(212) 536-3900

JAN 1 4 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

ELSENE A. BARTLETT,

Plaintiff(s),

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Hon. Peter H. Moulton)

-against-

Index No.: 114328/2002

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY** JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/7/15
New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew T. MacIntyre Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.

K&L GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

CRANE CO.

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022-6030

(212) 536-3900

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK		
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	COUNTY 01 -	
This Document Relates To:	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE	
SANDRA W. CAPOGNA, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of ARMOND J. CAPOGNA, Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Hon. Peter H. Moulton) Index No.: 104329/2000	
-against- A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
Defendants.		
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. he	7-	
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing		
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no		
opposition thereto,		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defen	dants, all claims and cross claims against	
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, d	ismissed with prejudice and without costs.	
Dated: <u>i2</u> 715 New York, New York		
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 N	irster Alford Kneis, Esq. &L GATES LLP thorneys for Defendant RANE CO. 29 Lexington Avenue ew York, NY 10022-6030 (12) 536-3900	

JAN 1 4 2016

SUPREME COURT	OF THE STATE OF	'NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW	YORK	

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

NORBERTO SAMUDIO and HELEN SAMUDIO,

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50

Plaintiff(s),

(Hon. Peter H. Moulton)

-against-

Index No.: 120390/2001

A.C. and S., Inc. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/7/15 New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Mattycw T. MacIntyr Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

K&L GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

CRANE CO.

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022-6030

(212) 536-3900

,		P 11
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE O COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RHODA GORDON as Executrix for the ARNOLD GORDON and RHODA GORDON	Estate of	_
		Index No.: 190165/11
-against-	Plaintiffs,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO	., et al.,	I.A.S. Part 50
	Defendants.	Hon. Peter H. Moulton
WHEREFORE, Defendant GA		x ENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER
DENVER"), hereby requests Summary	Judgment in	the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Sections 321	2, dismissing	g plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant
GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, a	and there being	g no opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to	all co-defend	dants, all claims and cross claims against
Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be	and the same	are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs to either party.		
Dated: New York, New York _/2/16, 2015		
Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Mathew T. N WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212)558-5500	Tactotyic	Andrew W. Dean, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, New York 10022 (212) 651-7500
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter	H. Moulton	JAN 06 2016 NYS SIMEEMS COURT - CTVIL

* - : - *

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION		Index No.: 190088/10
RONALD UNDE	RDOWN,	
	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION
	- against -	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
WEIL-MCLAIN,	et al.,	
	Defendants.	

n the plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party. VIT CLERKS OFFICE

Dated: 12/24, 2015 New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Soty

Frank Ortiz, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant Weil-McLain 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10022 (212) 651-7500

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates To:

TAINAL WILLIAMS, as Executrin for the Estate of NYCAL

TAINA I. WILLIAMS, as Executrix for the Estate of: PEDRO REGALDO RODRIGUEZ, :

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Hon. Peter H. Moulton)

Plaintiff(s),

Index No.: 190451-10

-against-

A.P. MOLLER-MAERSK, INC., Individually and as Successor-in-interest to SEA-LAND SERVICE, INC. and SEA-LAND CORPORATION, et al.,

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/7/15
New York, New York

new lork, new lork

Matthew T. Mac In Frank Ortiz, Esq. Marther

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.

K&L GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant,

CRANE CO.

599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022

(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon, Peter H. Moulton

1/8/16

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	ORK FILES
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	JAN 14 2016
ROSEMARIE DE MAURO, as Admistratrix for the of JOSEPH M. De MAURO, and ROSEMARIE DE Individually	Estate NEW YORK'S OFFICE
Individually	Index No.: 104731/00
Plaintiffs -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et. al., Defendan	
WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER	 DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER
DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment	in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismiss	ing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant
GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there be	sing no opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-def	endants, all claims and cross claims against
Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the san	me are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs to either party.	
Pated: New York, New York Dicember 22, 2015 DUMM (. Ballow)	
Adam Drekstor, Esq. 2000 C. Bar low, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212)558-5500	SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONE W, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, New York 10022 (212) 651-7500
SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton	JAN 0 6 2016 NYS SUDDE HE COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 105405/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

RONALD A. SINATRA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against
WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 12/24, 2015

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Matthew T. Mar In

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant Weil-McLain 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10022 (212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK Index No.: 120430/01 In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION GEORGE E. MILLER, Plaintiff(s), - against -

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 12/16, 2015 New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Maynew T. Maching Fennifer L. Budner, Esq.

