**ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE
  
(Interference with persons providing direct patient care)
  
Penal Law § 120.05 (3-c)
  
(Committed on or after Nov. 1, 2016)**

The (*specify*) count is Assault in the Second Degree.

Under our law, a person is guilty of Assault in the Second Degree when, with intent to prevent an employee providing direct patient care, who is not a nurse1, whose principal employment responsibility is to carry out direct patient care for one or more patients in any

*Select appropriate alternative*:

hospital

nursing home

residential health care facility

general hospital

government agency including any chronic disease hospital

maternity hospital

outpatient department

emergency center

surgical center2

from performing a lawful duty, he or she causes physical injury to such employee.

The following terms used in that definition have a special meaning:

INTENT means conscious objective or purpose. Thus, a person acts with intent to prevent an employee providing direct

1 At this point, the statute states “pursuant to title eight of the education law.” If, whether the complainant is a nurse is in issue, the charge will need to be modified accordingly by inclusion of the appropriate definitions contained in Article VIII of the Education Law.

2 At this point, the statute states “under article twenty-eight of the public health law.” Those words are omitted here. If necessary to define the appropriate terms, see Public Health Law § 2801 et seq.

patient care from performing a lawful duty3 when that person's conscious objective or purpose is to do so.4

PHYSICAL INJURY means impairment of physical condition or substantial pain. 5

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove, from all the evidence in the case, beyond a reasonable doubt, each of the following three elements:

1. That on or about (*date*), (*specify name of complainant*) was an employee providing direct patient care, who was not a nurse, and whose principal employment responsibility was to carry out direct patient care for one or more patients in any (*specify the appropriate alternative)*;
2. That on or about that date in the county of (*County*), the defendant, (*defendant's name*), caused physical injury to (*specify name of complainant*); and
3. That the defendant did so with the intent to prevent (*specify name of complainant)* from performing a lawful duty.

If you find the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt each of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of this crime.

3 The term “lawful duty” is not defined in the Penal Law. If in issue, the term will need to be defined within the context of the facts presented (*see People v Bueno,* 18 NY3d 160 [2011] [where defendant attacked an emergency medical technician (EMT) who was on his way back to his ambulance after rendering treatment, the evidence was legally sufficient to establish defendant’s intent to prevent the EMT from performing a lawful duty]; *People v McDonald*, 291 AD2d 832 [4th Dept 2002]; *People v Milhouse*, 246 AD2d 119 [1st Dept 1998]; *People v Greene*, 221 AD2d 559 [2d Dept 1995]).

4 See Penal Law § 15.05 (1).

5 Penal Law § 10.00 (9); *See People v Chiddick*, 8 NY3d 445 (2007).
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If you find the People have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt any one or more of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

3