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

Weil-McLain

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, NY 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 121979/99

CONO AMILO MASCARELLA,

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Plaintiff(s), Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

New York, New York

han Alvarado, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

Weil-McLain

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, NY 10022

(212) 651-75.00

SO ORDERED,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK Index No.: 107806/99 In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION EUGENE M. KOLACKI, Plaintiff(s), NO OPPOSITION WIMARY JUDGMEN' MOTION

Defendants.

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 17 V , 2015 New York, New York

Matthew T. Mar Intyre Frank Ortiz, Esq.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

Weil-McLain

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, NY 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK Index No.: 108082/06 In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION KARL JURSON, Plaintiff(s), NO OPPOSITION - against -WEIL-MCLAIN, et al., Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated:

Matthew T. Mar Intyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500

Jenniger L. Budner, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant Weil-McLain 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, NY 10022 (212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190024/09

MORRIS GOLDMINTZ,

Plaintiff(s), MOTION

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Mouthew

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. MOLINTY'S SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.

SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

Weil-McLain

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, NY 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK Index No.: 190014/12 In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION CLIFFORD COHEN, Plaintiff(s), Plaintiff(s), NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1216, 2015 New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Marthow T. Mc Tropy

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE

SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

Weil-McLain

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, NY 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 18/16

JAN 06 2516

IMS SURGERIS COURT - CIVIL


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOSEPH L. BILLITTIER, SR.,

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,



Index No.: 113049/99

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 12/16/15, 2015 New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew T. MacIntyr

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

eJennifer L. Budner, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE

SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

Weil-McLain

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, NY 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, // Kon. Peter H. Moulton



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

DUNTY NYCAL
IGATION I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

JAN 1 4 2016 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111462/98,

EDWARD G. CUNNINGHAM

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

127', 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs Edward G. Cunningham WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED

Hon, Peter H. Moulton

JAN 0 6 2016

PACT 50 FO'S SUITABLE COLUT - C

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY **NYCAL**

ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to: Index No.: 111462/98,

EDWARD G. CUNNINGHAM

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 12/7 , 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre,

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs Edward G. Cunningham WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Esq. Nicole Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Tishman Liquidating Corp. McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

JAN 06 2016

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190036/08

WILLIE WILLIAMS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Hoke, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Hoke, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Hoke, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York December 16, 2015

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Willie Williams

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.

110 William Street, 26th Floor

New York, New York 10038-3901

(212) 267-3091

Kerryann W. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Hoke, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon Peter H Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.) FILED

JAN 14 2016

This Document Relates to:

NICHOLAS C. TAMBAKIS AND ROSA TAMBAKIS Index No.: 190101/12

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Adam S. Dreksler, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Nicholas C. Tambakis and Rosa Tambakis

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Atwood & Morrill Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

1/8/16

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190244/09,

JAMES LEE DOWNING

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12]7 , 2015

Mathew

Matthew T. Mac Intyre,

Attorney for Plaintiffs

James Lee Downing

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Esq. Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

2383-30007

JAN 06 2018

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.) COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190386/11

ROBERT L. SIMMS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Armstrong Pumps, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Armstrong Pumps, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Armstrong Pumps, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New, York, New York

, 2015

David Chandler, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Robert L. Simms KARST & VON OISTE, LLP

576 Fifth Avenue, Suite 401 New York, New York 10036

(212) 764-3900

Kerry on M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Armstrong Pumps, Inc. McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.) JAN 1 4 2016

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Index No.: 190208/11,

DAMIANO P. LOMONACO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyrt, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Damiano P. Lomonaco
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulto

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.) COUNTY CLERK'S OF

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190208/11,

DAMIANO P. LOMONACO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Damiano P. Lomonaco
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Maria J. Ciccia, Esq. / Attorney for Defendant The Fairbanks Company

MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN GOLIGHTLY AND ALICE GOLIGHTLY NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

Index No.: 112029/08,

COUNTY CLERK'S COUNTY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre
Matthew T. Mac Intyre, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

John Golightly and Alice Golightly

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

118/16

2383-27757

JAN 06 2018

energa Difference en en en en en en en en en

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

Index No.: 109536/00, 121990/99

This Document Relates to:

RAFAEL HERNANDEZ AND ELADIA HERNANDEZ

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Rafael Hernandez and Eladia Hernandez

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Tishman Liquidating Corp. McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DORIS IRGANG AND ALAN IRGANG NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.) FILED JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERKS OF

Index No.: 112676/07,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Doris Irgang and Alan Irgang

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

PIT EN CESSELT LOCK TOTAL COLU

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DORIS IRGANG AND ALAN IRGANG NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

Index No.: 112676/07,

SAN 14 2016
OT, NEW YORKS OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Doris Irgang and Alan Irgang

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Tishman Liquidating Corp. McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.) COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

This Document Relates to:

HENRY KNAPP AND MILDRED KNAPP Index No.: 122167/98,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

127,2015

Matthew T. Mac Intyre
Marthew T. MacIntyre, ESQ

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Henry Knapp and Mildred Knapp

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Tishman Liquidating Corp. McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 500 2456

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, **P**.) COUNTY CLERK'S OFF

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH F. LUCENT AND DOLORES LUCENT Index No.: 109348/11,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, improperly plead as Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, improperly plead as Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, improperly plead as Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JANET WALSH, ESR

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Joseph F. Lucent and Dolores Lucent

LOCKS LAW FIRM

800 Third Ave 11th Floor

New York, New York 10022

(212) 838-3333

Alysa Koloms, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Zurn Industries, LLC McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, /

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Y NYCAL
ION I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, 18)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, 18)

Index No.: 109071/00, 121979/99

NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

CONO A. MASCARELLA

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/22, 2015

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Cono A. Mascarella

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Maria J. Ciccia, Esq. /
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.) COUNTY CLERY

This Document Relates to:

CONO A. MASCARELLA

Index No.: 109071/00, 121979/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

'

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Cono A. Mascarella Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kervann McCook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Courter & Company, Inc. McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon, Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CONO A. MASCARELLA

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton,).)

Index No.: 109071/00, 121979/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2015

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Cono A. Mascarella

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Alysa Koloms, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, **P**.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109071/00, 121979/99

CONO A. MASCARELLA

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York . 2015

ALVARADO Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Cono A. Mascarella

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerrann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

2383-26056AX

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)



This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY C. O'GARA AND MARY O'GARA Index No.: 130147/93,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Anthony C. O'Gara and Mary O'Gara

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Tishman Liquidating Corp. McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, ____

Hon, Peter H. Moulton

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH TEMPSKI AND RITA TEMPSKI

Index No.: 108922/00, 122198

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyle, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs

Joseph Tempski and Rita Tempski

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Tishman Liquidating Corp. McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004 (212) 509-3456

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)



This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM L. TRUCKS SR.
AND
MARCIA TRUCKS

Index No.: 107340/01, 119377/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs

William L. Trucks Sr. and Marcia Trucks

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Tishman Liquidating Corp. McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004 (212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

2383-22462AF

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	K	COUNTY CLERKS IYCAN CLERKS A.S. Part 50 Ks Moulton, P. Part 50 Ks Adam No.
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	Y	COUNT AN 143
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	N	A.S. Part 50 Rs OFFICE
·	X (1	Moulton, I.)
This Document Relates to:	T ₁	ndex No.
	1	19375/00, 107794/01
EDWARD W. ZABO JR.	1	90241/09
		IO OPPOSITION UMMARY JUDGMENT
	N	MOTION AND ORDER
	X F	EBRUARY 2015 FIFO
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALT	TY & CONSTRU	CTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV
REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summa	ry judgment in the	e above-entitled case, pursuant
to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, disr	nissing plaintiff's	complaint against defendant,
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC	. n/k/a TTV REA	LTY HOLDINGS, INC. with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,		
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-det	fendants, all clai	ms and cross-claims against
defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION	CO., INC. n/k/a	TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejud	lice and without co	osts.
Dated: New York, New York		
_12[7, 2015	4	○ > ₁
m HI +m 1+		
Marthew T. Mac Intyres, Esq.	JAMES EDWAR	
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs	AHMUTY, DEM	IERS & McMANUS fendant
700 Broadway	TISHMAN REAL	LTY & CONSTRUCTION
New York, NY 10003	CO., INC. n/k/a INC.	TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
	199 Water Street	
	New York, New	York 10038
	(212) 513-7788	
SO OPDEDED 1	8/16	RECEIVED
SO ORDERED, Hon, Peter H. Moulton	= //~	JAN 06 2016

PART 50 NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P) This Document Relates to: Index No. 112741/02, 106578/02 114779/04 DAVID JOSEPH BARNES NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER **FEBRUARY 2015 FIFO** WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS

AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS

Attorneys for Defendant

TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION

CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,

INC.

199 Water Street

New York, New York 10038

(212) 513-7788

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORKX	NYCAL
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 3050 (Moulton, P)
This document relates to:	Index No.: 116943/99
WILLIAM F. DWYER, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
Plaintiffs, NEW YORK OFFICE	JUDGMENT MOTION AND
-against-	ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
Defendant.	

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 304°, 2015 Harrison, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff

William F. Dwyer 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Irina Hovhannisyan, Esq. MARIN GOODMAN, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER **COMPANY** 500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501 Harrison, New York 10528 (212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

1/8/16

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATIONX	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 ≤ 0 (Moulton, 🎝)
This document relates to:	Index No.: 190439/10,
SALVATORE T. CALANDRINO,	NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiffs,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
-against-	ORDER AS TO
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	DEFENDANT KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
Defendant.	
Λ	

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 30, 2015 Harrison, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorneys for Plaintiff Salvatore T. Calandrino 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500

Irina Hovhannisyan, Esq. MARIN GOODMAN, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER **COMPANY** 500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501

Harrison, New York 10528

(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	NIVOAL
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Moulton, P.)
This document relates to:	Index No.: 103377/93 134388/93 118317/02
ROBERT F. TERRY and JANET TERRY, Plaintiffs, UNTY OF TORSE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against- KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
Defendant.	KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

De ceulieu 7 Dated: August 11, 2015 Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert F. Terry
700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.

MARIN GOODMAN, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER

COMPANY

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501 Harrison, New York 10528

(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Monorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 8/16



COUNTY OF	OURT OF THE STATE O NEW YORK	MA.
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATIO	NEW YORK OFFER
LEO REGINA		: Index No. 190150/10
	Plaintiff, -against-	: NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AFTON PUM	PS, INC., <u>et</u> <u>al.</u> ,	: Hon. Peter H. Moulton,
	Defendant	s. : IAS Part 50
WHER	EFORE, defendant The	Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company hereby requests
summary judg	ment in the above-entitle	ed case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's
complaint aga	inst The Goodyear Tire 8	& Rubber Company with prejudice, and there being no
opposition ther	reto, it is hereby:	
ORDE	RED, that upon notice to a	all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The
Goodyear Tire	e & Rubber Company be	and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.		
_	ork, New York	
LOCKS LAW Attorneys for I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP Attorneys for Defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company
By: Janet	Walsh	By: Andrew J. Mundo
747 3rd Avenu New York, NY (212) 838-333	7 10017	264 West 40th Street New York, New York 10018 (212) 302-2400
Dated: New Y	ork, New York	
SO ORDEREI	Hon. Sherry Klein HON. PETER H	Heitler, J.S.C. JAN 06 2016 NYS SUPREME COUNT - CIVIL

SUPREME COUNTY OF	OURT OF THE STATE OF NEV NEW YORK	W YORK		FILE
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	X	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50/2 (Moulton)	FILED CLEAKS OFFICE
	RSON, as Executrix for the Esta ON, and NATALIE JURSON, Inc		Index No. 108	
	Plaintif	fs,	NO OPPOSIT SUMMARY J	UDGMENT
	WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., PRSE DIESEL, INC.	,	MOTION AN	D ORDER
	Defend	ants,		
		X		
ORDERE	t defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., w D, that upon notice to all co-defe c., be and the same are hereby dis	endants, all cla	ims and cross-c	laims against defendant
Dated: New Yor	•		7	
Matthew MacInty WEITZ & LUX Attorneys for Pla Natalie Jurson, a	ENBERG, PC. intiffs s Executrix for the Estate of Natalie Jurson, Individually	Attorneys fo Morse Dies 150 Broady	& BRADLEY, or Defendant rel, Inc. vay, Suite 600 New York 1003	
SO ORDERED,	Hon. Peter H. Moulton	Dated:	18/16	

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton) —x of Index No. 105405/99
	SINATRA, as Administratrix for the Estate of A. SINATRA, and ARLENE SINATRA,	x of Index No. 105405/99
indi vidadii	Plaintiffs,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMEN MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S INC.	., INC. et al., including, MORSE DIESEL,	
	Defendants,	

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Arlene Sinatra, as Administratrix for the Estate of Ronald A. Sinatra, and Arlene Sinatra, Individually 700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038

(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, Hon, Peter H. Moulton

Dated:

AN 06 2016

	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton)
EORGE E. M		Index No. 100306/02 120430/03
-	IC. et al., including, MORSE-DIESEL, NAL, INC.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGM MOTION AND ORI
	Defendants,	

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (incorrectly named herein as "Morse-Diesel International, Inc."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/16, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.

Attorneys for Plaintiff George E. Miller 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Rosario Chetta, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285

Dated: 1/8/14

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY	NYCAL , ,
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 500 (Moulton)
DOMINIC	CK CIPRIANI,	Index No. 112064/99 OF OFFICE
	Plaintiff,	
	S., INC. et al., including, MORSE-DIESEL, ATIONAL, INC.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
	Defendants,	
		K
WHE	REFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (incorr	ectly named herein as "Morse-Diesel
nternational,	Inc."), hereby requests summary judgment in the	ne above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil
ractice Law	and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's	complaint against defendant Morse Diesel,
nc., with pre	judice, and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORD	ERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all	claims and cross-claims against defendant
Morse Diesel	l, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with	h prejudice and without costs.
	York, New York	

Rosario Chetta, Esq.

Morse Diesel, Inc.

(212) 791-0285

Attorneys for Defendant

150 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, New York 10038

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dominick Cipriani

700 Broadway

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.

New York, New York 10003

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK OF NEW YORK	FII
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL COLLAND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND
EUGENE	M. KOLACKI and JEAN KOLACKI,	Index No. 107806/99
	Plaintiffs,	
	S., INC. et al., including, MORSE-DIESEL, ATIONAL, INC.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
	Defendants,	
		X

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (incorrectly named herein as "Morse-Diesel International, Inc."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York (レレー), 2015

Matthew T. Max Intyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

SO ORDERED

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Eugene M. Kolacki and Jean Kolacki
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Hon, Peter H. Moulton

Rosario Chetta, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285

	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	YORK
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 NYCAL N
This Docur	ment Relates to:	Index No. 108920/00, 122198/99
RICHARD TERRANC	CHARLES TERRANCE and PHYLL	IS NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WH	IEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Me	etals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
"Reynolds	Metals Company, Individually and as	successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos
Corp.," her	eby requests summary judgment in the	e above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice
Law and R	ules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff	s' complaint against Defendant Reynolds
Metals Cor	npany, with prejudice, and there being	no opposition thereto, it is
OR	DERED , that upon notice to all co-de	fendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant,	Reynolds Metals Company, be and the	e same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without cos	sts. FIL	ED
MAN	New York, New York Sember 21, 2013 COUNTY CLERKS NEW YOR NEW YOR	S OFFICE ()
WEITZ &	lacInty re, Esq . Susan C. Barlaw, ^{Eg} LUXENBERG, PC	MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
700 Broads	way New York 10003	150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038
-	for Plaintiff(s)	Attorneys for Defendant (212) 791-0285
SO ORDE	RED. Hon. Peter H. Moulton	Dated: 1/8/16. JAN 06 2016

CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 112129-99
Florence Jaffee, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Irwin N. Jaffee, and Florence Jaffee, Individually	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant CARRIER CORPORATION h judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CARRIER prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims defendants CARRIER CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby and without costs.	and Rules Section 3212. CORPORATION with and cross claims against
Dated: 2 8 2015 New York, NY COUNTY CLERK'S CO.	

Fara Flom, Esq. Phan

Alvarado

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Virginia Squitieri, Esq.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,

EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Attorney for Defendant

CARRIER CORPORATION

150 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10017

File No.: 10557.01619

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C

SUPREME COURT OF THE STA COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	FILED	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, ₽)
This Document Relates To:	JAN 1 4 2016	Index No: 120465/00
John Haugh	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEDEFORE defendant	MCCORD CORPORATION	

WHEREFORE, defendant MCCORD CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MCCORD CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MCCORD CORPORATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Armand Kalfayan, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant

MCCORD CORPORATION

150 East 42nd Street New York, New York 10017 212-490-3000 File No. 06507.00119

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7037295v.1

NEW YORK CITY IN RE:

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30 50 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

MILDRED GALANO, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF CRESCENZO GALANO AND MILDRED GALANO, INDIVIDUALLY

INDEX NO.: 00-105974 NO OPPOSITION

JMMARY JUDGMENT **MOTION AND ORDER**

COUNTY CLERKS OF MCE

NEW YOUR

against

A.C.&S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kohler Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kohler Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:/-4-16

New York, New York

MISHA SHAH, ESQ.

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN

DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant.

Kohler Co.

48 Wall Street, Suite 1100 New York, New York 10005 PÉTER TAMBINÍ, ESQ.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),

MILDRED GALANO, as Personal

Representative for the Estate of CRESENZO

GALANO, and MILDRED GALANO.

Individually,

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street **Suite 1100** New York, NY

SO ORDERED:

JAH 96 2013

HON. PETER H. MOULTON



IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HELEN SAMUDIO, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF NORBERTO SAMUDIO, AND HELEN SAMUDIO, INDIVIDUALLY NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, ₹.)

Index No: 120390/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

December 23, 2015

JAN 14 21110

UNITY CLERK'S OF

Matthew Machingre, Esq. Dusan C Barbur WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 609.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10038 (212) 558-5500 Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE'YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

JAN 0 8 2016

RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

JAN **06** 2016

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

536.22985/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

WILLIAM E. JOYCE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL IAS PART 50

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 111191/96

117843/02 113280/97 111069/98 109675/99 190172/09

(June 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 16,2016 East Hanover, New Jersey

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESO.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO,

GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

1/8/16.

X:/FBW50757,Aegal/NOSJMOCTOBER 2012 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
STEPHEN DUNPHY, Plaintiff(s)	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Plaintiff(s) - against - AMERICAN BUTRITE INC. et al.: AMERICAN BUTRITE INC. et al.:	Index No.: 190097/12 & 108174/06
AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., et al.; Defendants. X	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30' SO
WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER W	VORKS, INC. hereby request summary
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Pra	ctice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULT	TON BOILER WORKS, INC. with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants,	all claims and cross claims against
defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the	e same are hereby dismissed with
Weitz & Luxenberg INC. 700 Broadway Barry New York, New York 10003 2 Reconstruction New York	rneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler HON. PETER H. MOULTON	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

X:FBW/45707./dismissals/nosjm/august 2015	
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
ELSENE A. BARTLETT,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Plaintiff(s - against - JAN 14 2016	Index No.: 114328/02-& 107404/02
A.C.&S. INC., et al.; OOUNTY CLERVIS OFFICE Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 50
WHEREFORE I G I A FILL TON DOWER WA	ODIVO DIO La deservada de la constanta de la c

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/16/15

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Brian Feld, Esq.
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter Moulton

JAN 07 2016 Nrs supplies SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK STATE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MILDRED GALANO, as Personal Representative for the Estate of

CRESCENZO GALANO, and MILDRED GALANO,

Individually,

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 3050 (Judge Moulton)

Index Nos.: 105974/00

and 121985/99



-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

-Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

DEFEMBER 10, 2018

WELTE & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiffs MILDRED GALANO, as Personal Attorneys for Defendant Representative for the Estate of CRESCENZO GALANO, 44 Wall Street, 15th Floor and MILDRED GALANO, Individually, New York, New York 10005 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Esq.

Kristen L. Morrissy, Esq. CULLEN and DYKMAN LLP GOULDS PUMPS, INC. (212) 732-2000

File No.: 6754-13005

So Ordered:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY
----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

WILLIAM JORDAN,

Index No.: 120669/00 113156/98

Plaintiffs, - against - 4 2016

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition

----X

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 30 2015

Matthew T. Mar Intyre

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs WILLIAM JORDAN 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.
INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-1

SO ORDERED:

thereto,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

1 600

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50
(Justice Moulton)

BOYD N. WELLER,

Plaintiffs,

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- against -

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Defendants

----X

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 30 2015

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
BOYD N. WELLER
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.
INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005

(212) 732-2000 Our File No.: 10924-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THEODORE SHATERNIK, - against A.C. and S., INC. et al.

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

Index No.: 112689/00

122194/99

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November て() 2015

Macthew T. MacIntyre, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs THEODORE SHATERNIK

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003 (212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq. Cul/len and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-1

SO ORDERED:

jì

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 3050 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOAN A. GILROY, as Administratrix for the Estate of FRANCIS C. GILROY, and JOAN A. GILROY, Individually,

Index No.: 07/101591

Plainti**f**f(

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

John J. Fanrag, Esq.
Cullen and Dynman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL RU SENERATION LLC

44 Wall Star New York, NY 10005 (212)732-2000 Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

LEONARD HOUCK,

Index No.: 190324/10

Plaintiff 2016

- against - 1 2016

JAN 14 2016

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 30 2015

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
LEONARD HOUCK
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.
INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-6381

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE:

NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

WILSON A. TERRILLION,

Index No.: 190271/09

Plaintiffs, 14 2016

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition

thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 30 2015

Mother T. Mac Intyre Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs WILSON A. TERRILLION 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-6038

SO ORDERED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

RONALD J. UNDERDOWN,

Index No.: 190088/10

Plaintiffs 2016

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

defendant MARIO : DIRONO DI ACTERINO CO

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 30 2015

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
RONALD J. UNDERDOWN
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.
INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-6183

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

HARRY A. STOCKER,

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

Index No.: 190224/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff - against - JAN 14 2016

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Deféndants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 20 2015

Matthew T. Mac Intyre Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs HARRY A. STOCKER 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003

Jeffery Fegan, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant MAKIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-6252

SO ORDERED:

(212)558-5500

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

BRUCE N. FLANIGAN,

Index No.: 105874/02

Plaintiffs, - against - JAN 14 2016

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

I.A.S. Part 50

(Justice Moulton)

109937/02

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November ζΟ 2015

Matthew T. Matthyre Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs BRUCE N. FLANIGAN 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212)558-5500

(Jeffery Fegan, Esq. \ Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-6937

SO ORDERED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION WILLIAM SCHMIDT,

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

Index No.: 100554/01

112962/99

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 30 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs WILLIAM SCHMIDT 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212)558-5500

Jeffeky Fegan, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-1

SO ORDERED:

Hón. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50
(Justice Moulton)

CASIMER ROGUS,

Index No.: 119614/01 111229/01

- against -

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 30 2015

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Mathew T. MacIntyre, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs CASIMER ROGUS
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.
INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-2323

SO ORDERED:

Hom. Peter H. Moulton, J.S/C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ANDREW PAUL,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

I.A.S. Part 50
(Justice Moulton)

Index No.: 109974/06

132766/93

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 30 2015

Matthew I. Mar Intyle

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
ANDREW PAUL
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.
INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-5347

SO ORDERED:

thereto,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

Section of the section of

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FRANK MOORE,

Plaintiffs - JAN 14 2016

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT

MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190077/10

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition

thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 2015

Machiner T. Mac Tortyre, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs FRANK MOORE 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-6188

SO ORDERED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION JOSEPH L. BILLITTIER SR.,

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

Index No.: 113049/99

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al

Defendants.

----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 30th 2015

Matthew T. MacIngre Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs

JOSEPH L. BILLITTIER SR. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000 Our File No.: 10924-1

SO ORDERED:

thereto,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION JOHN BAUER.

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

Plaintiffs - against - JAN 14 2016

Index No.: 190375/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 20 2015

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Marken T. MacInty Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs JOHN BAUER 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant MARJO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-6402

SO ORDERED:

Mon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOSEPH M. AUKSTOLIS,

I.A.S. Part 50
(Justice Moulton)

Index No.: 107003/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs D
- against - F 1 14 2016

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 20 2015

Mathew T. MucIntyre Esq. Jeffery Fegan, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
JOSEPH M. AUKSTOLIS
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.
INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000 Our File No.: 10924-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION GORDON HOSFORD,

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

Index No.: 107005/02

116228/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs, D

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 20 2015

Mathew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs GORDON HOSFORD 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM E. HANNA,

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

Index No.: 190092/12

Plaintiffs,
- against
- against

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November ₹0 2015

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
WILLIAM E. HANNA
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.
INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-6637

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

23 223

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

MORRIS GOLDMINTZ,

Index No.: 190024/09

Plaintiffs.

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November % 2015

Hathen T. MacIntyre, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs MORRIS GOLDMINTZ

700 Broadway

thereto,

New York, New York 10003 (212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq. (Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant

MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-5859

SO ORDERED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

SALVATORE T. CALANDRINO,

Index No.: 190439/10

Plaintiffs - against - AN 14 2016

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 2015

Mathew T. MacIntyre Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs SALVATORE T. CALANDRINO 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-6421

SO ORDERED:

thereto,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

STEPHEN M. DUNPHY,

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

Index No.: 190097/12

108174/06

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs, 2016

A.C. and S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 30 2015

Marthew T. MacThyr Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs STEPHEN M. DUNPHY 700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Jeffery Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.
INC.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-5340

SO ORDERED:

(212)558-5500

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

Sal 38 233

110 11-52/4 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM F. DWYER,

I.A.S. Part 50 (Justice Moulton)

Index No.: 116943/99

Plaint offs

NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGME
MOTION AND ORI SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S., INC. et al.

Defendants.

. **- - - - - - - - - - -** X

WHEREFORE, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., requests summary judgment in this action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York November 30 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs WILLIAM F. DWYER 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212)558-5500

Jeffery Fegan, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant MÀRIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-1

SO ORDERED:

Hom. Peter H. Moulton, J.S

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

BRIAN MCKENDRY, as Executor for the Estate of JOSEPH C. MCKENDRY and MARY ANN MCKENDRY, Individually

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, ?)

Index No: 113335/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

12 18 15, 2015

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

Erik Jacobs, Esq.(

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM K. BRADLEY

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

Index No: 118941/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

12/22 ,2015

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERE

Phan Alvarado, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10038

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yayitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM K. BRADLEY

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

Index No: 118941/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

. _

1010, 2015

QOUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

JAN 14 2016

Phan Alvarado, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10038

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM K. BRADLEY

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, P.)

Index No: 118941/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC sued herein as Dana Corporation ("Dana Companies") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Dana Companies with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

2015

Phan Alvarado, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10038

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE VAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARTY A. PERRONE and ELIZABETH PERRONE

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, **?**.)

Index No: 3290/89

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/16,2015

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERKS CAPIOS

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Judith A. Vavitz, Esq.


DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARTY A. PERRONE and ELIZABETH PERRONE

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, **!**)

Index No:013290/89

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/16 .2015

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Matthew T. Mac Intyre

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc., 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

TAINA I. WILLIAMS, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF PEDRO REGALDO RODRIGUEZ NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, 1.)

Index No: 190451/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/16,2015

FILED

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE MEM - THOP

Matthew T. Mac Int Frank Ortiz, Esq. McL+Wew T. McCIntyreJudith A. Yavitz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10038

(212) 558-5500

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.



JAN 14 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SAMUEL SCIOLINO AND FRANCES **SCIOLINO**

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 50 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

(Moulton, 1?)

Index No: 105425/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York December 22, 2015

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S Part 50

NYCAL

JAN 14 2016

This Document Relates to:

(Moulton, **?**.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SAMUEL SCIOLINO AND FRANCES

SCIOLINO

Index No:105425/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC sued herein as Dana Corporation ("Dana Companies") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Dana Companies with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Warn 23, 2015

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon Peter H. Moulton

JAN 00 2016

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JAN 14 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SAMUEL SCIOLINO AND FRANCES SCIOLINO

NYCAL COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE (Moulton, P)
Index No: 105425/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

December 23, 2015

Sugar C. Barlow, Egg.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10038

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon Peter H. Moulton

JAN CG 2015

FILED

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 JAN 14 2016

This Document Relates to:

(Moulton, **?**.)

COUNTY GLERK'S OFFICE

WARD BURROWS AND ANNE BURROWS

Index No: 110352/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC sued herein as Dana Corporation ("Dana Companies") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.





JAN 14 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, **!**.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 110352/00

WARD BURROWS AND ANNE BURROWS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York December 22, 2015

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.

FILED

JAN 14 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WENDY CRUMP, AS ADMINISTATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GARY P. MURRAY

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, 1.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Index No: 190156/09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Judith A. Yavitz, Esg.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

JAN 0 6 2016

FILED

JAN 14 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WENDY CRUMP, AS ADMINISTATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GARY P. MURRAY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
(Moulton, P.)

Index No: 190156/09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC sued herein as Dana Corporation ("Dana Companies") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Dana Companies with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hen Peter H Moulton

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JAN 14 2016

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WENDY CRUMP, AS ADMINISTATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GARY P. MURRAY

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NYCAL NEW YORK I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, 12)

Index No: 190156/09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Decimber 222015

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10038

(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

· DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation

116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

RECEIVED NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD

HON. PETER H. MOULTON ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

536.15494/AJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This document relates to:

ROBERT J. MORROW, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against -

NYCAL IAS PART 50 (Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 127034/02

(May 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

JAN 14 2016

DATED: 12/14(15)
East Hanover, New Jersey

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

STEPHEN F. BALLAMO, ESO. GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation

72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350

F.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Peter H. Moulton

BDQUL BVITARTZINIMGA HON, PETER H. MOULTON

3105 **30** NAL

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

IAS PART 50

NYCAL

NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD **BECEINED**

(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

Index No.: 190137/09

(June 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

This document relates to:

FRANKIE FUGATE, Deceased,

Plaintiff.

-against-

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Fractice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 16, 2015 East Hanover, New Jersey

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESO. WEITZ & LUXENBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ GARRITY, GRAMAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO

& FLINN

Attorneys for Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation 72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350 P.O. Box 438

East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Peter H. Moulton

1/8/16

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARTY A. PERRONE and ELIZABETH PERRONE

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, 1)

Index No: (13290/89

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/16,2015

FILED

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Matthew T. Mac Intyre Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARTY A. PERRONE and ELIZABETH PERRONE

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 50 (Moulton, 1.)

Index No::013290/89

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/16,2015

JAN 14 2016

COUNTY CLERKS CHADE

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Matthew T. Max Intyre

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500 Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED.